



COLORADO

Water Quality Control Commission

Department of Public Health & Environment

NOTICE OF PUBLIC RULEMAKING HEARING BEFORE THE COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

SUBJECT:

For consideration of the adoption of revisions to the 401 Certification Regulation, Regulation #82 (5 CCR 1002-82). Revisions proposed by the Water Quality Control Division, along with a proposed Statement of Basis, Specific Statutory Authority and Purpose, are attached to this notice as Exhibit 1.

In these attachments, proposed new language is shown with underlining and proposed deletions are shown with ~~strikeouts~~. Any alternative proposals related to the subject of this hearing will also be considered.

SCHEDULE OF IMPORTANT DATES

Proponent's prehearing statement due	07/10/2024	Additional information below.
Party Status requests due	07/31/2024	Additional information below.
Responsive prehearing statements due	08/21/2024	Additional information below.
Rebuttal statements due	9/11/2024	Additional information below.
Last date for submittal of motions	9/18/2024	Additional information below.
Prehearing Conference (mandatory for parties)	9/24/2024 9:00 am	Remote Via Zoom
Rulemaking Hearing	10/15/2024 9:00 am	Sabin Cleere Conference Room Department of Public Health and Environment 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80246 Or Remote Via Zoom



COLORADO

Water Quality
Control Commission

Department of Public Health & Environment

HEARING SUBMITTALS:

For this hearing, the commission will receive all submittals electronically. Submittals must be provided as PDF documents, except for raw data exhibits which may be provided as Excel workbooks. Submittals may be emailed to cdphe.wgcc@state.co.us, provided via an FTP site or otherwise conveyed to the commission office to be received no later than the specified date.

PARTY STATUS:

Party status requests must be in writing and must provide:

- the organization's name,
- one contact person,
- a mailing address,
- a phone number, and
- email addresses of all individuals associated with the party who wish to be notified when new submittals are available on the commission's website for review.

In accordance with section 25-8-104(2)(d), C.R.S., any person who believes that the actions proposed in this notice have the potential to cause material injury to his or her water rights is requested to so indicate, along with an explanation of the alleged harm, in their party status request.

PREHEARING AND REBUTTAL STATEMENTS:

Each party must submit a prehearing statement: parties that have proposed revisions attached as exhibits to the notice must submit a proponent's prehearing statement. All other parties must submit a responsive prehearing statement.

Each prehearing and rebuttal statement must be provided as a separate PDF document from any accompanying written testimony or exhibits.

Following the rebuttal statement due date, no other written materials will be accepted from parties except for good cause shown.

Oral testimony at the hearing should primarily summarize written material previously submitted. The hearing will emphasize commission questioning of parties and other interested persons about their written prehearing submittals. Introduction of written material at the hearing by those with party status will not be permitted unless authorized by the commission.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE:

Attendance at the prehearing conference is mandatory for all persons requesting party status. Remote access via Zoom is provided in the table above.

Following the cut-off date for motions, no motions will be accepted, except for good cause shown.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ENCOURAGED:

The commission encourages input from non-parties, either orally at the hearing or in writing prior to the hearing. Written submissions should be emailed to cdphe.wgcc@state.co.us by October 11, 2024.

SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

The provisions of sections 25-8-202(1)(a), (b), and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402, C.R.S., provide the specific statutory authority for consideration of the regulatory amendments proposed by this notice. Should the commission adopt the regulatory language as proposed in this notice or alternative amendments, it will also adopt, in compliance with section 24-4-103(4) C.R.S., an appropriate Statement of Basis, Specific Statutory Authority, and Purpose.

Dated this 10th day of June 2024 at Denver, Colorado.

WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION



Jojo La, Administrator



To Code of Colorado
Regulations
Secretary of State
State of Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND
ENVIRONMENT WATER QUALITY CONTROL
COMMISSION
REGULATION NO. 82 - 401 CERTIFICATION REGULATION
5 CCR 1002-82

[Editor's Notes follow the text of the rules at the end of this CCR Document.]

This regulation is promulgated pursuant to sections 25-8-202 and 25-8-205, C.R.S.

82.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this regulation is to implement section 25-8-302(1)(f), C.R.S., which became law on June 4, 1985. The Water Quality Control Commission (Commission) construes this section as a direction by the Colorado Legislature to define what conditions and requirements can be required by the Water Quality Control Division (Division) in connection with certification of federal licenses and permits under Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act, consistent with the Colorado Water Quality Control Act. This regulation authorizes the Water Quality Control Division to - ~~certify grant certification, grant certification with conditions, conditionally certify, or deny certification, or expressly waive a certification~~ of federal licenses and permits. In accordance with Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act, this regulation -and sets forth certification conditions and requirements applicable to all certifications issued by the Division, except for the federal 402 permit certifications and the procedures for developing conditions to be included with certification, where necessary. The Division maintains a Clean Water Policy 12, which is a policy document with Best Management Practices (BMPs), -also referred to as Control Measures that will assist in the selection, installation, and maintenance of BMPs. that may be considered in developing conditions.

By this regulation and pursuant to section 25-8-302(1)(f), C.R.S., the Division grants certification for rRegional gGeneral or-and nNationwide permits under Section 404 of the Federal Act certified without the addition al-of BMPs or other conditions, and further action on such permits by the applicant or the Division.

This regulation applies to Water Quality Control Division certification of permits issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licenses for hydropower pProjects, and other federal permits which involve a discharge into waters of the state, including permits issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to section 402 of the fFederal Clean Water Act.

82.2 DEFINITIONS

- (1) 401 Certification means ~~that the~~ certification required by Section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. Section 1341.
- (2) 402 Permit means ~~that the~~ individual permit issued by the ~~U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA~~ for the discharge from federal facilities as described in Section 402 of the ~~f~~Federal Clean Water Act.
- (3) 404 Permit ~~means that refers to~~ individual permits issued by the ~~U.S. Army Corps of Engineers~~USACE for the discharge of dredged and fill material as described in Section 404 of the ~~F~~federal Clean Water Act.
- (4) Best Management Practices (BMPs) or Control Measures - ~~BMPs~~ mean those structural and non-structural methods, measures or practices implemented to prevent, reduce, or mitigate adverse water quality impacts resulting from construction and operation of a Project.
- (5) Granted Certification means ~~that a~~ determination by the Division that the Project will comply with the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31 (5 CCR 1002-31), the Basic Standards for Ground Water, Regulation No. 41 (5 CCR 1002-41), surface and ground water classifications and water quality standards, and all other applicable water quality requirements for the affected waters. Such certification is subject to section 25-8-104, C.R.S.
- (6) USACE means U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- (7) EPA means U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- (8) Division means the Water Quality Control Division ~~of the,~~ Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment.
- (9) Federal Act means the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. Section 1251 *et seq.*, as amended.
- (10) FERC means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- (11) Project means an activity which may result in a discharge into state waters and for which a federal license or permit is required.

82.3 APPLICABILITY ~~AND~~ SCOPE, INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

- (A) No federal license or permit for which water quality certification is required under Section 401 of the Federal Act may be issued without the certification provided pursuant to these regulations, except as provided in subsection 82.3(B).
- (B) Regional gGeneral or nationwide permits to discharge dredged or fill material issued under section 404 of the ~~F~~federal Clean Water Aact are authorized for use without additional action by the Division.~~;~~
- (C) Any 401 certification issued by the Division pursuant to these regulations shall apply to both the construction and operation of the Project for which a federal license or permit is required, and shall apply to the water quality impacts associated with the Project.

(D) Any Tier 1 or Tier 2 certification, as described in 82.4(6), issued by the Division will expire five (5) years after the certification is issued if construction on the project has not started. Applicants may submit to the Division, in writing, an extension request for a certification before the five (5) year expiration date, and any extensions will be granted on a case-by-case basis for good cause. No Tier 1 or Tier 2 certification shall expire if the project is being challenged in an active administrative or judicial case. Tier 3 and Tier 4 projects are exempt from this section 82.3(D).

(E) Throughout these regulations, requirements promulgated by agencies of the U.S., this state, or other states have been adopted and incorporated by reference. The references cited herein include only those versions that were in effect as of October 8, 2024, and not later amendments or editions to the incorporated material. The requirements incorporated by reference and promulgated by federal agencies are available at no cost in the online edition of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) hosted by the United States Government Printing Office at www.govinfo.gov. All other materials incorporated by reference may be examined at any state publications depository library, the Laboratory Services Division of the Department, or the Department at:

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
Water Quality Control Division
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, Colorado 80246-1530
(303) 692-3500

82.4 APPLICATION FOR WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION

(A) USACE SECTION 404 Individual Permits. A complete Aapplication for a state water quality certifications, as determined by the Division shall include:

(1) Documentation of a written thirty (30) day pre-filing meeting request that included a project description, location, and the Division's response prior to submitting a request for certification application, unless the meeting is waived by the Division.

(4)(2) A completed copy of the Division's Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 401 Water Quality Certification ~~R~~request form;

(32) A signed copy, by the applicant, of the federal application for a USACE Section 404 permit to discharge dredged or fill material;

(4) Any readily available water quality data that were used to inform the development of the 404 permit application;

(35) A map of Project location, a site plan, and a listing of the selected Control Measures BMPs chosen for the Project, in accordance with subsection 82.6(B) of this regulation Clean Water Policy 12; and

(64) Appropriate fees for processing the 401 certification. Tiers are determined by the Division at the time of pre-filing meeting request. For Tier 1 and 2 Projects, application fees are due at the time of the certification request to the Division. For Tier 3 and 4 Projects, the Division will meet with the applicants before certifications are submitted to develop a draft billing agreement. These fees are as follows:

Tier	Description	Cost
1	Projects that incur minimal costs and water quality impacts	\$1,122
2	Projects that incur moderate costs and potential water quality impacts	\$3,876
3	Projects that involve large watershed area, a high degree of complexity, or a high potential for water quality impacts.	Calculated on an hourly rate based on the actual costs of Division staff and contractor time.
4	Projects that involve multiple or large watershed areas, a very high degree of complexity, very high potential for water quality impacts, or a high level of public involvement.	Calculated on an hourly rate based on the actual costs of Division staff and contractor time.

(B) 402 PERMITS ISSUED BY EPA. For 402 permits issued by EPA, application for certification shall be made in a manner determined by the Division, but at a minimum, shall include a copy of the application for the 402 permit submitted to EPA. Also, applications must include documentation of a written thirty (30) day pre-filing meeting request that included a project description, location, and the Division's response unless the meeting is waived by the Division.

(C) FERC AND ALL OTHER FEDERAL LICENSES OR PERMITS. For FERC and all other federal licenses or permits for which water quality certifications are required, other than Section 404 permits as referenced in subsection 82.4(A), and Section 402 permits referenced in subsection 82.4(B), application for 401 certifications shall be made via letter to the Division. Fees will be determined consistent with Section 82.4(A)(64) above. The letter of application shall contain the following information:-

(1) Documentation of a written thirty (30) day pre-filing meeting request that included a project description, location, and the Division's response prior to submitting a request for certification application, unless the meeting is waived by the Division.

(2) A completed copy of the Division Certification Request form;

(3) A copy of the federal license or permit for which certification is requested;

~~(4)~~(4) A description of the Project which is expected to result in a discharge into waters of the state;

(5) All water quality data, reports, and analyses which describe the existing and projected water quality for those waters affected by the Project; and

~~(2)~~(6) A map of Project location, a site plan, and a listing of the selected Control Measures BMPS chosen for the Project, in accordance with Clean Water Policy 12 subsection 82.6(B) of this regulation.

(C) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME. If the Division determines that the 401 certification request application does not

include all of the information identified in subsections (A) through (C) above, the Division may request additional water quality related information from the applicant before determining the reasonable period of time.

