

Jerome Lovato

From: Kyle Rulli <krulli@douglas.co.us>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 1:09 PM
To: Jerome Lovato
Subject: Responses for 3/31

Categories: CORLA

Jerome,

Here are my responses for the questions posed last meeting – with some follow-up questions for clarity.

1. The only method that would be applicable in Douglas would be Ballot Polling, as the legacy Hart system does not support ballot-level CVRs.

Batch Comparison – Not possible without writing batch per MBB, which would be exponentially labor intensive and costly.

Hand Count – Obviously not feasible.

Parallel Scanning – Also not feasible considering costs and labor.

2. Unfortunately I don't know how to answer this, as a statewide or countywide contest would appear on every ballot style. If not, smaller jurisdiction races only appear on certain styles, and this could cause the audit to go much longer in search of these ballot styles.

3. I have many questions regarding how this was posed. First of all, why wouldn't every county participate in the audit? If the other 24 counties don't have to, what do they do instead?

If all counties are participating in an RLA, but there are several counties that are conducting it differently (CVR county vs non-CVR county), how are the results linked from county to county if they have different processes? If one county fails an RLA, what do the other counties do? How will the other counties have enough time to continue to conduct the RLA if they are forced to look at more ballots?

The counties should be conducting their audit independently, with a statewide or countywide race so they are not tied to another county's audit. It will also absolve confusion about this topic of whether a county has to conduct an audit or not.

Kyle Rulli
Elections Technology Coordinator
Douglas County Elections
O (303) 814-4393
C (720) 537-5853