

From: debbieirwin@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 3:30 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United in CO

May 28, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Deborah Irwin
9574 A Brentwood Way
Westminster, CO 80021-5331

From: mdavidmelio@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 3:09 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want FULL disclosure

May 28, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

The Supreme Court is flatly wrong, MONEY does corrupt the political process -- at least as far as HUMAN history is concerned. We want FULL DISCLOSURE on all those whose money is used to influence the outcome of our elections.

Sincerely,

Michael David Melio
8219 W. 90th Place
Westminster, CO 80021-4553

From: Dalf@xmission.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:03 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United is not right

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Gessler, firstly I must say, I don't like you. I don't trust you, other than that I trust you will do what you can to impede voters' rights. To this end I assume you will do what you can to allow "Citizens" United to do what they want.

Do not allow them to further mock voting laws. MONEY IS NOT VOICE!

Most sincerely, David Alfaro, Denver.

Sincerely,

David Alfaro
2007 S Washington
Denver, CO 80210-4046

From: danderson569@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:12 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United petition is outrageous

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

You need to reject Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Their request is outrageous. We Coloradans have supported limits on money in politics as well as the need for full disclosure. Numerous times. In 2002, we approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74 percent of us supported Amendment 65.

Please. This is an attack on democracy

Sincerely,

Dave Anderson
3305 E. Euclid
Boulder, CO 80303-2122

From: betward@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:51 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Finance disclosure laws must be applied, especially to an entity like Citizen's United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Citizen's United, like all other entities, should be required to disclose its role in financing political advertising or political support ventures of any kind in the state of Colorado.

Sincerely,

Ward Anthony, Boulder, CO
1850 Folsom St. #1101
Boulder, CO 80302-5736

From: shellyb@televiso.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 4:22 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to secrecy in ad funding!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose the source of the money behind it. We deserve to know who's trying to manipulate our votes!

Sincerely,

Michele Barnard
811 E Geneseo St
Lafayette, CO 80026-2444

From: Karen Barrett <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:05 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Karen Barrett
Fort collins, CO

From: Patricia Batchelder <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:05 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Patricia Batchelder
Snowmass, CO

From: sharonanegary@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:45 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United should disclose donors' names and amount they donate.

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Keep that type of anonymous money out of Colorado elections.

Sincerely,

Sharon Beers
10858 W. Dumbarton Dr.
Littleton, CO 80127-7902

From: yayacarlita@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:12 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Transparency for campaign donors

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am greatly disturbed that Colorado voters will be relentlessly bombarded by negative adds provided by money from Citizen's United...our politicians should not have to deal with undisclosed, outside money.

Sincerely,

Carla Behrens
904 Little Leaf Court
Longmont, CO 80503-6442

From: clberry@centurytel.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:28 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure as the law requires.

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 and in 2012 74% of voters supported Amendment 65. Colorado voters want transparency!

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from our campaign finance disclosure law.

Sincerely,

Carl L. Berry
199 County Road 322
Westcliffe, CO 81252-9126

From: dbham52@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:16 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United must disclose

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

We, Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65. This is why we urgently need your oversight and support.

Sincerely,

Diane Birmingham
5104 Lacosta
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9103

From: j.bottom1@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:27 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please keep Citizens United out of Colorado!

Sincerely,

Julia Bottom
9240 Yellowstone Rd
Longmont, CO 80503-9224

From: Julie Brou <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:01 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Citizens United is not "the press."

Julie Brou
Aurora, CO

From: stanbrown@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:13 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United Campaign Contribution Reporting and Control

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Gessler,

We have been discouraged by your interpretation of Secretary of State duties that have overstepped the parameters of your office.

We hope you will leave this office with dignity by stepping forward to define Citizens United as a lobby group that requires it to file campaign finance reports.

Sincerely,

Pamela Barsam Brown
310 Overlook Drive
Boulder, CO 80305-5258

From: a.lamont@bresnan.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Full disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler

I voted for a transparent Colorado State government. It unfortunate that our country is descending into an oligarchy, but our state does not need to follow this path. Political donors should be proud enough to disclose their donation instead of hiding it. Makes me suspicious of a bribe; you should be as well.

Sincerely,

Alan Brown
1021 Beech Ave
Canon City
Canon City, CO 81212-2274

From: Elaine B Brush <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:01 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Elaine B Brush
Colorado Springs, CO

From: Richard Whitcomb Bryant <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:39 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Richard Whitcomb Bryant
Silverthorne, CO

From: john_burnett@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:51 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Election Spending

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please keep the big spending out of Colorado and enforce amendments 27 and 65 that passed with overwhelming support.

Sincerely,

John Burnett
16392 Aliante Drive
Broomfield
Broomfield, CO 80023-8074

From: WmCButlerPhD@wmconnect.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:22 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: money corrupts

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Democracy does not mean buying politicians.