Reasonable period of time establishes, in coordination with the federal permitting agency, the amount of time the Division requires to review the certification request and issue the certification. The reasonable period of time cannot exceed one (1) year after the Division receives a complete application for certification in accordance with the Federal Clean Water Act. The Division and the federal agency may agree on what constitutes a reasonable period of time. If the certifying authority and federal agency do not reach an agreement on what constitutes a reasonable period of time, the length of the reasonable period of time will default to six (6) months after the Division receives a completed application for certification.

The Division can extend the reasonable period of time, upon written notice to the federal permitting agency and applicant, if more time is required to comply with its public notice procedures or if there is a force majeure event (i.e., a natural disaster). This is provided that the extension in either case does not cause the reasonable period of time to exceed the statutory maximum of one (1) year.

- (D) The Division shall send written confirmation to the project applicant and Federal agency of the date that the request for certification was received.

82.5 DIVISION PROCEDURES AND DETERMINATIONS

(A) Division Certification Determination:

- (1) In determining whether to issue a 401 certification, the Division shall consider and review the certification application submitted, the water quality impacts of the construction and operation of the Project, associated National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents and the following, as appropriate:

- (a) Antidegradation review pursuant to the procedures in the Procedural Rules, Regulation No. 21. (5 CCR 1002-21), section 21.16, and the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31 (5 CCR 1002-31), section 31.8, except that:

For USACE Section 404 permits and FERC licenses, "significance determinations" for reviewable waters under section 31.8(3)(c) shall be made with respect to the net effect of the activity as a whole for new or increased water quality impacts of the proposed Project, taking into account any environmental benefits within the Project area, including any water quality improvements, or mitigation measures proposed to be implemented within the Project area. Where possible, water quality improvements, or mitigation measures shall be located in the same watershed where the reviewable

segment(s) are located;

- (b) The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31 (5 CCR 1002-31), and the Basic Standards for Ground Water, Regulation No. 41 (5 CCR 1002-41);
- (c) Classifications and water quality standards assigned to the waters affected by the Project at the date of certification for which a federal license or permit is required;
- (d) Any applicable effluent limitations or control regulations;
- (e) ~~Best Management Practices~~ Control Measures required by this regulation and located in Clean Water Policy 12, in subsection 82.6(B);
- (f) The stormwater discharge provisions of the Colorado Discharge Permit System, Regulation No. 61 (5 CCR 1002-61);
- (g) Water quality-related conditions in any applicable local, state, and federal permits, licenses or agreements;
- (h) Comments and other information raised during the public comment period outlined in subsection 82.5(B);
- (i) Any Project-specific conditions proposed by the applicant and agreed to by the Division, including any condition beyond the authority of the Division to require.

(2) **Regular Certification.** If, after consideration of the elements in subsection 82.5(A)(1), the Division concludes that there is reasonable assurance that the Project for which a federal license or permit is required will comply with all applicable requirements if constructed, operated, and maintained as designed, the Division shall grant certification ~~issue a certification~~ without additional conditions for the license or permit.

(3) **Conditional Certification.** If, after consideration of the elements in subsection 82.5(A)(1), the Division concludes that there is reasonable assurance that the Project for which certification is required will comply with all applicable requirements only if one or more conditions are placed on the license or permit to mitigate the water quality impacts of the construction and operation of the Project, the Division shall grant certification with conditions ~~issue a 401 water quality certification with such conditions included~~. Any water quality improvement, or mitigation measures taken into account in making the significance determination referenced in section 82.5(A)(1)(a) shall be incorporated as conditions of the 401 certification. The Division may condition water quality certifications on adaptive management to address changes in the Project's predicted impacts and/or future changes in applicable water quality classifications and standards. The Division, as a part of the conditional certification, may require water quality monitoring, based on site-specific circumstances, to ensure that Control Measures ~~BMPs~~ are performing

as designed and that the Project complies with all applicable conditions. Any conditions imposed by the Division shall be consistent with subsection 25-8-104, C.R.S. Any condition acceptable to the applicant and the Division that is beyond the authority of the Division to impose may also be included as a condition to the certification. Prior to issuance of such conditional certification, the Division may hold one or more meetings or conferences to inform the applicant of the need for such conditions and to discuss options for the Project including redesign or modification.

- (4) **Emergency Certification of Section 404 Permits.** Whenever the USACE makes a determination that it will process an application for a section 404 permit pursuant to its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations, 33 CFR 325.2(e)(4), the Division may issue a section 401 certification pursuant to subsections 82.5(A)(2) or 82.5(A)(3) on an emergency basis under subsection 82.5(B)(3), if it determines that such certification is necessary to preserve public health or welfare. In issuing such certification, the Division shall take into consideration the factors listed in section 82.5(A)(1) to the extent practicable, and may modify or waive, to the extent necessary, the certification requirements of section 82.6.
- (5) **Denial of Certification.** If, after consideration of the elements in subsection 82.5(A)(1), the Division concludes that there is not a reasonable assurance that the Project for which a federal license or permit is required will comply with all applicable requirements even with the addition of conditions, the Division shall deny certification of the license or permit. Prior to denial of certification, the Division may hold one or more meetings or conferences to inform the applicant of the preliminary decision to deny certification and to allow the applicant to make necessary modifications to the Project leading toward certification, if possible.
- (6) The Division shall not deny C certification shall not be denied where the imposition of conditions or denial would result in material injury to water rights as prohibited under section 25-8-104, C.R.S. In such case, the Division shall identify in the certification and in the Water Quality Information Bulletin that section 25-8-104, C.R.S. has been applied. However, the Division and the Project proponents shall, in concert with commenters to the certification proceeding, including the involved federal agencies, examine and implement, where appropriate, means to prevent, reduce or mitigate water quality impacts identified during the permitting process and associated with the exercise of water rights. In such case, agreed upon conditions to ensure that the federally-permitted activity will comply with effluent limitations, water quality classifications and standards, and other applicable water quality control requirements that may be imposed under state law shall be included in the Division's certification determination.
- (7) **Expressly Waive Certification.** The Division will waive its right to issue a certification if the Division does not act within a reasonable period of time, which will be established at time of certification request.

- (B) Public Notice

In preparing a certification determination the Division shall prepare both a draft and final certification.

- (1) The draft certification shall be noticed in the Water Quality Information Bulletin, and shall include a request for comments to be submitted to the Division within thirty (30) days of publication in the bulletin. The draft certification shall contain:
 - (a) If applicable, a preliminary antidegradation determination in accordance with the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, (5 CCR 1002-31), Regulation 31.8; and
 - (b) A draft certification determination.
- (2) The final determination shall be prepared following the thirty day (30) public comment period on the draft certification. The final certification shall include any changes determined appropriate by the Division based upon public comments and information raised during the public comment period for the draft certification. Notice of a final antidegradation determination and final certification determination will be published in the Water Quality information Bulletin.
- (3) Emergency Certification of Section 404 Permits. Subsections 82.5(B)(1) and (B)(2) notwithstanding, whenever the USACE makes a determination that it will process an application for a section 404 permit pursuant to its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations, 33 CFR 325.2(e)(4), the Division may issue an emergency section 401 certification pursuant to subsection 82.5(A)(4). Reasonable efforts will be made to receive comments from interested ~~F~~ederal, state and local agencies and the affected public.

(C) Other Division Procedures:

- (1) The Division shall, where appropriate, or where requested, provide to commenters to the certification proceeding and to others upon request, its written analysis of its basis for certification, including identification of the stream segments affected, the potential water quality impacts identified as a result of the Project, and the results of any actions under subsection 82.5(A)(6) to prevent, reduce or mitigate water quality impacts associated with the exercise of water rights. A copy of any such analysis shall be provided to the federal permitting or licensing agency at the time of certification.
- (2) Where possible, the 401 certification process should be coordinated or consolidated with the scoping and review processes of other agencies, u which have a role in a proposed Project in an effort to minimize costs and delays for such Projects.
- (3) When an issue involving section 25-8-104, u C.R.S. is raised, the Division shall consult with the State Engineer and the Water Conservation Board in determining whether a contemplated 401 condition or denial may be inconsistent with section 25-8-104 of the Water Quality Control Act.

- (4) The Division shall complete the certification decision within a reasonable period of time as soon as practicable following the expiration of the public comment period provided for in subsection 82.5 as established at the time of the certification request ~~(B)~~. If the federal agency to whom license or permit application has been made has determined that an environmental impact statement, public hearing, or other action to supplement the body of information for the application is necessary, the Division may delay the issuance of a certification decision until a time not later than sixty (60) days, following the close of the administrative record, if it finds that such process may produce information relevant to the certification decision. If the Division finds that a delay is unavoidable, the Division shall provide the applicant notice of the delay, including an explanation of the reason for the delay. Any failure of the Division to issue a certification decision within the ~~timeframes established above~~ reasonable period of time shall ~~not~~ be deemed as the Division expressly waiving the certification, either an issuance or a denial of certification, except as provided in the Federal Act. The applicant for certification in coordination with the federal permitting agency, may waive the decision time frames above upon request by the Division.
- (D) Except for data determined to be confidential under section 25-8-405(2), C.R.S., or other applicable law, all reports and information prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements herein shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Division.
- (E) A 401 certification does not convey any property rights in either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.
- (F) Nothing herein shall preclude the Division from initiating action for enforcement as may be provided by law.

82.6 CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS:

- (A) The following requirements shall apply to all certifications unless noted:
- (1) Authorized representatives from the Division shall be permitted to enter upon the site where the construction activity or operation of the Project is taking place ~~for purposes of to inspection of for compliance with BMPs Control Measures and~~, certification conditions, and any other state requirements.
- (2) In the event of any changes in control or ownership of facilities where the construction activity or operation of the Project is taking place, the successor shall be notified in writing by ~~his~~ their predecessor of the existence of any the BMPs Control Measures and certification conditions, if applicable. A copy of such notification shall be provided to the Division within sixty (60) days after the change in control or ownership.
- ~~(3) If the permittee discovers that certification conditions are not being implemented as designed, or if there is an exceedance of water quality standards despite compliance with the certification~~

~~conditions and there is reason to believe that the exceedance is caused, in whole or in part, by the Project, the permittee shall verbally notify the Division of such failure or exceedance within two (2) working days of becoming aware of the same. Within ten (10) working days of such notification, the permittee shall provide to the Division, in writing, the following:~~

- ~~(a) In the case of the failure to comply with the certification conditions, a description of (i) the nature of such failure, (ii) any reasons for such failure, (iii) the period of non-compliance, and (iv) the measures to be taken to correct such failure to comply; and~~
- ~~(b) In the case of the exceedance of a water quality standard, (i) an explanation, to the extent known after reasonable investigation, of the relationship between the Project and the exceedance, (ii) the identity any other known contributions to the exceedance, and (iii) a proposal to modify the certification conditions so as to remedy the contribution of the Project to the exceedance.~~

~~(4) Any anticipated change in discharge location and/or quantities associated with the Project which may result in water quality impacts not considered in the original certification must be reported to the Division by submission of a written notice by the permittee thirty (30) days prior to the change. If the Division change is determines d the change to be significant, the permittee will be notified within ten (10) days and will work with the federal permitting agency and the Division to modify the certification. be notified within ten days, and the change will be acknowledged and approved or disapproved.~~

~~(35)~~ Any diversion from or bypass of facilities necessary to maintain compliance with the terms-requirements and/or conditions herein is prohibited, except (i) where unavoidable to prevent loss of life or severe property damage, or (ii) where excessive storm drainage or runoff would damage any facilities necessary for compliance with limitations and prohibitions herein. The Division shall be notified immediately in writing of each such diversion or bypass.

