

Andrea Gyger

From: Carla Gomez <cgomez@saguachecounty-co.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2015 11:52 AM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: Secretary Williams' consideration of Election Rule amendments

Regarding the email dated May 8 requesting feedback on this issue, Saguache County submits the following comments:

Rule 7.2.5 and 7.2.6 - I understand the reasoning for both of these rules, but what, where and how is the "proof beyond a reasonable doubt" obtained? Also, if these have to be included, could they be in the Instructions only? The envelope is already crowded with so much other required information, it's difficult to manage efficiently.

Rule 7.7 - Last sentence: "If the deo calls any elector, he or she must (ATTEMPT) to call electors whose affidavits are unsigned" Can this word be included?

Rule 7.11.3 - What about small counties with just one workstation? Being able to access both SCORE and WEBSCORE is certainly more efficient.

Rule 16.1.6 - This seems so pointless and is very expensive as "Inactive" electors are inactive because we have no valid contact information for them - correspondence sent to their address on record has already been returned. Sending more correspondence to the same invalid address just doesn't make sense in such an already very expensive process.

We're a poor county just making a few suggestions. Be glad to discuss this further, thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Thanks

--

Carla Gomez
Saguache County Clerk & Recorder
(719) 655-2512
PO Box 176
Saguache, CO 81149