Sincerely,

Dr. William Butler
pob 3327
Evergreen, CO 80437-3327

From: longmontjoe@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:24 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Secretary Gessler,

Please don't approve Citizens United patently false claim for exemption to disclosure rules.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Joe Callahan
2076 Condor Court
Longmont, CO 80503-7960

From: bbc1225@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United-NO!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler

Sincerely,

Bonnie Campbell
28 Ward Ct.
Lakewood, CO 80228-5016

From: ccarnein@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:34 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United shouldn't even exist in Colorado.

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

As far as I'm concerned, Citizens United should be sent packing or sent to jail. We've decided this issue.

Sincerely,

Carl Carnein
507 Donzi Trail
Florissant
Florissant, CO 80816-9296

From: g.m.casey@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 4:31 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: campaign finance

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

No to Citizens United campaign financing.

Sincerely,

Guy Casey
4376 Chateau Ridge Lane
Castle Rock, CO 80108-8423

From: rchacey@centurytel.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:34 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United go home

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Colorado does not need Citizens United - we need transparency!!!

Sincerely,

Ron Chacey
104 Buena Vista Pl
Box 2890
Pagosa Springs, CO 81147

From: toetappinheadbobbin@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United should disclose money sources

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

we want to know what special interests are behind the money and the advertising.

Sincerely,

pj chan
po box 218
mesa
co, CO 81643-0218

From: yvetteclaeys@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:09 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Yvette Claeys
5564 Gibraltar St
Denver, CO 80249-7577

From: jcindyin@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:57 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United here

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please review this message.

Sincerely,

James A Clark Jr
510 Quebec Place
Colorado Springs, CO 80911-2535

From: josephmcleary@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:57 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United Must Disclose where the \$\$ is coming from

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am absolutely opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

We Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure and this case is no different. We approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions and 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United must be required to disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

As a Colorado voter, I want transparency. When Citizens United runs ads, they must disclose where the money is coming from.

I am fed up with cowardly political donors. They should put their face where their money is!

Sincerely,

Joseph Michael Cleary
6105 El Diente Circle
Golden, CO 80403-2046

From: Jacob Colbert <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:05 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Absolutely Not Mr. Secretary! If this is allowed we will only be letting our state fall back on the progress it has made to lead this country. Please do not exemplify Citizens United as part of the Press, they are a part of media advertising, not free speech. Thank you

Jacob Colbert
Fort Collins, CO

From: tdcollins17@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:18 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No Exemptions for Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65. Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Teresa Collins
674 Wildrose Way
Louisville, CO 80027-1081

From: bcruder@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:26 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United not journalism

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Blatant fabrication repeated until the money runs out is advertising, not journalism.

Sincerely,

Robert Cruder
PO Box 937
Elizabeth, CO 80107-0937

From: gavindahl@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Do your job - No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

You should know that 74% of Colorado voters supported Amendment 65 and we do NOT want Citizens United to be exempt from campaign finance disclosure requirements. Obviously Citizens United is not "the press" - they are a political organization working to influence elections. We want transparency, not BIG MONEY lurking in the shadows. Do your job!

Sincerely,

Gavin Dahl
731 Copperdale Lane
Golden, CO 80403-9784

From: doninthemtns@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Say No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Corporations are not people and money is not speech despite what the Supremes say!

Sincerely,

Don
142 Cedar Way
Black Hawk, CO 80422-8872

From: marcydtek@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:33 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United Needs to Go

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Marcy Donaldson
1111 Ash St.
Denver, CO 80220-3740

From: caseydkcd@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:19 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United must disclose

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Representative democracy requires an informed electorate. This includes being informed about who is financially supporting each candidate in each race.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Doyle
607 Cascade Drive
Golden, CO 80403-1583

From: Ellene Duffy <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:02 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Citizens United is not the press. I urge you not to recognize them as such and allow them to further destroy our nation.

Ellene Duffy
Golden, CO

From: John Eckler <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:04 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

They are not the PRESS, and deserve nothing from the state of Colorado. I would hope that we are better than this. I would hope that we would run these thieves and liars out of the state before they even set foot here.

John Eckler
Lakewood, CO

From: ferrarnt@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:12 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure on big money in politics

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Mary Ferraro
718 Fulton
Aurora, CO 80010-3914

From: ksfissinger@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:14 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No exemptions for Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Kaye Fissinger
2199 Creekside Drive
Longmont, CO 80504-7337

From: Kaye Fissinger <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:26 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Kaye Fissinger
Longmont, CO

From: jflanagan@commoncause.org
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:45 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Secretary Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Stand with the people of Colorado on this one.