~~(6) At least fifteen (15) days prior to commencement of a Project in a watercourse, which the Division has granted certificationcertified, or granted certification with conditionsally certified, the permittee shall notify the following:~~

- ~~(c) Applicable local health departments;~~
- ~~(d) Owners or operators of municipal and domestic water-treatment intakes which are located within twenty miles downstream from the site of the Project; and~~
- ~~(e) Owners or operators of other intakes or diversions, which are located within five miles downstream from the site of the Project.~~

~~The permittee shall maintain a list of the persons and entities notified,~~

~~including the date and form of notification.~~

- (~~47~~) Immediately upon discovery of any spill or other discharge to waters of the state not authorized by the applicable license or permit, the permittee shall notify the following;
- (a) The Division;
 - (~~a~~b) Applicable local health departments;
 - (~~b~~c) Owners or operators of municipal and domestic water treatment intakes which are located within twenty miles downstream from the site of the Project; and
 - (~~d~~e) Owners or operators of other intakes or diversions which are located within five miles downstream from the site of the Project.

The permittee shall maintain a list of the persons and entities notified, including the date and form of notification.

- (~~58~~) Construction operations within watercourses and water bodies shall be restricted to only those Project areas specified in the federal license or permit.
- (~~69~~) No construction equipment shall be operated below the existing water surface until the Division is notified.
- (~~74~~) Work should be carried out diligently and completed as soon as practicable. To the maximum extent practicable, discharges of dredged or fill material shall be restricted to those periods when impacts to designated uses are minimal.
- (~~148~~) If applicable, ~~t~~The Project shall incorporate provisions for operation, maintenance, and replacement of BMPs-Control Measures to assure compliance with the conditions-requirements identified in this section, and any other conditions placed in the permit or certification. All such provisions shall be identified and compiled in an operation and maintenance plan which will be retained by the Project owner and available for inspection within a reasonable timeframe upon request by any authorized representative of the Division.
- (~~94~~) The use of chemicals during construction and operation shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. There shall be no excess application and introduction of chemicals into state waters.
- (~~104~~) All solids, sludges, dredged or stockpiled materials and all fuels, lubricants, other toxic materials shall be controlled in a manner so as to prevent such materials from entering state waters.
- ~~(14) All seed, mulching material and straw used in the Project shall be state-certified weed-free.~~
- (~~114~~) Discharges of dredged or fill material to state waters in excess of that

necessary to complete the Project are not permitted.

- (1~~26~~) Discharges to state waters not identified in the license or permit and not certified in accordance therewith are not allowed, subject to the terms of any 401 certification.
- (1~~37~~) Except as otherwise provided pursuant to subsection 82.7(D), no discharge shall be allowed which causes non-attainment of a narrative water quality standard identified in the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Waters, Regulation No. 31 (5 CCR 1002-31), including, but not limited to discharges of substances in amounts, concentrations or combinations which:
 - (a) Can settle to form bottom deposits detrimental to beneficial uses; or
 - (b) Form floating debris, scum, or other surface materials sufficient to harm existing beneficial uses; or
 - (c) Produce color, odor, or other conditions in such a degree as to create a nuisance or harm existing beneficial uses or impart any undesirable taste to significant edible aquatic species, or to the water; or
 - (d) Are harmful to the beneficial uses or toxic to humans, animals, plants, or aquatic life; or
 - (e) Produce a predominance of undesirable aquatic life; or
 - (f) Cause a film on the surface or produce a deposit on shorelines.

~~(B) — Best Management Practices (Control Measures):~~

- ~~(1) — Best management practices (BMPs) are required for all Projects for which Division certification is issued except for section 402 permits. Project applicants must select BMPs to be employed in their Project. The Division maintains a policy document with best management practices (Clean Water Policy 12).~~
- ~~(2) — All requests for 401 certifications shall include a map of the Project location, a site plan, and a listing of the selected BMPs chosen for the Project. At a minimum, each Project must provide for the following:
 - ~~(a) — Permanent erosion and sediment control measures that shall be installed at the earliest practicable time consistent with good construction practices and that shall be maintained and replaced as necessary throughout the life of the Project, and~~
 - ~~(b) — Temporary erosion and sediment control measures that shall be coordinated with permanent measures to assure economical, effective, and continuous control throughout the construction phase and during the operation of the Project.~~~~

~~(B) For granted certifications with conditions (82.5(A)(3)), if the permittee discovers that~~

certification conditions are not being implemented as designed, or if there is an exceedance of water quality standards despite compliance with the certification conditions and there is reason to believe that the exceedance is caused, in whole or in part, by the Project, the permittee shall verbally notify the Division of such failure or exceedance within two (2) working days of becoming aware of the same.

Within ten (10) working days of such notification, the permittee shall provide to the Division, in writing, the following:

- (1) In the case of the failure to comply with the certification conditions, a description of (i) the nature of such failure, (ii) any reasons for such failure, (iii) the period of non-compliance, and (iv) the measures to be taken to correct such failure to comply; and
- (2) In the case of the exceedance of a water quality standard, (i) an explanation, to the extent known after reasonable investigation, of the relationship between the Project and the exceedance, (ii) the identity of any other known contributions to the exceedance, and (iii) a proposal to modify the certification conditions so as to remedy the contribution of the Project to the exceedance.
- (3) Any anticipated change in discharge location and/or quantities associated with the Project which may result in water quality impacts not considered in the original certification must be reported to the Division by submission of a written notice by the permittee prior to the change. If the change is determined to be significant, the permittee will be notified within ten (10) days, and the Division will ~~change will be acknowledged~~ and approved or disapprove the change.
- (4) At least fifteen days prior to commencement of a Project in a watercourse, which the Division has certified, or conditionally certified, the permittee shall notify the following:
 - (i) Applicable local health departments;
 - (ii) Owners or operators of municipal and domestic water treatment intakes which are located within twenty miles downstream from the site of the Project; and
 - (iii) Owners or operators of other intakes or diversions which are located within five miles downstream from the site of the Project.
 - (iv) The permittee shall maintain a list of the persons and entities notified, including the date and form of notification.

82.7 IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CERTIFICATIONS

The Division is authorized to utilize the following approaches to ensure that the certification is implemented and maintained:

- (A) Upon receipt of information that water quality standards are being exceeded as a consequence of the Project's construction or operation, the Division, after consultation with the permittee and ~~notification of~~ the appropriate federal permitting agency, may modify the certification and provide a copy of such modification to the federal permitting agency and applicant.

- (B) Upon receipt of information indicating that one or more certification conditions have not been complied with during the construction or operation of a Project, the Division shall notify the appropriate federal permitting agency in writing and request that necessary action be taken to implement such conditions as contemplated in Section 401(D) of the Federal Act. A copy of any such notification and request shall be sent to the permittee. The Division shall remain in communication with the federal permitting agency and the permittee regarding the progress towards implementation of the conditions until satisfactory compliance has been obtained, or until the federal agency has completed enforcement action.
- (C) If the procedures in subsection 82.7(A) and (B) above are unsuccessful at implementing the certification, in addition to enforcement authorities provided under the Water Quality Control Act, the Division may initiate procedures pursuant to section 24-4-104, C.R.S., to suspend certification for a defined period of time to enable the applicant to comply with the certification conditions or submit a new certification application, or to revoke the water quality certification.
- (D) Temporary exceedances of water quality standards shall be deemed in compliance with applicable provisions so long as such exceedance will not be of a degree to cause conditions acutely toxic to aquatic life or to exceed standards assigned to protect a domestic drinking water supply where that is a classified use.

82.8 REVIEW OF DIVISION 401 CERTIFICATIONS

401 certification decisions of the Division shall be reviewable pursuant to section 25-8-302(1)(f) C.R.S., and the applicable provisions of the State Administrative Procedure Act.

82.9 SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this regulation are severable, and if any provisions or the application of the provisions to any circumstances is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this regulation, shall not be affected thereby.

82.10 Reserved

82.11 Reserved.

82.12 STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

Certification by the State of Colorado under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act is required for issuance of Federal licenses and permits which may result in a discharge to waters of the United States in Colorado. At this time this requirement applies to Corps of Engineers individual 404 permits, and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission licenses and permits, although these regulations do not restrict certification to those permits only. The Water Quality Control Division is given the certification responsibility under Section 25-8-302 of the State Act. These regulations satisfy the requirements of Section 25-8-101 et seq and 25-8-302 of the State Act.

In adopting these regulations, the Water Quality Control Commission has determined that it is necessary for the State, acting through the Division, to determine whether the

adopted stream classifications and water quality standards will be complied with rather than leaving this determination to the Corps of Engineers or other federal agency charged with issuing permits affecting state waters. Further, the Commission concluded that the basic stream standards included in Section 3.1.11 of the Basic Standards and Methodologies together with the numeric standards assigned to protect the classified uses of any segment or segments are to be considered by the Division when deciding whether to unconditionally certify, conditionally certify, or deny certification of federal licenses or permits.

Any discharge into state waters, even an insignificant one, is recognized by the Commission as having the likelihood to cause noncompliance with stream standards for a short distance below the discharge point for a short duration. These regulations incorporate this concept by requiring the Division to consider effects on significant portions of stream segments for extended periods of time.

It is recognized that the construction and operation of water diversion, conveyance, and storage facilities may result in unavoidable and permanent changes in the water quality characteristics of any segment of a stream which is inundated by the facility. These regulations are not intended to apply to or regulate such impacts. Furthermore, water quality changes which occur within diversion, conveyance and storage facilities, including daily or seasonal changes such as temperature stratification, turnover, changes in the level of dissolved oxygen, or other conditions associated with the capture, impoundment, diversion, conveyance, or release of water, are not considered to be noncompliance for the purposes of these regulations.

The Commission concluded that enforcement authority of the conditions of certification rests with the federal licensing agency. However, the Division is not prevented from notifying the federal agency pursuant to section 401(a)(5) of the federal act that a permitted activity is in violation of the certification or from initiating action against the federal agency in mandamus or as otherwise permitted by law to prevent the continuation of an activity in violation of a certification, particularly if attempts to resolve the matter through the federal licensing agency have been unsuccessful.

The Commission considered the economic ramifications of these regulations and concluded that they were economically reasonable. The benefits which accrue to all as a result of maintaining the classified uses of the states waters and the water quality standards outweigh the minor costs associated with the imposition of the management requirements and additional requirements listed in 2.4.5.

82.13 Statement of Fiscal Impact

The costs of complying with these regulations falls to those persons who are required to obtain federal licenses or permits for the discharge of certain materials into state waters. Since most federal licenses or permits will likely be certified with conditions, the costs will be associated with complying with the conditions ("MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS" and "ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS" in 2.4.5). These conditions are not extraordinary requirements not normally followed in construction, but rather are good construction and maintenance techniques which will not add appreciably to the costs of projects requiring federal permits or licenses.

The benefits of these regulations fall to all persons who rely upon the classified beneficial uses of the state's waters and the maintenance of the stream standards adopted to protect those uses. These benefits are considerable and far outweigh the costs of complying with these regulations, even if denial of certification in certain specific instances is necessary to protect the state's waters.

Since these regulations essentially codify procedures which have been followed for some time, except that even fewer and less restrictive conditions are available for application to specific permits, there is reason to believe that the costs of utilizing federal permits in Colorado will remain at or below historic levels.

**82.14 FINDINGS REGARDING BASIS FOR EMERGENCY RULE,
AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT, FOR AMENDMENTS
ADOPTED ON FEBRUARY 2, 1988.**

Background

These changes have been adopted by the Commission to resolve a dispute as to whether the State currently has adequate authority to conduct the full certification required by section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act in connection with federal authorization of any activity that may result in any discharge into state surface waters. The revised language is intended to assure that the State has full authority to conduct the section 401 certifications required by the Federal Act. Language in the previous Statement of Basis and Purpose (2.4.11) that may have indicated more limited authority is hereby overridden.

This dispute arose due to a controversy regarding section 401 certification in connection with issuance of a section 404 permit for a proposed new reservoir project. Along with clarifying the State's intent to conduct the full section 401 certification, these amendments resolve the issue of how water quality standards and conditions are to be applied to the operation phase of water supply projects when conducting such reviews. The purpose of the new and amended provisions in section 2.4.5 is to establish broad authority to address water quality impacts, with a proviso intended to assure consistency with C.R.S. 25-8-104.

