

**From:** [John Jorgensen](#)  
**To:** [SoS Rulemaking](#)  
**Cc:** [CO05DLTMA@mail.house.gov](mailto:CO05DLTMA@mail.house.gov)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Voting Systems and Rulemaking  
**Date:** Thursday, July 1, 2021 1:53:11 PM

---

Sir, Ma'am,

I want to see the use of the Dominion voting machines removed from our state.

I see that Secretary Griswold has stated many times that our elections are the most secure in the state. Despite her claim that the statistical evaluations that have been conducted as the 'proof' that this is the case (statistical analyses can be manipulated), there is enough preliminary data coming out of other states' forensic audits of these machines that there is a profound lack of confidence in their use. Especially since she has determined that there will be no forensic audits of the machines used in this past election there are even more suspicions proliferating about the validity the results. Despite the Secretary's claims, a forensic audit would not compromise our election security.

I want to go on recored asking that these machines be audited by a verifiably unbiased forensic investigative agency. In doing so, the statements made about our elections being the most secure in the nation could be fully supported. I would hope that our elections are secure but until I see the transparency of a valid forensic audit across Colorado, I won't believe it - simply because someone says it. Again, statistical analyses can be manipulated.

I'd also add that the Secretary has stated that the audits in other states are "shams". I'd like to see the evidence supporting this statement. Regardless of whether or not she believes that statement; conducting an audit in Colorado would give her the motivation to ensure that an audit in Colorado would not be a "sham". Simply stating that other states' processes are a "sham" does not bring a critical thinker to the conclusion that an audit in Colorado would be also be a "sham".

Secretary Griswold also states that performing a valid third party election audit would risk the state's election security ... how? This is yet another inflammatory statement that is not supported by a citation or evidence showing just how an audit would put our election security at risk. I would like to understand the basis of this opinion and the supporting evidence used to make such statements. It would be great if another news release would follow citing the evidence supporting these statements.

I think that election transparency is vital and providing a valid and verifiable way to examine election results is necessary to be included in Colorado's rule making. Something more than a statistical analysis. This is not enough to prove election integrity.

With regards to "Ranked Choice Voting":

I would also ask that the use of "Ranked Choice Voting" be eliminated from this state. I have looked at this process and it does not engender confidence in our vote. We need to return to the 'simple majority' as the rule of the law. The Ranked Choice Voting system is convoluted and can be manipulated. If Colorado's leadership is sincere in solidifying the integrity of our elections then they will make our voting rules more simple, not more complicated, and will make them equal to 'one legal vote per one legal citizen'.

Reference:

In a recent News Release, Secretary Griswold states, "Along those lines, no third-party person or vendor will be permitted access to voting equipment in our state. We will not risk the state's election security nor perpetuate The Big Lie. Sham audits have no place in Colorado."

Thank you,  
John Jorgensen  
El Paso County resident