Sincerely,

Jenny Flanagan
1512 s beech st
lakewood, CO 80228-3733

From: pjfdesign@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:26 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: We the Citizens of Colorado have a right to know where the money is coming from.

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr Gessler

I see this unfettered use of dark money as the greatest threat to our democracy I have seen in my 72 years. I was absolutely astonished when the Supreme Court ruled as they did.

I hope I am wrong, but I am beginning to see the Roberts Court as either corrupt, very naive or stupid. I don't really think Roberts and his four cohorts are stupid, at least not all of them. So that leaves naive or corrupt. In any event, the Roberts court in my opinion is certainly the worst court I've seen in my lifetime. The voters of Colorado have been very clear on this subject. Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65. To think that dark money is acceptable in a democracy is absurd.

I believe we the citizens of Colorado have a right to know exactly where all the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster
4630 East Links Parkway
Centennial, CO 80122-3730

From: leefrankel@centurylink.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:18 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Unlimited Campaign Contributions

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from. - See more at:

<http://www.commoncause.org/siteapps/advocacy/ActionItem.aspx?c=dkLNK1MQIwG&b=9142545#sthash.w8DrGVaO.dpuf>

Sincerely,

Leroy Frankel
15 Texas Lane
Longmont, CO 80501-6923

From: frey2305@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:08 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should

Sincerely,

Robert J. Frey
1926 South Broadway
Grand Junction, CO 81507-9515

From: Jeanette L Gaydos <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:05 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Jeanette L Gaydos
Denver, CO

From: mg80424@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:30 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United petition

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Secretary,

I am opposed to Citizen United's petition to be exempt from Colorado's campaign finance disclosure requirements. Coloradans have supported spending limits and full disclosure requirements in the past. We want and need more transparency in election spending.

Sincerely,

Mark Gee
354 Carroll Lane
P.O. Box 2933
Breckenridge, CO 80424

From: lgermann@lefthand.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:37 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Everyone should disclose finance sources

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Germann
7901 Oxford Road
Longmont, CO 80503-8704

From: amacaddicttoo@me.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:07 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United is a joke!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

-- This is total douchebaggery, and we the people are getting sick of it!

Sincerely,

Lynnette Gill
1539 Robidoux Circle
Colorado Springs, CO 80915-2125

From: goodheartgals@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:54 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Political contributors should be disclosed!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Ava B Goodheart
3003 Valmont Road #102
Lot 102
Boulder, CO 80301-2144

From: ctg2@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:58 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Not In Colorado!!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Chris Goodwin
2925 Glenwood Dr
#302
Boulder, CO 80301-1320

From: Lisa H <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:01 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Lisa H
Colorado Springs, CO

From: karlcnc@khaos.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:19 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: no, No, NO!... to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please make the democratic process more transparent, and keep big corporate & union money out of our elections. Tell "Citizens United" to bag it. Colorado can decide what's right for us without that sort of maligned influence.

Sincerely,

Karl Hanzel
736 Wagonwheel Gap
NO Mailings PLEASE!
Boulder, CO 80302-9402

From: ewhartman@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:00 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No big \$\$

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

We voters passed the law. You follow it.

Sincerely,

Eric Hartman
80 S Marshall St
Lakewood, CO 80226-2124

From: hippie@fastermac.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:22 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Full Disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Robert Hasselbrink
5701 S. Interstate 25
Pueblo, CO 81004-9713

From: simmasch@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:31 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Say No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from. -

Do the right thing and follow the wishes of the Colorado Voters.

Sincerely,

Sonia ImMasche
730 Cottonwood Drive
Fort Collins, CO 80524-1517

From: david_o_johnson@q.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:34 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I demand transparency

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Secretary of State Gessler:

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

David Johnson
618 Partridge Circle
Golden, CO 80403-1548

From: Carol Johnson <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Carol Johnson
Denver, CO

From: Crisocolla@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:51 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Say No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please do what is right for the People of Colorado by saying no to the Citizen's United request.

Sincerely,

Cristin Jones
12358 w Arizona ave
Lakewood, CO 80228-3610

From: kjoy@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:45 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United:NO

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

--I am also opposed to disproportionately locating more voting machines in Republican areas. Let's do 100% mail voting as OR does.

Sincerely,

kay joy
s w
Denver, CO 80223

From: Joe G Kelaidis <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Joe G Kelaidis
Aurora, CO

From: ghettojammy294@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:28 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Colorado Should Say No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Mary Kosnar
2514 Evans Ave
Louisville, CO Louisville

From: jennie_e823@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:35 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No sneaky campaign messages

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I encourage you to require Citizens United to disclose their contributors if they are allowed to do business in our state. Purposefully trying to influence elections without disclosing the parties trying to exert that influence is against my values. My parents raised me with a strong sense of fair play, and the behavior of this group goes against those values.