The Commission expressly recognizes that the character of a body of water changes from that of a flowing stream to that of a reservoir as the result of an impoundment. It is the intent of the Commission to integrate new state waters which result from impoundments into the existing classification and standards system, as appropriate. Whenever a reservoir is constructed, the Division shall evaluate factors such as the proposed uses and public access to such reservoir, and request that the Commission adopt classifications and standards to protect the uses of the new water body where appropriate.

Control Regulations

At the hearing there was considerable debate whether the Commission should adopt some portion or all of these amendments solely pursuant to the authority in C.R.S. 25-8-202(1)(i.5) (relating to regulations governing 401 certification activities), or rather pursuant to the authority in C.R.S. 25-8-205 (relating to control regulations). In order to help minimize the risk of any legal challenge to these amendments, the Commission has decided to adopt these changes pursuant to the provisions of both sections.

In adopting these amendments, the Commission has considered the factors listed in C.R.S. 25-8-205(2). The amendments and the regulation as a whole are necessary to assure that applicable federally- permitted activities will be in compliance with State water quality classifications and standards, and other water quality control requirements. The regulation does not specify treatment requirements that are automatically applicable to any particular types of discharges. Rather, the regulation

relies principally on a list of management practices, monitoring requirements and mitigation requirements (in section 2.4.5) that may be applied in a particular case as necessary to assure compliance with applicable requirements. The inclusion of a wide variety of potential conditions is intended to assure that project-specific conditions can be formulated that will assure compliance with water quality standards and any other applicable requirements (e.g. other control regulations) in the most practical, economically and technically feasible manner available. The Commission believes that the amended regulation is consistent with existing federal water quality control requirements.

The regulation as amended, through the list of potential conditions, provides flexibility to take into account the continuous, intermittent, or seasonal nature of a discharge to be controlled in developing conditions for individual projects. It is the intention of the Commission that these differences be taken into account by the Division in developing such project-specific conditions. Similarly, under the regulation as amended the Division will have flexibility to take into account the presence or absence of dilution flows, and the capacity of the receiving water to assimilate the discharge, in fashioning conditions for a project.

Essentially all of the potential conditions in section 2.4.5 are safety precautions that may need to be taken in specific situations to protect water quality. The need to apply any specific conditions to an individual project to assure compliance with applicable water quality requirements should be assessed by the Division when acting on 401 certification requests.

Emergency Adoption

The Commission finds that the adoption of the amended regulation on an emergency basis is imperatively necessary for the preservation of the public welfare and that compliance with normal notice requirements would be contrary to the public interest. The State has a substantial interest in maintaining its autonomy in establishing and implementing an appropriate water quality control program for Colorado, including the application of water quality standards and other water quality control requirements, especially in view of Colorado's complex, interrelated water quality and water quantity management systems. Expedient approval of environmentally sound water development projects is an important state interest that should not be left to EPA control.

The public interest in State-rather than federal-water quality control decision-making is evidenced by the legislature's adoption of the Water Quality Control Act, including a specific direction that the Commission adopt regulations to provide for State 401 certification determinations. Because there is not time to comply with the normal 60-day notice requirement for control regulations, this emergency action has become necessary to assure full and appropriate State decision-making with respect to two or three major water supply projects currently in the permitting process. There is a substantial public welfare interest in avoiding the uncertainty and duplication of review that is likely to occur without this emergency action. Substantial time and costs are likely to be associated with dual review procedures and delays in project authorization and construction.

Moreover, it has been argued by some, including EPA, that if control regulations are not adopted on an emergency basis by the Commission, the 401 certification review for these projects will be conducted by EPA and that EPA will have no authority to apply water quality standards to the operational aspects of the projects. Therefore, in view of this legal uncertainty the emergency adoption is necessary to assure that there will be a meaningful and substantive 401 certification review for all aspects of these

water supply projects. This requires not only amending the definition of “401 certification”, but also adopting appropriate revised and additional provisions to assure an adequate State review.

Specific Amendments

Several non-substantive, editorial changes have been made to make the regulation clearer and more understandable. Among the substantive changes, the definition of “401 certification” has been revised to assure that the State has authority to conduct the full 401 certification required for projects, including addressing the operational phase of projects. The definition of “compliance or comply” has been revised to clarify that while certain temporary exceedances of standards is allowed, there should be certain limits on the extent of such temporary impacts. This is necessary in order for water quality requirements to be applied meaningfully to the long-term operations of projects, as well as to the short-term construction phase to which the regulation originally applied.

The definition of compliance, Section 2.4.4, and the introductory language of section 2.4.5 have been revised to clarify the Commission’s intention that water quality classifications and any other applicable water quality control requirements be complied with (along with effluent limitations and water quality standards) in issuing 401 certifications. The phrase “other water quality control requirements” refers to control regulations or other duly adopted and enforceable regulations. Certain other introductory language in section 2.4.5 also has been revised since the list of potential conditions in that section no longer is limited solely to “management practices.”

Language has been added to conditions 3 and 4 in section 2.4.5 to allow these conditions to be applied to the operation phase of projects, as well as the construction phase. New condition 18 provides more general authority for the Division to impose requirements to assure compliance with applicable water quality requirements. The provision provides that the impacts of both the discharge of pollutants and hydrologic modifications may be addressed. There was considerable controversy over the inclusion of “hydrologic modifications,” due to the potential impact on water rights. The Commission has addressed this concern by the inclusion of the proviso that any conditions imposed must be consistent with section 25-8-104 of the Water Quality Control Act. There may be hydrologic modification impacts that can be mitigated without materially injuring water rights. The Commission believes that it has a responsibility to assure the maximum practical water quality protection that does not conflict with the provisions of section 25-8-104. Moreover, because of the wide variety of factual circumstances that may exist, the Commission has decided it is preferable at this time to leave the formulation of specific conditions in a manner that is consistent with section 25-8-104 to case-by-case determinations, rather than attempting to address all potential circumstances in this regulation.

New condition 19 also provides important additional flexibility in the section 401 certification process. There may be situations where there would be a lack of compliance with applicable effluent limitations, water quality classifications and standards, or other water quality control requirements, which can not be avoided by imposition of conditions 1 through 18. In these circumstances, without condition 19 the Division would have no alternative but to deny certification, except where denial would be in conflict with Section 25-8-104 of the Water Quality Control Act.

The intent of the language added to the end of section 2.4.7 is to assure that potential certification denials are handled in a manner consistent with section 25-8-104 of the State Act. This recognizes that in some circumstances a project may have water

quality impacts that could be mitigated only by being inconsistent with section 25-8-104, and provides that due to section 25-8-104 the 401 certification will not be denied in such circumstances. In such cases, the Division shall identify any such water quality impacts for which mitigation is not being required by the State, due to the State policy established in section 25-8-104, and recognize that this result is in conformance with State policy.

Fiscal Impact

Because a section 401 certification requirement has already been in place under the federal Clean Water Act and because the certification reviews have already been conducted by the Division pursuant to this regulation, no substantial fiscal impact is anticipated from this amendment for most projects. To the extent that the new and revised requirements in section 2.4.5 require monitoring and mitigation of water quality impacts that was not required previously for some projects, these changes could increase project costs for some applicants. However, the Commission finds that such conditions would result in corresponding environmental benefits by assuring compliance with water quality standards. Because of the wide variety of factual circumstances that may occur, it is not possible to quantify either the costs or the benefits at this time. Moreover, since without these conditions in some instances the Division's only alternative would be to deny certification, these new provisions will in such circumstances have a positive economic impact in allowing projects to proceed. To the extent that some aspects of section 401 certification might otherwise have been performed by the Environmental Protection Agency instead of the State, this amendment should reduce the costs of the regulatory process to applicants who would otherwise have to deal with both agencies to obtain 401 certification.

82.15 FINDINGS REGARDING BASIS FOR EMERGENCY RULE AND STATEMENT OF BASIS, STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE FOR ADOPTION OF PERMANENT RULE (January, 1989 Amendments)

EMERGENCY ADOPTION

The Commission finds that the adoption of the amended regulation on an emergency basis is imperatively necessary for the preservation of the public welfare. Moreover, the Commission finds that for this rule not to be adopted with an immediate effective date would be contrary to the public interest.

The State has a substantial interest in maintaining its autonomy in establishing and implementing an appropriate water quality control program for Colorado, including the application of water quality standards and other water quality control requirements, especially in view of Colorado's complex, interrelated water quality and water quantity management systems. Expeditious approval of environmentally sound water development projects is an important state interest that should not be left to EPA control.

In order to assure adequate state 401 certification authority, on February 2, 1988, the Commission adopted certain emergency amendments to this rule. See Finding Regarding Basis for Emergency Rule, and Fiscal Impact Statement, for Amendments Adopted on February 2, 1988. By law, that emergency rule would expire on February 2, 1989. An emergency effective date for these new amendments is necessary to avoid any substantial gap in the effectiveness of fully adequate state 401 certification regulations. Such a gap could result in unnecessary confusion and substantial expense for project proponents seeking 401 certifications during that period. Therefore, this amended regulation shall be effective immediately and shall remain effective on an

emergency basis until March 2, 1989, at which time the amended regulation becomes permanently effective.

BACKGROUND

These changes have been adopted by the Commission to ensure that the State has adequate authority to conduct the full certification required by section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act in connection with federal authorization of any activity that may result in any discharge into state surface waters. The revised language is intended to assure that the State has full authority to conduct the section 401 certifications required by the Federal Act. Language in the previous Statement of Basis and Purpose (2.4.11) that may have indicated more limited authority is hereby overridden.

Along with the clarifying the State's intent to conduct the full section 401 certification, these amendments resolve the issue of how State use classifications and water quality standards and conditions are to be applied to all activities requiring a section 404 permit, including reservoir and water diversion projects.

The purpose of the new and amended provisions is to establish broad authority to address all pertinent water quality impacts of 404 permitted activities during both the construction and operational phases of such projects. The regulation reflects the Commission's twin responsibilities of preserving the water quality of the State by conducting full federal section 401 certification, while protecting the exercise of water rights by assuring consistency with C.R.S. 25-8-104.

SOURCE OF AUTHORITY TO ADOPT REGULATION

One threshold issue for the Commission is whether it should adopt some portion or all of these amendments solely pursuant to the authority in C.R.S. 25-8-202(1)(i.5) (relating to regulations governing 401 certification activities), or rather pursuant to the authority in C.R.S. 25-8-205 (relating to control regulation). In order to help minimize the risk of any legal challenge to these amendments, the Commission has decided to adopt these changes pursuant to the provisions of both sections.

In adopting these amendments, the Commission has considered all the factors listed in C.R.S. 25-5-205(2). The amendments and the regulation as a whole are necessary to assure that applicable federally- permitted activities will be in compliance with State water quality classifications and standards, and other water quality control requirements. (205(2)(a)). The regulation does not specify treatment requirements that are automatically applicable to any particular types of discharges. (205(2)(b)). Rather, the regulation relies principally on a list of management practices, monitoring requirements and mitigation requirements (in section 2.4.5) that may be applied in a particular case as necessary to assure compliance with applicable requirements. The inclusion of a wide variety of potential conditions is intended to assure that project-specific conditions can be formulated that will assure compliance with water quality standards and any other applicable requirements (e.g., other control regulations) in the most practical, economically and technically feasible manner available. (205(2)(c)). The Commission believes that the amended regulation is consistent with existing federal water quality control requirements. (205(2)(d)).

The regulation as amended, through the list of potential conditions, provides flexibility to take into account the continuous, intermittent, or seasonal nature of a discharge to be controlled in developing conditions for individual projects. (205(2)(e)). It is the intention of the Commission that these differences be taken into account by the Division in developing such project-specific conditions. Similarly, under the regulation as amended, the Division will have flexibility to take into account the presence or

absence of dilution flows, and the capacity of the receiving water to assimilate the discharge, in fashioning conditions for a project. (205(2)(f)).

