

Andrea Gyger

From: Kathleen Erie <kathleene@sanmiguelcountyco.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 4:51 PM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: Comments - working draft election rules

Comments by line:

P1, L14-15: Suggested read: "Property owner ballot" means a ballot that only certain persons, SUCH AS THOSE who reside outside of the certifying political subdivision are eligible to vote under Colorado law OR WHO ARE ELIGIBLE TO VOTE UNDER HOME RULE LAW OF THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION.

P2, L24-26: Can you provide an example of prohibited reports?

P4, L29: Isn't "delivering to a ballot collection point" more to the point than "receiving?"

P5, L17-20: add "for which similar contact information is available."

P5, L31: 7.9.3 basically says that there can be no more electronic only VSPCs for in-person voting. Is that the intent?

P6, L12-14: This seems inconsistent.

P6, L15-19: These statements seem confused.

P6 et seq. The discussion of the timing of the RLA and Canvass seem to indicate that, while we have been able to get people to work on the post-election audit and canvass in one day, we will now have to get people to come for, it appears, three different days. This will be very difficult, particularly as the exercise may be extended to the Thanksgiving holiday in some years. Will the SOS send people to participate if we can't get local party members to do so???

P7, L17: Only about voting devices? What if there some other kinds of complaints?

P9, L3: Is section 16.1.6 really only about UOCAVA voters? Seems that it should be some where else.

P11, L17-22: Is there some guidance or thinking on how to choose between the two types of audit?

P12, L30 et seq. Not clear how the random seed, of 20 digits, will be used to generate a random start.

Attachment One: Re General Rules for Implementing 107 and 108: My inclination is that, within the spirit of bipartisanship and getting the actual party voted recorded without disclosing who the voter is, as much flexibility as possible should be left to the Counties. This will enable the most efficient practices to be developed for the different size counties.

Attachment Two: NO NO NO. Talk about chaos. And if one or two counties think they can manage this, then every voter will assume it is available in all counties. NO NO NO.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment>

Kind regards,
Kathleen

--

M. Kathleen Erie

San Miguel County Clerk and Recorder

PO Box 548 (All USPS)

305 W. Colorado Avenue

Telluride, CO 81435-0548

Phone: 970-728-3954

Fax: 970-728-4808

Andrea Gyger

From: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: Re: Secretary Williams invites you to comment on proposed election rules

From: Kathleen Erie [mailto:kathleene@sanmiguelcountyco.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 5:16 PM
To: SoS Rulemaking <SoS.Rulemaking@SOS.STATE.CO.US>
Subject: Re: Secretary Williams invites you to comment on proposed election rules

Thank you for the answer. That is what I expected but after I scurried to review completely, I did want it to count. By the way, one of the Clerks' whose early response I just read pointed out strong support for the new 7.5.5. I agree, some flexibility there will be really useful.

Many thanks,
Kathleen