Sincerely,

Jennie Elliott
2220 Tamarron Lane
Lafayette, CO 80026-9373

From: ggaurson@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:33 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure on all donations

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Transparency would be nice with all political organizations.

Sincerely,

Edward Laurson
5901 W Lehigh Av #13
Denver, CO 80235-2979

From: Heather Kathryn Leavesley <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:33 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Heather Kathryn Leavesley
Centennial, CO

From: johnjlemmon@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:26 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

This is way overdue.

Sincerely,

John Lemmon
154 Cumberland Gap Road
Nederland, CO 80466-9639

From: Paul Michael Levitt <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

The big lie was a favorite device of the National Socialists. Who can protest and does not is an accomplice.

Paul Michael Levitt
Boulder, CO

From: Samuel Leyva <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:08 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Samuel Leyva
Montrose, CO

From: Sally & Carl Lind [<mailto:olduffers@gmail.com>]

Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:13 PM

To: Public Elections

Subject: ATTN: Scott Gessler

I am very much opposed to out of state advertising money being spent here without disclosure, especially Citizens United. The majority of Colorado citizens voted to not allow this so I encourage you to say "NO" to Citizens United and any other group asking your permission to circumvent our State laws. Thank you. Sarah Lind, 2600 N. 5th St., Canon City.

From: lodenkamper@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:43 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Sincerely,

John Lodenkamper
3040 Wright Ct.
Wheat Ridge, CO 80215-6536

From: leslielomas@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:51 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: oppose Citizens United petition

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. -- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65. -- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. -- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from. - See more at:

<http://www.commoncause.org/siteapps/advocacy/ActionItem.aspx?c=dkLNK1MQIwG&b=9142545#sthash.wva6p93N.dpuf>

Sincerely,

Leslie Lomas
2290 Emerald Rd.
Boulder, CO 80304-0912

From: judy123@indra.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:40 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: FAir elections in Colorado

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am deeply opposed to, and offended by, Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado. Colorado voters, including myself, want transparency in elections.

Sincerely,

Judy Lubow
106 Granada Court
Longmont, CO 80504-1213

From: Smlund@amcham.com.br
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:54 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Hear this!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposes to Citizens United 's petition to be exempt,from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Sincerely,

Sue lund
2420 ski trail lane
Steamboat springs, CO 80487-2032

From: madcab@frontier.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:43 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United is not for citizens

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

What would happen if no one voted because they see the fallacy of government. In general the government is out of control and shows who it works for on a daily basis, and that's not for we the people.

I have little faith that the people outweigh the power of money, please prove me wrong and make disclosure a part of this Republic now and for ever.

Sincerely,

Jeff Madeen
845 Jackrabbit Trail
Durango, CO 81303-8000

From: yytghtgh@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:40 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United- money is not speech!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Pat Martin
1034 w Oak St
Fort Collins, CO 80521-2409

From: gwen.mataisz@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:46 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Dark Money in Politics

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Your dedication to voter suppression should stop. Citizens United will destroy the people's government and if done, should not be done in the dark. Please at least allow us the luxury of knowing who is buying our government.

Sincerely,

Gwen Mataisz
1108 State St
Pitkin, CO 81241

From: nbmauro@q.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 6:28 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Do Not Grant Disclosure Exemption to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

The movie on competitive Colorado races being bankrolled by Citizens United is in reality nothing more than an extended political advertisement.

The claim that, as a movie the producers should be treated like the press and not required to report their spending is a specious argument.

Colorado voters passed Amendment 65 in 2012, making an unambiguous statement that we don't want big money drowning out the voices of regular Coloradans in our elections.

If Citizens United wants to run this extended advertisement, or any other for that matter, they should be required to disclose where the money is coming from.

I am a registered Colorado voter and I am emphatically opposed to allowing this exemption.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mauro
5038 E 3rd Avenue
Denver, CO 80220-5706

From: mccall@colorado.edu
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:44 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United: Corporate Mafia

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

These people are the enemies of ordinary American people. If you support them, you are declaring war on the rest of us.

Sincerely,

Ray McCall
4841 Baldwin Place
Boulder, CO 80301-2200

From: momsblues@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:14 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United full disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Julie McCarthy
10169 Oak St
Westminster, CO 80021-3746

From: mam55@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

What did they teach you about democracy at Northwestern U., anyway?