Essentially all of the potential conditions in section 2.4.5 are safety precautions that may need to be taken in specific situations to protect water quality. The need to apply any specific conditions to an individual project to assure compliance with the applicable water quality requirements should be assessed by the Division when acting on 401 certification requests. (205(2)(g)).

SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENTS

In adopting this regulation, the Commission has had to interpret and resolve several important issues flowing from the language of section 401 of the federal act, and from the interplay of this language with section 104 of the State Water Quality Control Act. The operative federal section 401 language requires that any “activity” which results in “any discharge” [a section 401 activity] must receive a State certification that the discharge will comply with applicable provisions of the federal act. These “applicable provisions” include the federal requirement that states establish water quality classifications, standards, effluent limits, and control regulations to protect water quality. Section 104 of the State Act forbids such water quality rules when they (1) “impair rights to divert... and apply water to beneficial uses,” (2) “result in material injury to water rights,” or (3) “require minimum stream flows.”

The first major issue resolved by the amended regulation is that a Colorado 401 certification may address the water quality effects of a section 401 activity during both the construction and operational phases of the activity. Both such aspects of a section 401 activity affect water quality. The definition of “401 certification” has been revised to assure that the State has authority to conduct the full 401 certification (2.4.3.1). Language has also been added to conditions 3 and 4 in section 2.4.5, and to requirements 1 and 2 in section 2.4.6, to allow these conditions and requirements to be applied to the operation and construction phase of section 401 projects.

The second important issue resolved by this regulation is the Commission’s determination that section 401 of the federal act authorizes states to certify (1) that the “discharge” from the section 401 activity will comply with water quality requirements that may be imposed under state law, and (2) that changes in water quality caused by the activity producing the discharge will also so comply. Consistent with this interpretation of federal law, new condition 21 (of section 2.4.5) permits the Division to impose requirements on section 401 activities (1) to address “direct” water quality impacts resulting from the discharge, and (2) to address “indirect” water quality impacts resulting from the activity itself. Examples of the latter might include: changes in the character of a body of water caused by an impoundment, reservoir, or water diversion structure requiring a section 404 permit (and consequent state 401 certification). The Commission believes that section 401 of the federal act and sections 25-8-202 and 25-8-205 of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act authorize the impacts of these activities to be addressed to the extent they alter the biological, chemical, or physical integrity of the waters of the State. These impacts are indirectly caused by the discharge, in that they would not occur unless the discharge is authorized.

The third significant issue resolved by this regulation involves the relationship between the Colorado 401 certification process and section 25-8-104 of the State Act. The Commission interprets section 401 to require states to certify compliance only with those water quality rules that legally may be imposed under State law. Because section 104 helps define the range of permissible water quality rules under state law, the regulation provides that the Division may neither impose certification conditions

inconsistent with section 104 (section 2.4.5.21), nor deny a certification where water quality impacts may be avoided only by imposing conditions inconsistent with section 104 (section 2.4.7).

The fourth key issue involves the Commission's interpretation of Section 104 in the context of State 401 certifications. Although the Commission recognizes that it is not the final judge of the precise meaning of the prohibitions found in section 104 (that being the responsibility of the legislature and the judiciary), it nevertheless believes it appropriate to provide guidance to the Division in ascertaining certification conditions and mitigation measures consistent with section 104. Therefore, while new condition 21 of section 2.4.5 permits the Division to require mitigation prior to certifying certain dams and diversions impacting water quality, condition 21 deems inconsistent with section 104 (and the lawful exercise of water rights) mitigation "requiring water to be released from impoundments or restricting the quantity of water withdrawn from the state water bodies..." Such conditions were thought either to require "minimum stream flows" or to "impair rights to divert water," both of which are prohibited by section 104.

To further assist the Division in interpreting section 104, and to ensure that the Division's judgment about the scope of section 104 is not entirely dependent on an interpretation provided by parties seeking 401 certification, the Division is required by section 2.4.8.6 to "consult with the State engineer and the Attorney General in determining whether a contemplated 401 condition or denial may be inconsistent with section 104..."

SPECIFIC AMENDMENTS

The definition of "401 certification" has been revised to assure that the State has authority to conduct the full 401 certification required for projects, including addressing the operational phase of projects.

The definition of compliance, section 2.4.3.4, and the introductory language of section 2.4.5, have been revised to clarify the Commission's intention that the Commission's Basic Standards, including water quality classifications and any applicable water quality control requirements (along with effluent limitations and water quality standards) be complied with in issuing 401 certifications. The phrase "other water quality control requirements" refers to control regulations or other duly adopted and enforceable regulations. The definition of "compliance or comply" has also been revised to clarify that while certain temporary exceedences of standards are allowed, there should be certain limits on the extent of such temporary impacts. This is necessary in order for water quality requirements to be applied meaningfully to the long-term operations of projects, as well as to the short-term construction phase to which the regulation originally applied.

Certain introductory language in section 2.4.5 has been revised since the list of potential conditions in that section no longer is limited solely to "management practices." The introduction to section 2.4.5 has also been amended to reflect the Commission's decision that certification conditions may be both technology- based, and output-based (i.e., based on achieving a specified level of ambient water quality).

In order to provide reasonable assurance that water quality will be protected during the construction and operation of large, long-term, complex projects, the introduction of section 2.4.5 has been amended to permit the Division to add site-specific details to a condition impose under 2.4.5. New condition 22 also provides important additional flexibility in the section 401 certification process. There may be situations where there would be a lack of compliance with applicable effluent limitations, water quality

classifications and standards, or other water quality control requirements, which cannot be avoided by imposition of conditions 1 through 21. In these circumstances, without condition 22 the Division would have no alternative but to deny certification, except where denial would be in conflict with section 25-8-104 of the Water Quality Control Act.

Several sections have been amended or added to ensure that the public, appropriate health departments, and affected water users are notified of the effects of the section 401 activity. Section 2.4.5.1 and 2.4.5.10 require the federal licensee or permittee needing certification to notify the above entities or (1) the project affecting water quality, and (2) the presence of any pollutants that may enter the watercourse as a result of the project. New section 2.4.6.7 permits the Division to provide a separate written analysis of its basis for certification when there is a high public interest in the project or where the Division in its discretion deems the separate analysis to be appropriate.

As a result of a stipulation agreed to by several parties to the hearing, several changes have been made to further the goal of coordination. Section 2.4.5.8 requires the permittee needing 401 certification to coordinate with downstream water purveyors to minimize impacts; on drinking water quality. New section 2.4.8.5 urges that the 401 certification process be coordinated with parallel review processes of other government agencies (e.g., a federal NEPA review), in order to minimize duplication of effort and delays in project approval.

Because of this same stipulation, section 2.4.5.9 has been amended so that the Division may impose conditions to prevent toxic pollutants from entering water courses.

The Commission's antidegradation rule is reflected in several amendments to the Commission's 401 certification regulation. The definition of "compliance or comply" in section 2.4.3.4 has been amended to reflect the limiting role played by the antidegradation rule when a section 401 activity threatens to degrade high quality waters. Amended section 2.4.8.2 extends the time for the Division to act on 401 certification requests if an antidegradation review is required, in order to provide adequate time for public participation in such a review.

The intent of the language added to the end of section 2.4.7 is to assure that potential certification denials are handled in a manner consistent with section 25-8-104 of the State Act. This recognizes that in some circumstances a project may have water quality impacts that could be mitigated only by being inconsistent with section 25-8-104, and provides that due to section 25-8-104, the 401 certification will not be denied in such circumstances. This result is acceptable according to the language and intent of section 401 of the federal act, which requires section 401 activities to comply only with State water quality laws that may be imposed under State law, not those forbidden under state law (i.e., by section 104 of the State Act). The Division shall identify water quality impacts for which mitigation is not being required by the State, due to the State policy established in section 25-8-104, and recognize that this result conforms to State policy.

LIST OF PARTY PARTICIPANTS TO THE 401 CERTIFICATION PUBLIC RULEMAKING HEARING

1. Metropolitan Water Providers Metro Water Participants (Providers)
2. City of Fort Collins
3. City of Colorado Springs
4. Environmental Defense Fund

5. Colorado River Water Conservation District
6. Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District & Municipal Subdistrict
7. City & County of Denver

**82.16 STATEMENT OF BASIS SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND
PURPOSE: AUGUST, 1994, RULEMAKING HEARING RESULTING
FROM TRIENNIAL REVIEW**

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) C.R.S. provides for specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

Basis and Purpose

A. DEFINITIONS AND ORGANIZATION:

In the purpose section, the Commission eliminated the possible confusion regarding the types of federal permits that the regulation applied to.

The Commission particularly noted that federal permits issued under Section 402 of the Federal Act are covered by the regulation. The current 401 regulation was designed primarily to consider the construction and operation aspects of 404 permits or FERC licenses. Because permits issued under section 402 do not involve the same type of certification analysis that 404 permits or FERC licenses do, the Commission anticipates that the requirements for certification of federal 402 permits will need to be revisited at a future rulemaking hearing to determine whether modifications to the regulation need to be made to address the 402 permit certification issues that may arise as this regulation is implemented. It is also conceivable that the State will seek delegation of the federal facilities 402 permit program from the EPA which will render the certification of federal 402 permits moot.

The Commission also decided to add several definitions and modify others to better clarify the terminology used in the regulation.

The Commission determined that the previous organization of the regulation was awkward and redundant, particularly in Sections 2.4.4, 2.4.5 and 2.4.7 where much of the language covering division actions is repeated. Also, the Commission felt it was important to move the portion of the regulation on procedures near the beginning, and include sections on Applicability and Application Procedures to make the regulation more useable. The previous regulation contained a section (2.4.6) on certification requirements that included requirements for both the applicant and the division. The Commission determined that these two matters should be separated for improved organization of the regulation. Instructions for the division are included in 2.4.6(C), and the requirements for the applicant are in 2.4.6(D).

The thirty day time frame in which the division was to normally have reached a certification decision was eliminated from the final rule since it could prove unnecessarily constricting in circumstances where a complex situation presented itself requiring more than the usual scrutiny. The phrase "as soon as practicable" replaces the thirty-day timeframe, but the Commission continues to expect that in normal circumstances, the 401 decision will be rendered within thirty days. The sixty-day decision time frame in circumstances where a special information supplementing process is called for was retained as a means to assure that the decision process is not unreasonably delayed.

The requirements contained in the application section are modest and are not considered to be a burden on the applicant. In the applicability section, the acknowledgment that Corps of Engineers nationwide or general permits can be used without further action by the division tracks precisely with the statutory requirement in 25-8-302(f). Also, in 2.4.4(C) the provisions that certification is to apply to both the construction and operation aspects of a project, and extend to the water quality impacts of a project are consistent with division policy throughout the history of 401 certification, and are properly codified in this regulation. To limit the division's certification in either time or scope would invite federal intervention as contemplated in the Clean Water Act. By including this language in the regulation, the Commission understands that insignificant and transitory water quality impacts are not matters of concern in the division's certification process, and that the division should focus on those impacts that have the potential to cause exceedances which could impair state classifications and standards.

B. CHANGES TO DIVISION PROCEDURES AND DETERMINATIONS:

The Commission determined that it was important to include the public notice procedures the division is to follow for both COE and other federal permits. The public notice provisions essentially are a reflection of the policies developed overtime by the division, and seemed to have served both the public and the applicant for certification well.

