

Andrea Gyger

From: Debi Sporleder [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 3:05 PM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: In response to draft proposed Rule 16.2.1 (c)

Please listen.....

I object to any ambiguous wording in the rule that would permit a voter to use email voting - possibly have an exception when there is truly a hardship circumstance where postal mail ballot return or telephone facsimile return is not available.

Email voting is WAY too loose and can introduce fraud to the highest degree. I can hardly think of a reason why this should ever be allowed. The ballots should be sent earlier for those who are overseas so they can get their mail ballot returned in time. This would actually be the better way to address the difficulties in getting mail ballots returned on time.

If email MUST be used, those hardship cases should be limited to circumstances in which the voter cannot expect the paper ballot to be received by the clerk within 8 days after election day if the voter returns his ballot by postal mail on the day he receives it, and there is no telephone facsimile return available.

Thank you!!!
Debi Sporleder

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]