Sincerely,

M.A. McManus
1622 Race St.
Suite #4
Denver, CO 80206-1112

From: Martha McPherson <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:00 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Have some integrity

Martha McPherson
Boulder, CO

From: Laney2m@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:17 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Transparency in CO campaigns

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Laney McVicker
2441 Broadway Unit 215
Denver, CO 80205-2189

From: morrison.rc@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:35 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure of campaign contributions

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Scott Gessler

Sincerely,

Ron Morrison
8166 Nola Drive
Denver, CO 80221-4616

From: smozer@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 4:44 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Violating Colorado's campaign finance disclosure law

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Dear Mr. Gessler:

Do not exempt the Citizens United group to make & show a propaganda film that refuses to disclose who is paying for its production. Only with transparency will voters know who is sponsoring the message they are sending. Colorado voters have strongly supported Amendment 65, which requires disclosure of political spending.

Sincerely,

Sheila Mozer, Aurora Resident
2859 S. Killarney Way
Aurora, CO 80013-9901

From: Brad Newsham <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Brad Newsham
Oakland, CA

From: Scott Norris <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:38 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Scott Norris
Broomfield, CO

From: paradaly@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:18 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Colorado must have transparency in campaign ads

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Your job is to uphold Colorado's constitution, particularly Amendments 27 and 65 in this election year.

Please do your job!

Sincerely,

Kate Paradis
2295 Glenwood Dr.
Boulder, CO 80304-2334

From: ecperkins@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:22 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Full disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Evan Perkins
4670 Chatham St
Boulder, CO 80301-4032

From: don.perl@unco.edu
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:26 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: speaking out against the disaster of Citizen United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

When dollars translate to outrageous political spin, even more political clout and ultimately votes, then enter plutocracy and exit democracy.

Sincerely,

Don Perl
university of Northern Colorado
Department of Hispanic Studies
Greeley, CO 80639

From: danpetersen@open-focus.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:16 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No more corporate favors from me

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

No more hiding behind non-disclosure of where the money is coming from and what the impact is on democracy for the populace.

No more money being the decider. No more free spending and unequal influence that money has in the media.

No more Citizens United approvals.

Sincerely,

Dan Petersen
15600 Road J
15600 Road J
Cortez,, CO 81321-9642

From: Janeene Porcher <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:02 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Janeene Porcher
Golden, CO

From: jpowers.pjp@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:44 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United Disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Marie Powers
10270 W. Mississippi Avenue
Apt. 3207
Lakewood, CO 80226-3914

From: Jay Price <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:04 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Jay Price
San Diego, CA

From: Faye Ranney <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:04 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Faye Ranney
Quincy, FL

From: tomreeck@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:10 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Full Disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

If corporations are people, why aren't they, or at least some of their employees, in prison for fraud and corruption?

Sincerely,

Thomas L Reeck
567 Cordova Ct
Apt 46
Lafayette, CO 80026-2680

From: jasonroth28@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:07 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Gessler, please listen

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

jason roth
1953 s niagara st
denver, CO 80224-2213

From: Harvey R Sachs <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Citizen's United is not a group of journalists. But a bunch of partisan hacks who want to destroy democracy in Colorado and the USA.

Harvey R Sachs
Littleton, CO

From: nicksaia@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:16 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I Want Disclosure Now-Dirty Money Out of Politics

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Dominick Saia
263 Hoover Avenue
Louisville, CO 80027-2137

From: Judy Scheig <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:04 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Judy Scheig
denver, CO

From: wschro2@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 6:55 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: The Citizens United Ruling is ANTI-AMERICAN!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler,

I am disgusted by the anti-democratic fascist effect that SCOTUS Citizens United ruling creates.

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

W. Schroeder
4809 Tanglewood Trl
Boulder, CO 80301-3930

From: jaime@shambo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:45 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Disclose all political spending

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Gessler,

As a small businessman, CPA and one who believes there is a difference between corporations and people, I am opposed to the Citizen's United decision and the organization trying to influence Colorado politics from the shadows.

We have repeatedly voted for full disclosure and transparency and any attempt to influence our electorate by hidden money and organizations goes against the will of Colorado voters.

Please stop these out of state organizations from working in the dark and require they disclose their funding before allowing them to influence the vote.

Sincerely,

James A. Shambo
7735 Maverick Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80908-5075

From: ventureguy356@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:08 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Pay attention voters NOT Citizens United!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Pay attention voters NOT Citizens United!

Cliff Smedley

Sincerely,

Cliff Smedley
209 Skylark Circle
Lafayette, CO 80026-2133

From: ryandeansnyder@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:15 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure!!!!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Mr. Gessler,

I implore you to stand up for democracy and help the good citizens of the USA maintain control of their government. I am so sick of these big corporations buying our politicians. Of course, they do not want disclosure because if the every day citizen knows how they operate behind the scenes - it would be a huge problem.

Citizen's United should NOT be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements. Just level the playing field...I certainly don't think that is too much to ask.

I will be watching how this develops closely.

Sincerely,

Ryan Snyder
1019 Lafayette St
Denver, CO 80218-3117

From: msobel@marcsobel.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:08 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Citizen's United is a political operation, not a commercial or non-profit movie maker. They are not entitled to the protections of the press.