The heart of the regulation is found in 2.4.6(B) where the major elements comprising the division's decision are set forth. In the former regulation, some of this guidance was missing and other portions were scattered throughout the regulation. These modifications bring the decision components together in one place, and clarify how the division is to arrive at a certification decision. One noteworthy change from the previous regulation is that Best Management Practices (BMPs), known previously as "management practices", are now required for every certification, except for certification of federal 402 permits. The BMPs are nothing more than sound construction techniques which any responsible contractor would employ to protect the environment and public health. Except for federal 402 permits that do not involve construction, it is reasonable to require these practices for each certification, and not issue an unconditional certification without BMPs as was allowable in the former version of the regulation. The BMPs were left largely unchanged except for practice number 21 which allowed special conditions to be drawn up and included with the list of standard conditions. That practice was eliminated and instead was folded into 2.4.6(B)(4), Conditional Certification. Under these revisions, the division can clearly separate the need for special water quality protective conditions from the list of standard BMPs by performing its certification under the provisions of 2.4.6(B)(4) rather than (B)(3), Unconditional Certification. It was also determined that conditional certification may also contain mitigation measures acceptable to the applicant and the division, even those that may be beyond the authority of the division to impose. In the past, almost no certifications were issued without the list of "management practices" attached, so this clarification merely confirms division policy. The provisions for denial of certification were modified to recognize that the division should confer with the applicant for certification prior to denial to explore possible modifications to the project so that certification could be issued. Otherwise, the provisions for certification in those cases where denial would materially injure water rights has been retained.

The Commission modified language in §2.4.6(B)(5) to now provide that the division shall deny certification if it concludes that there is not a "reasonable assurance" that the subject project will comply with listed state requirements. This language is consistent

with language found in 401 certifications issued by the division, and with language found in section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the EPA 401 regulations. The Commission does not intend by this language to create any presumption that the project for which a 401 certification is sought will comply with state water quality requirements. Instead, the Commission intends this language to require the applicant for a 401 certification to come forward with sufficient information to enable the division to determine whether the project will comply with such requirements. Denial of a 401 certification is appropriate in the absence of such sufficient information.

The Commission also modified language in §2.4.6(B)(5) that previously required the division to identify water quality impacts for which mitigation is not being required due to the state policy established in §25-8-104, C.R.S. A number of parties pointed out that this language implied that the state policy established in §25-8-104, C.R.S. was undermining the division's ability to address water quality issues in the context of 401 certification reviews. The Commission has therefore, changed this language to indicate that whenever material injury to water rights is an issue, the division, the applicant and other interested persons, will work together to examine and implement, where appropriate, means to avoid or mitigate water quality impacts consistent with the prohibition against material injury found in §25-8-104, C.R.S. Related language was added in §2.4.6(C)(1) whereby the division may prepare a written analysis of the basis for certification if appropriate or requested. The Commission anticipates that the division will prepare such an analysis for all significant 401 certifications and for those where the statutory prohibition against material injury to water rights is of particular concern. The Commission intends that this analysis will identify the water quality impacts associated with the project, and that it will discuss whether and to what extent mitigation was required or not required, particularly if water rights are involved. This analysis is to be provided to all commenters to the 401 certification process, and to all others who request it, and is to be submitted along with the 401 certification to the permitting or licensing federal agency.

The Commission considered whether to include a provision allowing the division to waive certification where, even after receiving supplemental information, the nature and extent of water quality impacts remained uncertain. The notion of waiver provisions was rejected, however, since the Commission felt it was better to deny a certification under those circumstances rather than leave the water quality determination up to the federal permitting agency.

C. ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS:

A new section 2.4.7 was included to describe the two options available to the division for insuring that certification conditions are implemented. In all cases where it is learned that the permittee has not implemented certification conditions, the division is to first notify the federal permitting agency and the permittee and request that action be taken to require the implementation of conditions. If such notification and follow-up is unsuccessful, the division can then consider initiating procedures available under the Administrative Procedure Act to suspend or revoke the certification. The Commission determined that such provisions are necessary and appropriate since the federal agency is required under Section 401 (d) to include certification conditions as part of the federal permit and require compliance with them as it would with any provisions of the permit. The Commission recognizes that if the federal permit or license has been granted prior to the revocation or suspension of a 401 certification, that the revocation or suspension will likely not have any effect on the validity of the federal license or permit. Nevertheless, the Commission believes that the revocation or suspension of a 401 certification would send a strong signal to the federal permitting or licensing agency, as well as the permittee or licensee, that compliance with the 401 certification

conditions is not being met. Suspension or revocation is not expected to be used except in extreme circumstances where an impasse has been reached with both the permittee and the federal agency.

PARTIES TO THE RULEMAKING HEARING

1. Metropolitan Water Providers, Metropolitan Water Participants and the Metropolitan Water Authority
2. City of Colorado Springs
3. David R. Sturges, P.C.
4. Colorado River Water Conservation District
5. Environmental Defense Fund
6. The Northwest Colorado Council of Governments
7. High Country Citizens' Alliance
8. Coors Brewing Company
9. Metro Wastewater Reclamation District

82.17 STATEMENT OF BASIS SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: JULY, 1997, RULEMAKING

The provisions of sections 25-8-202 and 25-8-401, C.R.S., provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of the attached regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with section 24-4-103(4) C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The Commission has adopted a revised numbering system for this regulation, as a part of an overall renumbering of all Water Quality Control Commission rules and regulations. The goals of the renumbering are: (1) to achieve a more logical organization and numbering of the regulations, with a system that provides flexibility for future modifications, and (2) to make the Commission's internal numbering system and that of the Colorado Code of Regulations (CCR) consistent. The CCR references for the regulations will also be revised as a result of this hearing.

82.18 STATEMENT OF BASIS SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: MARCH, 1999, RULEMAKING HEARING RESULTING FROM TRIENNIAL REVIEW

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) C.R.S. provides for specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

Basis and Purpose

A. Water Quality Control Division Proposals:

These changes have been adopted by the Commission to correct typographical errors found in the regulation, to remove language which inaccurately describes the application process for certifications under the regulation, and to clarify the applicability of Best Management Practices to section 402 permits.

The corrected typographical errors pertain to numbering errors in various portions of the

regulation. The regulation has been modified to reflect correct section and subsection numbers.

Section 82.4(B) and (C) were modified to remove the titles of individuals in the Division to whom certification applications should be made. The Division's reorganization of 1997 removed the positions and work units referred to in the regulation. The changes clarify that application should simply be made to the Water Quality Control Division.

Section 82.5(B)(2)(f) was added to clarify that information received during the public comment period would be considered during the Division's 401 certification determination. This provision is consistent with current Division practices.

Section 82.5(E) was modified to be consistent with the purpose statement found in section 82.1 of the regulation. Section 82.1 is clear in stating that the BMP's are not applicable to section 402 permits. The first statement in section 82.5(E) was modified to include this exception.

B. Trout Unlimited Proposals:

1. Definitions.

Trout Unlimited proposed revisions to the previous definitions of "certification" and "compliance or comply" in section 82.2(6), with a goal of assuring protection of the classified uses of state waters. In this rulemaking, the Water Quality Control Division asserted that the authority to protect uses already existed. In an effort to clarify the regulation in a manner consistent with federal and state law and existing practice, the Commission has made several revisions related to the definitions in section 82.2.

First, the definition of "certification" has been revised to clarify that the Division must assure compliance with all applicable water quality control requirements for the affected waters in issuing a section 401 certification, rather than solely with the specific requirements previously listed in the definition. The revised language also helps assure consistency with section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act by using the phrase "will comply", rather than the previous "not expected to cause a violation of." Second, the definition of "compliance or comply" was deleted from the regulation because it was found to be unnecessary. The revised definition of "certification" already encompasses the concept of complying with state water quality requirements. In addition, the references in the previous definition to averaging period and frequency of exceedance were unnecessary, since those considerations are addressed in the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, which is explicitly referenced in the definition of "certification." Finally, the language in the previous definition of "compliance or comply" regarding temporary exceedances has simply been moved to section 82.6, regarding enforcement of certification conditions.

2. Consideration of Section 25-8-104.

Trout Unlimited proposed revisions to several subsections of section 82.5, proposing that more explicit references be added to the regulation regarding the Division's consideration of section 25-8-104 of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act in making a section 401 certification determination. Section 25-8-104 contains a number of provisions intended to limit the potential impact of water quality regulations on the exercise of water rights.

To address this issue, the Commission adopted alternative language that was agreed to by the Division and all parties during the rulemaking hearing. In particular, a new

subsection 82.5(B)(6) has been added, in place of language that has been stricken from subsection 82.5(B)(5). The primary change from previous language is a new provision directing the Division to identify in the certification and in the notice of section 401 certification determinations instances in which section 25-8-104, C.R.S. has been applied. The Commission believes that the compromise language provides reasonable and straightforward notice of the application of section 25-8-104.

Consistent with the agreement between the Division and the parties, the Commission also revised the language in subsection 82.5(C)(1) to provide that the Division “shall”, where appropriate or where requested, provide its written analysis of its basis for certifications, including the results of any actions under the new subsection 82.5(B)(6) discussed above. The Commission does not intend for the Division to prepare a separate analysis under this subsection regarding the basis for certification from that otherwise developed. The Commission believes that this is a reasonable approach that will provide necessary information to the public without creating an additional administrative burden for the Division.

PARTY/MAILING LIST STATUS FOR THE RULEMAKING HEARING

1. Trout Unlimited
2. Coors Brewing Company
3. The Northwest Colorado Council of Governments
4. Colorado River Water Conservation District
5. The City of Colorado Springs
6. Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District and its Municipal Subdistrict
7. The Cache La Poudre Water Users Association and the Thompson Water Users Association
8. The Mineral Policy Center
9. Sierra Club
10. High Country Citizens' Alliance
11. Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District
12. The Board of County Commissioners of the County of Gunnison, Colorado

82.19 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: OCTOBER, 2000 RULEMAKING

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

Basis and Purpose

The changes to the regulations are the result of a one-year workgroup effort designed to improve the Best Management Practices (BMPs) that are required in 401 certifications by the Division. The changes also provide for a more streamlined public notice process, and improved opportunities for public comment on Division certification determinations.

A. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

The Commission adopted a new approach to the utilization of Best Management Practices (BMPs) in 401 certifications. The changes provide for a more proactive process in the selection of BMPs. Applicants for 401 certification, other than Federal

Section 402 NPDES permits, are required to select BMPs, and commit to the operation, maintenance and replacement of these water quality protective measures. In the past BMPs were attached as conditions to 401 certifications.

The definition of “BMPs” found in section 82.2 was changed to reflect the requirement for long term operation of BMPs, and also to reflect that BMPs combine structural and non-structural features. The definition of “Project”, found in section 82.2 was changed to make it more consistent with the language found in Section 401 of the Federal Act, and to eliminate some confusing language about spatial and temporal features of projects. The application process found in subsections 82.4 (A) and (C) was expanded to include a requirement for applicants to select BMPs appropriate for their project and to provide a site plan, description, and location of those BMPs. Subsection 82.4 (C)(5), which previously required information on “mitigation measures”, was deleted from the regulation since it was found to be duplicative with other requirements in the regulation.

Subsection 82.6 (A), Certification Requirements, has been expanded to include a new subsection (11) that requires that projects incorporate provisions for the operation, maintenance, and replacement of BMPs to ensure compliance with certification. Subsection 82.6 (B) has been added to the regulation, and sets forth the requirements for BMPs. New language was added to the regulation in subsection 82.5(A)(3) to clarify the authority of the Division to require monitoring in 401 Certifications.

The Division is required to certify that the construction and operation of the activity under review will comply with applicable State water quality requirements. In the case of “conditional certification”, the Division has determined that such compliance will be achieved only if one or more conditions are imposed. However, in certain situations, the efficacy of the conditions can be determined only after their implementation. Hence, during construction and, in what is anticipated to be a small number of situations, during operation, instream water quality measurements must be periodically taken in order to ensure that water quality standards are being met. Water quality monitoring may include chemical, physical, and biological parameters, depending upon the water quality standard(s) of concern. To the extent such a monitoring condition is imposed, it is intended to be cost effective, directed towards specific parameters of concern, and significantly curtailed or terminated if no persistent problem is found to exist.

The “applicable requirements” referenced in section 82.5(A)(3) are the same as those identified in section 82.5(A)(1), each of which relates to the maintenance of water quality standards and/or the protection of designated uses. The certification conditions will therefore, of necessity, be directed towards meeting these objectives.

