## **Andrea Gyger**

From: Jason R Clark

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 07, 2015 4:24 PM

**To:** SoS Rulemaking

**Subject:** Comments - working draft CPF rules

1) Debates. Debates should not be ruled by the two major political parties. There needs to be some sort of balance / oversight by the CO SOS office to ensure free and fair elections. By not allowing third party candidates to participate in privately held debates you are in essence allowing these private institutions to show case only the D and the R candidates. How is that free and fair? It isn't. Period.

2) UAF candidates. Why are UAF candidates the only candidates that have to comply with the state of Colorado statute known as the 18 month rule? This rule does not apply to D or R or L or other minor party candidates. Why? Oh, to give the two major parties a major competitive advantage? Is that free and fair? Absolutely not. It's not uniform and should be changed or require all candidates to comply regardless of their political party affiliation.

When candidates / political parties cheat and they do I have personal factual real life specific examples of these entities doing so; the regulatory agencies representing the government ergo the people ought to be there as the referee to ensure a fair free equitable electoral system. Otherwise you might as well just allow only D and R candidates to participate. There is absolutely no argument to the contrary other than you believe in a corrupt rigged unfair not free and not equitable electoral system. There is no other explanation. Period.

Thanks. My guess, you don't have the guts or professional integrity to do anything about this. This is just an exercise in futility and a conversation piece for a trifling dialogue which you may use to further your future political objectives.

Jason R. Clark, clarkbrothersinvestments.com