Sincerely,

marc sobel
1449 johnson ct
boulder, CO 80303-1223

From: wentwest@peoplepc.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:42 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: PLEASE SAY NO TO CITIZENS UNITED

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I don't want hidden money buying my elections.

That's hardly the Spirit of Open Colorado.

I am appalled by and adamantly opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure.

In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending.

In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. In fact, they are not registered as a business entity, registered lobbyist, volunteer lobbyist or lobby principal with the CO Secretary of State.

But they are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency.

If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Dr. L. Steele
PO Box 1133
Olathe, CO 81425-1133

From: richaras@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:23 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: rich

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Sincerely,

Richard Stehlik
730 Iris Ave
Boulder
Boulder, CO 80304-1756

From: jahnavisong@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:05 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: NO to Citizens United!!!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. It's corrupt and disgusting!

The People, aka the citizens of Colorado have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

You probably know this: Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. They do not have anyone's interest but their own in mind. Not the general public! Not the People of Colorado.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from. Don't try to pull the wool over our eyes! We are WATCHING.

Sincerely,

Jahnavi Stenflo
2831 20th Street
Boulder, CO 80304-2703

From: av8ors2@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:24 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure on contributions

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Ron Stevens
2943 Hunt Court
Erie, CO 80516-7533

From: menato@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:13 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United!!

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

What a devastating blow to the democratic process!!

This is NOT for Colorado!

Sincerely,

Terry Sto
105 n. 36th st
colorado springs, CO 80904-1342

From: Michelle Stocker <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Michelle Stocker
Arvada, CO

From: streck2@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:38 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: NO to Citizens United- NEED TRANSPARENCY

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

We need transparency and disclose in government. Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

KATRINA STRECKER
442 Paepcke Drive Unit 201
Aspen, CO 81611

From: Kathleen Sullivan <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:00 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Kathleen Sullivan
Englewood, CO

From: dtabor@what-wire.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:13 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Disclosure required

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler,

This not something that should be allowed in our state. Seventy four percent of the people are against what Citizen's United stands for.

Colorado wants transparency, not shadowy organizations spreading horror stories for their own benefit.

Sincerely,

Doug Tabor
16487 LEDYARD RD S
PLATTEVILLE, CO 80651-9345

From: Dara Tallmadge <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:06 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Respect your office and the People of Colorado!

Dara Tallmadge
Wheat Ridge, CO

From: Nancy Terrill Terrill <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:13 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Nancy Terrill Terrill
Grand Junction, CO

From: noalani@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 7:36 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United must disclose all Colorado spendingose

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. They should not be allowed to get special dispensation from Colorado law to influence Colorado elections from without. If they want to spend money, every penny should be recorded in publicly available fora.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Noalani Terry
61490 Epitaph Rd
Montrose, CO 81403-8978

From: Jcthorn@earthlink.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:29 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Transparency

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

How can we believe unless we know our sources?

Sincerely,

Joyce thorn
1232 race
Denver, CO 80206-2811

From: kellykelso2002@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:08 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Say No to Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

We the voters need to know your sly tricks!!!

Sincerely,

Carolyn Tinus
600 Coffman St. # 105
105
Longmont, CO 80501-4962

From: Daniel Lee Tobin <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Daniel Lee Tobin
Durango, CO

From: ctomovich@comcast.netD
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:11 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Re Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Dear Scott,

Please consider the bad effects on our democracy of the Citizens United decision. They should not be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado.

We have an informed citizenry and really object to being overwhelmed by the big money that is trying to influence the elections and undermine our democracy.

In Colorado we want transparency. All ads should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Christine Tomovich
11605 W. 28th Place
Lakewood, CO 80215-7074

From: kmwaller@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:40 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure about \$ spent in politics

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

This would be dissasterous we need \$ out of politics. We need Govt by the people for the people!

Sincerely,

Katherine M Waller
315 Hillcrest Dr.
Address Line 2
Durango, CO 81301-6514

From: twambolt@viawestd.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:01 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Keep Colorado Elections for Coloradans

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Secretary of State Scott Gessler:

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Keep Colorado elections for Coloradans.

Sincerely,

Tom Wambolt
6035 Garrison St.
Arvada, CO 80004-5345

From: dwtsn4@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:11 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Separate money and politics

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

We need separation of money and state. Please insist on full disclosure of all funds to political campaigns and candidates. Please support limitations to money input in politics. Thanks, Danny

Sincerely,

Danny Watson
Box C6
Cimarron
Cimarron, CO 81220

From: stuartweiss3@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:10 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Stuart Weiss
1280 Albion St. #36
1280 Albion St. #36
Denver, CO 80220-2381

From: nickybow@q.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:33 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I Jane Williaams want disclosure

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Require campaign finance disclosure!

