Evaluation Comments by UVS Advisory Committee 12-12-13

Hart Intercivic

Concerns:

- Only one year warranty
- This is the first rollout of the proposed system
- Appears to have a problem with anonymity for voters with disabilities
- Must depend on vendor for system maintenance and upgrades
- Must use special paper for ballots
- Proposed system not yet certified
- Components are proprietary*

Positives:

- Hart is in many counties
- Provides good training
- Good help desk support
- Training Plan in proposal is very thorough
- Widely used nationally
- Provides for electronic adjudication
- Front end programming appears to be much improved from previous experience
- Can do RLA

Dominion Voting

Concerns:

- Only one year warranty
- Some components COTS some proprietary*

Positives:

- Proposed system is certified
- Provides electronic adjudication
- Can do RLA
- Audit log available
- Current installations allows counties to do much programming
- Can use regular paper for ballots
- Has offices in Colorado
- Front end programming much improved
- Addresses issue of static

**The Committee did not reach resolution on the benefits of COTS vs. proprietary components, as there are benefits and drawbacks to each.*