Relative to paragraph 82.6(A)(10), the Commission acknowledges that to complete work diligently and as soon as practicable, it may be required that such work be performed during other than periods when impacts are minimal. Hence, discretion will have to be exercised in determining what is practicable under all of the site-specific factors surrounding the project in question. These factors will include project design, economic feasibility, and project scheduling as related to the need for timely project completion. However, it is the intention of the Commission that the project proponent, in establishing the design and schedule for the project, should undertake advance planning so as to, to the extent feasible, accommodate the designated uses and avoid any adverse impacts thereto.

Subsection 82.6(A)(11) requires the preparation of an operations and maintenance plan. However, the permittee shall be accorded flexibility relative to the exact content of such a plan so long as the provisions thereof demonstrate compliance with the certification conditions. A certification requirement has been added in subsection 82.6(A)(14) specifying that all seed, mulching material and straw used in projects shall

be state-certified weed-free. This requirement will help minimize invasive species introduction that may adversely affect natural hydrologic conditions, e.g. increasing erosion and may help minimize the need for use of pesticides.

Appendix I was added to the regulation by the Commission to provide a basis for the public to understand BMPs and their importance in 401 certifications, and protecting water quality. Appendix I provides a listing of BMPs applicable to activities which seek 401 certifications in Colorado. The appendix provides a description of the types of practices, a matrix of activities and applicable BMPs, and a users guide in understanding the selection of appropriate BMPs for given activities.

B. PUBLIC NOTICE

The “Public Notice” and “Certification Determination” sections of the regulations, found in section 82.5, were changed to provide more opportunity for public review of certification determinations, and improved timeframes for certification determinations. The public notice requirements were changed to require the Division to prepare both a draft and final certification for each 401 certification action. The preliminary antidegradation analysis, which was previously a separate step in the process, is now a portion of the draft certification, and the final antidegradation determination will be included in the final certification. The public notice period for the new draft certification procedure is 30 days. The Division is providing more frequent notice of 401 certification decisions, to assure timely certification decisions.

The language contained in subsections 82.5 (A) (2), (3), and (4), which establish the process for decisions on certification requests, was both clarified and simplified. The reference to the requirements of section 25-8-104 of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act was retained, and a new subsection 82.5 (A) (1) (h) was added to clarify the use of this information in Division determinations.

C. OTHER CHANGES TO THE REGULATION

Much of the regulation has been reordered and renumbered, and in some cases minor changes in wording have been made to either clarify or strengthen certain provisions. Many of the BMPs found in the previous regulation have been relocated in section 82.6 “Certification Requirements”. These requirements are good housekeeping features that apply to all 401 certification activities.

PARTIES TO THE RULEMAKING

1. Colorado Ski Country USA
2. Trout Unlimited
3. The City of Colorado Springs, including Colorado Springs Utilities
4. The Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District and its Municipal Subdistrict
5. The Northwest Colorado Council of Governments

82.20 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE, AND FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY ADOPTION OF REVISIONS TO REGULATION NO. 82, THE 401 CERTIFICATION REGULATION (5 CCR 1002-82):

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose,

and, in compliance with section 24-4-103(6), C.R.S., the following Findings in Support of Emergency Adoption.

A. OVERVIEW

The Corps of Engineers is authorized pursuant to section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act to implement Procedures for Emergency Authorizations in response to situations which “would result in an unacceptable hazard to life, a significant loss of property, or an immediate, unforeseen and significant economic hardship”. These procedures, contained in 33 CFR Part 325.2(e)(4) of the Code of Federal Regulations, address expedited procedures for review, coordination and decision making with respect to permit applications in emergency situations. Prior to this rulemaking the 401 Certification regulation did not incorporate provisions which would allow the Division certification process to accommodate an expedited federal section 404 permit process.

The wildfires that have occurred in Colorado during 2002 have resulted in a number of situations where the Corps of Engineers anticipates exercise of its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations to consider permit applications for activities intended to mitigate fire impacts. These potentially include diversion of watercourses around homes, construction of flood control structures and sedimentation ponds, or reconstruction of water supply diversion structures. The Corps of Engineers operates under Procedures for Emergency Authorizations on an infrequent basis and then only in response to events such as flooding or wildfires. The Corps does not expect that a significant number of section 404 permit applications will be considered and issued pursuant to the Procedures for Emergency Authorization.

B. EMERGENCY CERTIFICATION

The Commission has inserted new provisions at sections 82.5(A)(4) and (B)(3) that authorize the Division to expedite consideration and issuance of section 401 certifications in response to Corps of Engineers use of its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations.

The Commission added specific public notice procedures to section 82.5(B)(3) that allow the Division to issue Emergency Certifications without complying with the public notice requirements of subsections 82.5(B)(1) and (2) in order to assure prompt resolution of certification requests. The Commission intends that, in instances where prompt consideration of a certification request is necessary to preserve public health, safety or welfare, the Division should have the flexibility to respond expeditiously. However, the Commission has included language to assure that reasonable efforts will be made to receive comments from interested federal, state and local agencies and the affected public.

The addition of section 82.5(A)(4) will allow the Division to review and determine, on a site-specific basis, the extent to which application of one or more areas of consideration identified in section 82.5(A)(1) and the certification requirements in section 82.6 remain appropriate under emergency situations. The Commission intends that the Division will forego consideration of the factors listed in section 82.5(A)(1) and application of the certification requirements in section 82.6 only to the extent that certification without such consideration or such requirements is necessary to preserve public health, safety or welfare.

C. FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY ADOPTION

The 401 Certification Regulation, Regulation No. 82, previously lacked provisions to allow the Division to expedite consideration and issuance of 401 certifications in

response to emergency conditions. For this purpose, emergency conditions correspond to those situations in which the Corps of Engineers uses the Procedures for Emergency Authorizations. Such situations are those which “would result in an unacceptable hazard to life, a significant loss of property, or an immediate, unforeseen and significant economic hardship”. The Commission has, by this rulemaking, adopted provisions necessary to allow the Division to respond to such emergencies expeditiously and with appropriate consideration of factors relevant to a certification.

If the Commission does not adopt revisions to Regulation 82 on an emergency basis, the Division will lack the ability to process 401 certifications in an effective and timely manner in response to emergency situations which the State now experiences. The Commission finds that immediate adoption of these revisions to Regulation 82 is imperatively necessary to preserve public health, safety and welfare and that compliance with the requirements of section 24-4-103, C.R.S., would be contrary to the public interest.

These emergency revisions become effective upon adoption and shall remain in effect until March 31, 2003. The Commission determined, pursuant to section 25-8-402(5), C.R.S., that this effective period is necessary to provide time to reconsider these revisions in a rulemaking hearing held in compliance with the requirements of section 24-4-103, C.R.S.

82.21 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE.

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

A. OVERVIEW

The Corps of Engineers is authorized pursuant to section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act to implement Procedures for Emergency Authorizations in response to situations which “would result in an unacceptable hazard to life, a significant loss of property, or an immediate, unforeseen and significant economic hardship”. These procedures, contained in 33 CFR Part 325.2(e)(4) of the Code of Federal Regulations, address expedited procedures for review, coordination and decision making with respect to permit applications in emergency situations. Prior to this rulemaking the 401 Certification regulation did not incorporate provisions which would allow the Division certification process to accommodate an expedited federal section 404 permit process.

The wildfires that have occurred in Colorado during 2002 have resulted in a number of situations where the Corps of Engineers anticipates exercise of its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations to consider permit applications for activities intended to mitigate fire impacts. These potentially include diversion of watercourses around homes, construction of flood control structures and sedimentation ponds, or reconstruction of water supply diversion structures. The Corps of Engineers operates under Procedures for Emergency Authorizations on an infrequent basis and then only in response to events such as flooding or wildfires. The Corps does not expect that a significant number of section 404 permit applications will be considered and issued pursuant to the Procedures for Emergency Authorization.

B. EMERGENCY CERTIFICATION

The Commission has inserted new provisions at sections 82.5(A)(4) and (B)(3) that

authorize the Division to expedite consideration and issuance of section 401 certifications in response to Corps of Engineers use of its Procedures for Emergency Authorizations.

The Commission added specific public notice procedures to section 82.5(B)(3) that allow the Division to issue Emergency Certifications without complying with the public notice requirements of subsections 82.5(B)(1) and (2) in order to assure prompt resolution of certification requests. The Commission intends that, in instances where prompt consideration of a certification request is necessary to preserve public health, safety or welfare, the Division should have the flexibility to respond expeditiously. However, the Commission has included language to assure that reasonable efforts will be made to receive comments from interested federal, state and local agencies and the affected public.

The addition of section 82.5(A)(4) will allow the Division to review and determine, on a site-specific basis, the extent to which application of one or more areas of consideration identified in section 82.5(A)(1) and the certification requirements in section 82.6 remain appropriate under emergency situations. The Commission intends that the Division will forego consideration of the factors listed in section 82.5(A)(1) and application of the certification requirements in section 82.6 only to the extent that certification without such consideration or such requirements is necessary to preserve public health, safety or welfare.

C. OTHER ISSUES

In addition to the revisions noted above, in this rulemaking the Commission added Figure 1 back into Appendix 1 of the regulation. This is a correction to the published version of Regulation #82 to conform the regulation to the Commission's decision in an October 2000 rulemaking.

82.22 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: NOVEMBER 13, 2018 RULEMAKING; EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, 2019.

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The Commission has adopted revisions to this regulation to update procedures, correct typographical errors and to include a fee structure that was enacted by House Bill 15-1249. Since the last update to the regulation in 2003, the Division has issued 401 certifications for three large water supply Projects. The process of issuing these certifications highlighted language in the regulation that was not specific enough and allowed for alternative interpretations of the regulation. The regulation was updated to add clarity and minimize confusion.

Section 82.4 – Application for Water Quality Certification

Section 82.4(A) was modified to add the 401 water quality certification request form and the fee structure table explaining the tiers and the fees associated with processing the 401 certification application.

The summaries of the Project tiers and associated fees that are used in the table in

section 82.4(A)(4) were derived from section 25-8-502(1.2)(a), C.R.S.

Section 82.4(A)(4) also clarifies that fees for tiers 1 and 2 Projects are due at the time of application. For tier 3 and 4 Projects, a pre-application meeting will be held with the applicant for the development of a billing agreement. During the pre-application meeting, the Division and applicant shall negotiate in good faith regarding the fees to be billed and will identify a process by which fee disputes will be resolved between the parties. The billing agreement will include the Division's estimated costs within the state fiscal year (July 1-June 30), with a new billing agreement to be prepared each year. The Division can only charge for costs actually incurred, and the Division may prepare a revised billing agreement if the costs are underestimated in the initial agreement. The Commission expects that the Division and the applicant will be in close and frequent contact before the Division spends its time and/or resources on any evaluation (including participation in any federal processes associated with the Project) to discuss the Division's tier determinations and expectations for any associated fees.

Language was also added to Section 82.4(C) referencing the fees for all Projects except for USACE 404 Projects.

Section 82.5 – Division Procedures and Determinations

Section 82.5(A) (Division Certification Determination) was modified to include National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents that the Division can review for the 401 certification determination. In Section 82.5 (A)(1)(a), language was added to reference Regulation 31 in the antidegradation review for the 401 certifications.

Section 82.5(A)(1)(a) was expanded to clarify the applicability of antidegradation "significance determinations" conducted under section 31.8(3)(c) in the context of 401 certification review for FERC licenses and USACE 404 permits. This new language provides that in making significance determinations for any reviewable waters impacted by a proposed Project, the Division may balance the predicted water quality impacts of the proposed Project on those reviewable segments against the predicted benefits of any proposed water quality improvements or water quality mitigation measures to other segments within the Project area (regardless of their designation: use protected or reviewable). Where possible, such water quality improvements or mitigation measures shall be located in the same watershed where the reviewable segment(s) are located. In some cases direct mitigation on the same segment is not possible.