Sincerely,

Jane Williams
3805 W 26th Av
Denver, CO 80211-4449

From: alworth@hotmai.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 6:20 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Stop Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. - See more at:
<http://www.commoncause.org/siteapps/advocacy/ActionItem.aspx?c=dkLNK1MQIwG&b=9142545#sthash.EmZv1td9.dpuf>

Sincerely,

Allan Worthing
6401 S Boston St #W101
Greenwood Village, CO 80111-5344

From: Kevin Younger <bounce@list.progressnowcolorado.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:02 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Tell the Secretary of State: Citizens United is not "the press"

Dear Stephen Bouey,

I just signed a petition saying:

The conservative attack group Citizens United plans to come into Colorado and bring their dishonest style of big money politics with them. Using their "make a movie" ruse, and then avoiding electioneering laws by running virulent attack ads for that "movie," they plan to go after Governor John Hickenlooper. And they are asking our partisan Secretary of State Scott Gessler for permission to do it.

They want to spend unlimited money to attack Governor Hickenlooper this fall without disclosing who is funding them. And their plan starts by asking Secretary of State Gessler to rule that they are part of the press--that their attack ads are no different from articles published in The New York Times.

We don't think Citizens United deserves to be called a "press entity" under election law. They are not The New York Times or the Denver Post. They are a conservative attack group--and they should be treated as such.

Kevin Younger
Telluride, CO

From: edz1947@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:44 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Transparency from Citizens United

May 29, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely, E Anna Zander

E Anna Zander
76 Davie Dr
Colorado Springs, CO 80911-1422

From: leeb10635@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 8:54 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Keep money out of politics

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Our democracy is already being threatened to its core by money in politics, and the Citizens United case has made the problem worse. I want the voice of the people of Colorado to count! Not the voice of corporations only!

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Sincerely,

Lee Brannon
720 S Cascade Ave
Montrose, CO 81401-4320

From: kent.brown@q.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 10:18 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United is not a citizen

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

R Brown
704 E cleveland
Lafayette, CO 80026-2308

From: marthawdb@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 4:55 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United, Colorado says NO

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Martha W D Bushnell
502 Ord Drive
Boulder, CO 80303-4732

From: heidistrecker@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 11:16 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United Now

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Scott Gessler

Here are some points you might include in your letter. Please add your own thoughts as well. A unique note will go a long way.

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

- See more at:

<http://www.commoncause.org/siteapps/advocacy/ActionItem.aspx?c=dkLNK1MQIwG&b=9142545#sthash.p6ztTOWI.dpuf>

Sincerely,

Heidi Connor
925 Two Rivers Dr.
Telluride, CO 81435-9152

From: dpgold@ev1.net
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:53 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United exemption petition

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Citizens United was a major blow to the voices in America that do not have the backing of millionaires and billionaires. As a voter in Colorado, I and the majority of voters have supported full disclosure in political campaign spending and Citizens United should be no different. The claim that they are somehow part of the press is ludicrous and should not be a shield to full transparency with respect to their influence on Colorado elections.

Thank you for time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Donald & Frances Golden
4726 Walden Court
Denver, CO 80249-8708

From: movingtolearn@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 4:15 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United NO exemptions

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Please require corporations as well as individuals to disclose where the money is coming from for political campaigns.

Corporations are not citizens and should not be treated as such.

Please give each of us rich or poor one vote. Do not let money dominate our politics. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Graves
1626 Centaur Circle
45 Reach Rd
Lafayette, CO 80026-1433

From: ng3hart@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 12:54 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Say No to Citizens United

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Do not allow the nefarious ways of Citizen's United to sully our elections in Colorado.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kosnar Hartman
2514 Evans Ave
Louisville, CO 80027-1215

From: tccmam@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:44 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want my democracy back!

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Stop doing the wrong thing!

Sincerely,

M McCarthy
1 Dontgiveitout
Fort Collins, CO 80525

From: luvcoupes@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 7:42 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are a political activist group, period. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Sincerely,

Ray Nelson
15950 County Road 47.5
LaSalle, CO 80645-9617

From: randyr@indra.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 4:33 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: poliitical ad disclosure

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Sincerely,

Randy
814 Sunshine Canyon Dr.
Boulder, CO 80302-9727

From: bobandingrid@peoplepc.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 6:13 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Ban Citizens United

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from

Sincerely,

Ingrid Rochester
13310 Halleluiah Trail
Elbert, CO 80106-7520

From: pegshafer@q.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 4:20 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Step Up

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Dear Scott - Here are some points you might include in your letter. Please add your own thoughts as well. A unique note will go a long way.

