

Andrea Gyger

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:13 PM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: Response to draft proposed Rule 16.2.1 (c)

In response to draft proposed Rule 16.2.1 (c), I object to any ambiguous wording in the rule that would permit a voter to use email voting except in truly hardship circumstances where postal mail ballot return or telephone facsimile return is not available. Those hardship cases should be limited to circumstances in which the voter cannot expect the paper ballot to be received by the clerk within 8 days after election day if the voter returns his ballot by postal mail on the day he receives it, and there is no telephone facsimile return available.

M.B. Cowan
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]