2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
DRE	Hart / eSlate	A0B1A8	District Judge - 9th Judicial District - Boyd	Yes	101	101
DRE	Hart / eSlate	A0B1A8	District Judge - 9th Judicial District - Boyd	No	34	34
DRE	Hart / eSlate	A0B1A8	Court of Appeals - Webb	Yes	96	96
DRE	Hart / eSlate	A0B1A8	Court of Appeals - Webb	No	37	37
PCOS	Hart / eScan	G786CD	Court of Appeals - Taubman	Yes	280	280
PCOS	Hart / eScan	G786CD	Court of Appeals - Taubman	No	130	130
PCOS	Hart / eScan	G786CD	County Commissioner - District 2	Martin	345	345
PCOS	Hart / eScan	G786CD	County Commissioner - District 2	Linman	155	154
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Garfield County Question 1A	Yes	186	186
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Garfield County Question 1A	No	296	296

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Graham	Yes	239	239
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Graham	No	145	145
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Casebolt	Yes	269	269
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Casebolt	No	117	117
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3	Garrow	183	183
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 3	Gallegos	257	257
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large	Ludwig	166	166
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large	Belmont	17	17
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large	Davidson	231	231
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large	Ong	24	24

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	District Attorney - 9th Judicial District	Caloia	214	214
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	District Attorney - 9th Judicial District	Beeson	251	251
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Booras	Yes	243	243
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Booras	No	129	129
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment S (Constitutional)	Yes	197	197
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment S (Constitutional)	No	249	249
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Goode Jr. / Clymer	2	2
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Obama / Biden	212	212
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Romney / Ryan	271	271
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Johnson / Gray	7	7
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Stein / Honkala	2	2
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Alexander / Mendoza	0	0

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Anderson / Rodriguez	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Barr / Sheehan	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Harris / Kennedy	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Hoefling / Ellis	1	1
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	La Riva / Ramirez Jr.	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Miller / Bertram	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Reed / Cary	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Stevens / Link	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Tittle / Turner	1	1
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	White / Scherrer	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Presidential Electors	Write-In	0	0

CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court - Coats	Yes	262	262.
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court - Coats	No	131	131

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Senate - District 8	Tracy	191	191
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Senate - District 8	Baumgardner	254	254
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Senate - District 8	Weis	22	22
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Miller	Yes	268	268
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Court of Appeals - Miller	No	119	119
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Representative to the 113th United States Congress - District 3	Pace	187	187
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Representative to the 113th United States Congress - District 3	Tipton	259	259
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Representative to the 113th United States Congress - District 3	Gilman	12	12
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Representative to the 113th United States Congress - District 3	Casida	13	13
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Representative to the 113th United States Congress - District 3	Write-In	0	0
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment 64 (Constitutional)	Yes	273	273
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment 64 (Constitutional)	No	220	220

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

Garfield County						
Type	**Make / Model**	**Serial #**	**Contest Name to Audit**	**Candidate**	**Machine Count**	**Manual/Hand Count**
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	County Commissioner - District 3	Samson	271	271
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	County Commissioner - District 3	Briedis	207	207
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment 65 (Constitutional)	Yes	348	348
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	Amendment 65 (Constitutional)	No	124	124
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	City Of Rifle Ballot Question 2A	Yes	81	81
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	City Of Rifle Ballot Question 2A	No	44	44
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Representative - District 57	Rankin	249	249
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Representative - District 57	Baxter	198	198
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	State Representative - District 57	Enright	21	21
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	District Judge - 9th Judicial District - Petre	Yes	282	282
CCOS	Kodak / i260 Scanner	12818578	District Judge - 9th Judicial District - Petre	No	125	125

2012 General Election Post-Election Audit
Garfield County:

NOTE: if there is a complaint or a discrepancy between the manual tallies of each voting device and the corresponding tallies recorded by each voting device Section 1-7-514(2)(c), C.R.S., requires the canvass board and the county clerk and recorder to promptly report to the Secretary of State a description of the audit process used, including any initial, interim, and final results of the completed audit.

Designated Election Official:

Jean M Alberico — 11/21/12
Signature — Date

Jean M Alberico
Written Name

Canvass Board Member:

Kerry B. Smith — 11/21/12
Signature — Date

Kerry B. Smith
Written Name

Rachel Weishaar 11/21/12
Rachel Weishaar

Canvass Board Member:

Nancy Smith — 11/21/12
Signature — Date

Nancy V.A. Smith
Written Name

Pamela Bunn 11/21/12
Pamela Bunn

Hilda Troyer 11/21/2012
Hilda Y. Troyer

Provide additional pages and names as necessary.

1. eSlate: 1st reading of VBO showed 184 ballots cast, vs only 171 voters casting ballots on that machine. We discovered that the VBO contained 13 cast ballots left on VBO from early voting, because paper roll had not been changed.

2. eScan: Election Day judges only had 512 sig. cards and 512 in poll book, vs. 513 ballots scanned thru eScan. At PEA, we only had 512 ballots. We determined that one ballot, w/ vote for Linman and undervote in Taubman yes/no contest, had been scanned twice. This is almost certainly because judges did not start removing stubs until 9 AM. So a ballot w/ a stub must have jammed <u>after</u> votes were read, and it was removed + rescanned. NOTE THAT THIS COULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED IF WE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO HAVE UNIQUE SERIAL NUMBERS ON BALLOTS (preventing accidental re-scanning)!