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

You have not been an exemplary S of S so far, why not got out honorably.

Sincerely,

ME Shafer
2817 S Lansing Way
Aurora, CO 80014-3086

From: annlousmith@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 1:22 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: GO AWAY

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

we do not need big money buying elections so stop right now and go away all of you corrupt people

Sincerely,

Ann Smith
315 Raulroad Ave
unit A
Iiff, CO 80735

From: micasowards@wildblue.net
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 8:05 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No to Citizens United

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

-- I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law.

-- Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

-- Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups.

-- Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Sincerely,

Michael Sowards
27925 CR 209
PO Box 968
Clark, CO 80428

From: mswen@peoplepc.com
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 7:35 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No exemptions from campaign spending disclosure

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Coloradans have consistently supported limits on campaign spending, as well as full disclosure of political spending. If Citizens United seeks to run election advocacy ads, they should disclose their money sources. They should not be exempt from campaign finance disclosure requirements.

Sincerely,

M.R. Swenson
PO Box 7006
Denver, CO 80207-0006

From: linda@zerangue.org
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 11:09 AM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United should disclose any finances and contributions used to influence Colorado voters.

May 30, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Secretary of State Scott Gessler,

I am concerned about the undisclosed amount of funds spent to influence the way Colorado citizens vote. I am apposed to Citizen's United being exempt from campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado. Amendment 27 and 65 supported by Colorado citizens must apply to everyone. No exceptions!

Sincerely,

Linda Zerangue
767
Singletree
Edwards, CO 81632

From: geoling@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 11:10 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizen United ads

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Colorado voters deserve transparency. Source of funding for ads must be identified.

Sincerely,

CHARLES G BUFE
901 Miami Way
Boulder, CO 80305-6400

From: Agenttr44816@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 12:35 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure of who owns our reps.

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

I am opposed to Citizens United's petition to be exempt from the campaign finance disclosure requirements in Colorado law. Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Citizens United is not part of the press. They are working to influence the election and should disclose their contributions and spending, just like other groups. Koch's & their people should have to follow the same rules as the rest of us. We Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from, just like anyone else has to. Wanting different rules for themselves is B.S.

Sincerely,

Michael G.
POB 1425
Cripple Creek, CO 80813-1425

From: comountain@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 3:32 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United Needs to End

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Stop the corporate take over of Colorado now. Single issue bills only that are transparent and easy to understand the consequences.

Sincerely,

Amy Marschak
780 38th Street
Boulder, CO 80303-2507

From: smichl@colorado.edu
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 8:25 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: campaign finance

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Dear Secretary:

Please ensure that political campaigns in Colorado and their funders are TRANSPARENT. Anything less makes a mockery of democracy.

Sincerely,

Sara Michl
501 aurora av
boulder, CO 80302-7127

From: dreamerlyn@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 10:20 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: I want disclosure for Citizens United

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Please do not exempt Citizens United from campaign finance disclosure requirements. They are trying to influence elections and should be treated the same as other organizations that do the same thing. Coloradans have been clear that we want disclosure -- an overwhelming majority supported Amendment 65 in 2012. Please ensure that this group and any others are required to disclose where they're getting the money to run their ads. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Lynn Ross
1 Clarkson St.
Denver, CO 80218-3701

From: npthomas@earthlink.net
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 12:04 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Colorado voters want transparency. If Citizens United wants to run ads, they should disclose where the money is coming from.

Colorado citizens have repeatedly supported limits on money in politics as well as full disclosure. In 2002, voters approved Amendment 27 to limit contributions to candidates and require disclosure of political spending. In 2012, 74% of voters supported Amendment 65.

Please do the honest thing and insist that Citizens United disclose contributors and amounts

Sincerely,

Dr. Nancy P. Thomas
160 Stanley Circle Drive
Estes Park, CO 80517-6305

From: beth.williamson@colorado.edu
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2014 10:01 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: No more Citizens United please

May 31, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

This is a no-brainer! Please!

Sincerely,

Beth Williamson
5100 Euclid Ave.
Boulder, CO 80303-2834

From: gdnguy@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, June 01, 2014 10:07 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Discose Donors

June 1, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

The wealthy are completing their process of buying the whole US Government. Make this group of Wealth represented by Citizens United follow the LAW and disclose ALL DONORS!!!!

Sincerely, June & Gordon Brown

June & Gordon Brown
7525 Pirlot Pl
Lone Tree, CO 80124

From: jth815@earthlink.net
Sent: Sunday, June 01, 2014 4:15 PM
To: Stephen Bouey
Subject: Citizens United

June 1, 2014
Scott Gessler

Dear Scott Gessler,

Disclosure is essential or else money rules, not the people.

Sincerely,

Joseph Hayes
185 Rainbow Dr
Grand Junction, CO 81503-3903