

Dwight Shellman

From: doug [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2015 8:49 AM
To: Wayne Williams
Cc: Dwight Shellman
Subject: RE: Concern on voting system

Thank you for your quick response. Dwight was included in the recipients for the prior email.

Unsecured voting seems to be a big part of the plans. The mail in voting the now is rampant is totally unsupervised on the front end, so any supervision of the back end is meaningless.

Previously voting entailed a procedure where

- person had to come in present themselves and sign in.
- Then no one was allowed in the booth with you and
- no canvassing was allowed on the voting site.

Now government officials are in fact saying that was an unnecessary waste of time and all that went before was bogus. That is not true. There was a reason that voting was supervised at the point of origin. **Unsupervised voting makes voting a sham!**

Douglas Greenspan
Green-Mill Ranch

P.O. Box 4656
Boulder, CO 80306
6250 Plateau Rd
Niwot, CO 80503
(303) 444-3440
FAX: (303)702-1324

[REDACTED]
<http://www.the-greenspan.com>

GET LAWYERS OUT OF CONGRESS

From: Wayne Williams [mailto:Wayne.Williams@SOS.STATE.CO.US]
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2015 5:15 PM
To: 'doug'
Cc: Dwight Shellman
Subject: RE: Concern on voting system

Thank you for contacting me. As you know may know we are working on evaluating a number of different voting systems and have established a Pilot Election Review Committee (PERC) to evaluate the various options. Both security and cost will be key factors we will examine.

For information about PERC, please contact Dwight Shellman, who is cc'ed above.

Thanks,

Wayne

Wayne W. Williams
Colorado Secretary of State
1700 Broadway, Ste. 200
Denver, CO 80290
(303) 860-6970

PS I opposed compulsory mail ballots, but the bill passed in 2013. My role now is to ensure the system adopted by the legislature and signed by the governor works as well as possible.

WWW

From: doug [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, March 07, 2015 11:48 AM
To: Dwight Shellman
Cc: Wayne Williams; [REDACTED]
Subject: Concern on voting system

Secretary Williams –

Elections are a function of Government and there is no reason to outsource this. In fact there are innumerable reasons to not do it.

- It is difficult enough for the state to monitor its own elections system to outsource it to a private company would not lower cost in the long run it will raise costs.
- You will have to pay for the companies work and for oversight.
- Wouldn't it be less expensive to get a system that works and have the state run it?
- This off site balloting is not a way to go as the possibility of fraud is way too great.
- Not asking for ID is bad enough, not even having to face an individual is and have them hand you a ballot after checking your signature is asking for fraud.
- You now have no control over the balloting. Rules for years have stated that electioneering cannot be done within the perimeter of a voting location. This was done for a reason, to allow a person to have privacy and not have their voting process interfered with.
- This can't be done with mail in balloting!!! You have delegitimized all the elections if mail in voting is allowed. You have no idea what is happening while a person is filling in a voting petition. There is no supervision, you really don't even know who is voting at all.
- There is a reason that the voting system is the way it has been for years. These new changes have increased the possibility for corruption of the system exponentially.
- Moving it into private hands will only exacerbate that.

Please contact me if you wish to discuss this further although if the person that are requesting the outsourcing of this are getting this email I would doubt you will ever see this email

Douglas Greenspan
The GreenSpan, Inc.
Your Bridge to Understanding Computers
P.O. Box 4656
Boulder, CO 80306
6250 Plateau Rd
Niwot, CO 80503
(303) 444-3440
FAX: (303)702-1324
[REDACTED]
<http://www.the-greenspan.com>