There are two objectives that are typically considered in the development of conditions. The first is to ensure that significant water quality impacts are mitigated wherever possible. While direct mitigation for hydrologic modification Projects may be challenging, it is important to apply these conditions where they can be effective and where they are consistent with section 25-8-104 of the Water Quality Control Act.

The second objective is to provide reasonable assurance that commitments made for mitigation of impacts and improvements to water quality provide the expected benefits. Existing mitigation and improvement measures from other permits or agreements, if successful, may contribute to "net environmental benefit" as it relates to the significance determination in the antidegradation review.

Section 82.5(A)(1)(g) was added to indicate that the Division may take local, state or federal permits, or agreements between the applicant and such agencies into consideration when considering whether to issue a 401 certification. The Division will consider the water quality-related implications of such permits and agreements in conducting its underlying analysis. The Division will not use this regulatory language to

adopt conditions outside of its jurisdictional authority. The Division will not incorporate any such agreements or permits as conditions in the 401 certification, except the Division may in its discretion include Division-issued permits/authorizations or prior agreements entered into between the Division and the applicant as conditions. The Division may also establish independent conditions that would account for water quality improvements, or mitigation measures contained in any permit or agreement that might cease to exist in the future.

Section 82.5(A)(2) (Regular Certification) was revised to reiterate the federal requirement in 40 CFR 121.2(a)(3) that the Division must have reasonable assurance the Project will be conducted in a manner that will comply with the 401 certification requirements.

Section 82.5(A)(3) (Conditional Certification) was also modified to add similar language indicating that under 40 CFR §121.2(a)(3) the Division must have a reasonable assurance that the Project will comply with the 401 certification requirements with additional conditions required by the Division.

Language was also included in the conditional certification provision to make it clear, for consistency with Regulation No. 31, section 31.8(3)(c), that any water quality improvements or mitigation measures relied upon in making a “no significant degradation” determination will be incorporated as conditions in the 401 certification.

Language was also added to section 82.5(A)(3) to specifically identify the use of adaptive management as a condition to certification. Based on the Division’s experience and examples from other states, the Commission recognizes the critical importance of incorporating the concept of adaptive management as a condition for large, multi-year water Projects in order to satisfy the federal “reasonable assurance” requirement. This component was added to allow large water Projects to adjust mitigation based on the uncertainty of the water quality impacts that were modeled for the Project.

The adaptive management condition also allows flexibility due to uncertainty based on the potential for water quality standards changes during the life of the certified Project. The Commission believes this concept is consistent with the federal requirement that “activities will be conducted in a manner which will not violate applicable water quality standards.” 40 CFR 121.2(a)(3). The Commission recognizes that “applicable water quality standards” can and do change over time, and adaptive management is intended to provide the flexibility for multi-year Projects to account for and comply with such changes. The Commission’s legal authority to adopt this provision also stems from the broad authority provided to the state in Section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act, as well as sections 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205(1)(c), C.R.S. of the Water Quality Control Act. The Division’s authority to implement this condition is found at section 25-8-302(1)(f), C.R.S.

In practice, the Division develops conditions based on the available data, the modeled analysis of such data, and applicable water quality standards at the time of certification. Parameters are identified that are predicted to exceed standards or antidegradation review requirements through the initial impacts analysis. Conditions are then developed for each of these parameters to address future impairments from the Project. Adaptive management conditions are not written to the specific numeric value (i.e., 4.6 µg/l), but instead simply reference the applicable standard. If the standard changes, the numeric value used as the basis for the condition will change as well. For example, if after the time of the certification, the Commission adopts a site-specific temperature standard that is less stringent than the standard used for the

initial impacts analysis, the less stringent standard will be used to assess that condition. Alternatively, if a more stringent selenium standard were to be adopted by the Commission in the future, this standard would be used to assess selenium conditions.

The Division does not develop conditions for pollutants for which there was not a predicted impact or concern at the time of certification. There may be a case, however, where there was a predicted impact for one metal and additional metals were added to the adaptive management condition to ensure continued attainment of the other metals standards. Similarly, the Division does not develop conditions for other pollutants for which no standards exist at the time of certification. The Commission understands that the Division does not intend to predict standards for new pollutants that may be adopted by the Commission in the future. And along these lines, the Division would not require monitoring for every potential emerging contaminant.

If monitoring in an adaptive management condition indicates an exceedance of water quality standards, the Project proponent will be required to conduct an investigation to determine if the Project is contributing to the impairment. If the Project is determined to be the cause of the impairment, then the Project proponent is required to develop a Category 4b Plan. A Category 4b plan is a regulatory alternative to a TMDL that address water quality impairments. The plan identifies mechanisms that are expected to result in attainment of water quality standards in a reasonable period of time.

In implementing adaptive management plans, the Commission encourages Project proponents to collaborate with affected local entities and other government agencies. The Commission recognizes that local participation in adaptive management is very important. Therefore, adaptive management generally should be designed to include the participation of the local government and other stakeholders in the area affected by the operation of the Project wherever possible.

Section 82.6 – Certification Requirements

Language was added to Section 82.6 (A)(9) that will require the applicant to notify the Division before work occurs below the existing water surface. The intent of this addition is to allow the Division to be aware of construction activities that occur below the water surface in the event the Division is contacted by concerned entities.

Appendix I

The information that was contained in Appendix I, regarding Best Management Practices for the Clean Water Act Section 401 Certifications, was updated and it was determined that this information should be removed from an appendix to the regulation and instead should be contained in a Division policy so that it can be updated more frequently.

82.23 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: October 15, 2024 RULEMAKING; EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, 2025.

The provisions of sections 25-8-202(1)(i.5) and 25-8-205 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for these regulatory amendments. The commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The commission adopted revisions to this regulation to update procedures and

requirements established by the EPA in the “Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification Improvement Rule,” 88 Fed. Reg. 66,558 (Sept. 27, 2023), as codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 21 (“2023 Rule”) which went into effect in November 2023. The regulation was also updated to correct typographical errors and clarify language throughout. The 2023 Rule was promulgated to clarify and provide nationwide consistency for 401 certification procedures. The rule includes a requirement for pre-filing meetings, requirements for 401 certification requests, establishing a “reasonable period of time” for states to process certification requests in conjunction with the federal permitting agency, and details concerning certification decisions.

Section 82.1 – Purpose

Section 82.1 was modified to reflect new vocabulary and certification decisions that are used in the 2023 Rule, and to add additional language that clarifies the purpose of Regulation 82. Also, the new language will direct potential applicants to Clean Water Policy 12 (Selection of Water Quality Best Management Practices for Regulation No. 82), where the “control measures” are located.

Section 82.3 – Applicability, Scope, Incorporation by Reference

The commission added “Incorporation by Reference” to the title of this section. The commission also added new Subsection (D) to include expiration dates of tier 1 and tier 2 projects five years after the certification was granted. Tier 1 and tier 2 projects do not always commence immediately after the issuance of an individual 404 permit. The standards and antidegradation designation can change for the relevant waterbody before construction begins on a project if there are longer delays. If the waterbody standards and/or designations change before construction begins, this can change the water quality impact analysis on which the original certification was based. Language was also included that allows tier 1 and tier 2 project applicants to request extensions to the 401 certification deadline. Applicants can receive an exemption from the expiration date, where there is a pending legal challenge relevant to the certification. The commission also added a new subsection (E) that discusses incorporating references to federal regulations into Regulation 82 as required by the Administrative Procedure Act, section 24-4-103(12.5), C.R.S.

Section 82.4 – Application for Water Quality Certification

82.4(A) was modified to clarify that the requirements in this subsection only apply to individual 404 permits and to add language explaining that the division will determine completeness of requests for certification, in alignment with the 2023 Rule.

82.4(A)(1) was added to require applicants to provide documentation of the 30-day pre-filing meeting request and to list the documentation that should be included in certification requests associated with individual 404 permits.

82.4(A)(4) was added to require applicants to provide any readily available water quality data, in alignment with the 2023 Rule.

82.4(B) was modified to require the applicant for an EPA-issued Section 402 permit to provide documentation of the 30-day pre-filing meeting request.

82.4(C)(1) was added to require the applicant for a FERC license or any other federal license or permit to provide documentation of the 30-day pre-filing meeting request.

82.4(C)(2) was added to require a completed copy of the division's certification request form for certifications associated with FERC licenses and other federal licenses and

permits.

82.4(C)(3) was added to clarify that a copy (rather than the original) of the federal license or permit is required as part of the application submission for certifications associated with FERC licenses and other federal licenses and permits.

82.4(D) was modified to acknowledge that the division is responsible for determining whether sufficient information has been provided to consider a request for certification complete, in alignment with the 2023 Rule.

Language was also added to 82.4(D) to clarify that the division - in coordination with the appropriate federal permitting agency - will establish the "reasonable period of time" for processing certification requests per the 2023 Rule. This language also sets forth the maximum reasonable period of time of one year and default reasonable period of time of six months, as well as an explanation of the process required for the division to extend the reasonable period of time.

82.4(E) was added to confirm that the division will provide written confirmation that includes the date the request for certification was received by the division. It also states that this written confirmation will be sent to the federal permitting agency in addition to the project proponent as required by the 2023 Rule.

Section 82.5 – Division Procedures and Determinations

82.5(A)(1)(a) was modified to include the text "project as a whole for." This language aligns with EPA's explanation regarding the scope of state 401 certifications in the preamble to the 2023 Rule.

Section 82.5(A)(1)(e) was modified to replace the term "Best Management Practices" with "Control Measures" and to include a reference to the division's Clean Water Policy 12, Selection of Water Quality Best Management Practices.

Language was updated in both 82.5(A)(2) and 82.5(A)(3) to replace "issue" with "grant" to align with the 2023 Rule language.

82.5(A)(7) was added to explain that a certification not acted on within the reasonable period of time has been expressly waived by the division. This has always been the assumed practice but never expressly included in the regulation.

82.5(C)(4) was modified to bring the reasonable period of time language into alignment with the 2023 Rule and to reiterate language discussed in Section 82.5(A).

Section 82.6 – Certification Requirements

The commission updated the language in section 82.6 to clarify the applicability of state requirements to 401 certifications. These updates include the use of new terminology and further distinction between certification conditions and state requirements. In practice, the division historically included a copy of section 82.6 with the final 401 certification letters for individual 404 permits, but due to changes in the federal 401 guidance there was confusion over what is a "condition" enforceable under the 404 permit and what is a stand-alone state "requirement." The modification to section 82.6 eliminates that confusion and is consistent with section 25-8-302(1)(f), C.R.S. The modification establishes two subsections: (A) which applies to all certifications; and (B) which applies only to conditional 401 certifications for individual 404 permits.

82.6(A)(1) was revised to clarify that authorized representatives from the division are

allowed to access the project site during the construction and operation of a project to inspect the control measures, certification conditions (if applicable), and any other state requirements.

82.6(A)(2) clarifies the requirements when there is a change in ownership or control of the project.

82.6(A)(3) describes exceptions for diversion from or bypasses of facilities to maintain compliance with the certification requirements (formerly found in 82.6(A)(5)).

82.6(A)(4), formerly 82.6(A)(7), clarifies that the division should be contacted in the event of a spill or other discharge not authorized by the 401 certification.

82.6(A)(15) concerning the use of certified weed free materials for control measures and mitigation was deleted because these are requirements that are already located in USACE 404 permit mitigation requirements. This information is also located in Clean Water Policy 12.

82.6(B) (previously titled Best Management Practices) was replaced with "Requirements for conditional 401 certifications for individual 404 permits." The former section was deleted to eliminate redundancy with language earlier in the regulation directing 401 certification applicants to utilize the Best Management Practices in Clean Water Policy 12. The new section 82.6(B) describes the state requirements for 401 certifications that are granted with conditions. By including these requirements in this section of the regulation, the commission intends to clarify that these are indeed state requirements rather than conditions incorporated into a federally-enforceable 404 permit.

Editor's Notes

History

Entire rule, section 82.2223 eff. 01/31/201925.