

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Division of Water Resources

PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

2 CCR 402-5

[Editor's Notes follow the text of the rules at the end of this CCR Document.]

PROCEDURAL RULES

Table of Contents

Rule 1.1.1 Authority2	
Rule 2 – Scope and Purpose	2
Rule 3 – Applicability	2
Rule 4 – Definitions.	3
Rule 5 – Alternative Means of Dispute Resolution	
Rule 6 – Rulemaking Procedures under Compact and Water Rule Powers	
Rule 7 - Other Rulemaking Procedures	10
Rule 8 – Reconsideration of a Rulemaking Action	
Rule 9 – Hearing Officers	19
Rule 10 – Adjudicatory Procedures	
Rule 11 – Requests for Declaratory Orders	.32
Rule 12 – Reconsideration of Agency Action for Orders Issued Under Rules 10 11	
Effective Date	34

Rule 1 Authority

These regulationsrules are promulgated and adopted pursuant to the authority conferred upon the state engineer to make rules. State Engineer in sections 24-4-101 et seq., C.R.S., (the Administrative Procedures Act or the "APA") and are promulgated in compliance intended to implement and be consistent with theits requirements of and the requirements of the Water Right Determination and Administration Act, (the State Administrative Procedure Determination Act,) sections 24-437-92-101 et seq., C.R.S. (1982 & 1983 Supp.) (., and the "APA"). Ground Water Management Act, sections 37-90-101 et seq., C.R.S. (the Management Act).

1.1.Rule 2. Scope and Purpose

- A.— These regulations rules shall govern all rulemaking procedures, Adjudicatory Proceedings and hearingspetitions for declaratory orders before the state engineer State Engineer except when hethe State Engineer is acting pursuant to authority delegated to him by the as an ex officio, non-voting member of the Colorado Ground Water Commission or, is acting solely as executive director of the Commission, or is acting as a member of the State Board of Examiners of Water Well and Pump Installation Contractors. They
- B. These rules are intended to assure that all such procedures and hearings will be held before the State Engineer are conducted in a fair and impartial and manner, to assure that all Parties to the proceedings are accorded due process of law, and to provide the State Engineer with all relevant facts and information pertinent to decision making. These rules shall be liberally construed so as to further carry out these purposes.
- B. <u>C.</u> These <u>regulations rules</u> do not apply to interpretive rulings <u>or</u>, guidelines or <u>other</u> general statements of policy, which are not meant to be binding <u>as rules</u>.

C. Rule 3 Applicability

- A. These rules apply to rulemaking, Adjudicatory Proceedings, declaratory orders, reconsideration of rulemaking decisions, and reconsideration of Adjudicatory Proceedings before the State Engineer.
- B. Except when necessary to comply with applicable statutes, the State Engineer may waive the requirements of these regulations may be waivedrules whenever it is determined that strict adherence to the rules will not promote fairness or and impartiality. In any such instance, appropriate justification shall be provided to all interested persons Interested Persons and parties Parties.

D. In the event of a conflict between these regulations, and the APA or other statutes, the statutes shall prevail.

1.1.3. Rulemaking procedures

A.—C. In the event of a conflict between these rules and the APA, the Determination Act, or the Management Act, the statutes shall prevail. The provisions of the APA generally apply to all hearings held by the State Engineer. Specifically, the provisions of § 24-4-103, C.R.S., shall apply to all rulemaking hearings, the provisions of § 24-4-104, C.R.S., shall apply to all decisions regarding the grant, renewal, denial, revocation, suspension, annulment, limitation or modification of Licenses (permits), and the provisions of § 24-4-105, C.R.S., shall apply to all Adjudicatory Proceedings and petitions for declaratory orders unless such provisions are inconsistent with the specific provisions of the Determination Act and/or the Management Act, in which case the those statutory provisions shall control.

Rule 4 Definitions

- A. "The Determination Act" The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969, § 37-92-101 et seq., C.R.S., as may be amended.
- B. "The Management Act" The Ground Water Management Act of 1965, § 37-90-101 et seq., C.R.S., as may be amended.
- C. "Adjudication" the procedure used by the Division of Water Resources for the formulation, amendment, or repeal of an order; including orders regarding licensing and permitting under § 24-4-104, C.R.S. § 24-4-102(2), C.R.S.
- D. "Adjudicatory Proceeding": Adjudicatory Proceedings include notice, prehearing procedures and hearings which are required or allowed by law in order to determine past and future rights and obligations of persons or agencies, including persons or agencies aggrieved by an administrative action of the State Engineer other than rulemaking. Adjudicatory Proceedings are governed by the procedures in Rules 8 and 9 of these rules.
- E. "The APA" The State Administrative Procedures Act, § 24-4-101 et seq., C.R.S., as may be amended.
- F. "Agency" any board, bureau, commission, department, institution, division, section, or officer of the state, except those in the legislative branch or the judicial branch.
- G. "Ex parte Communication" An oral or written communication regarding a proceeding where the communication is between the State Engineer or Hearing Officer and a Party to the proceeding that: takes place after the adoption of a petition to notice a rulemaking or after an appeal for an adjudicatory hearing has been Filed; is not on the public record; is not authorized by other specific provision of law or order of the State Engineer; and, with respect to which reasonable prior notice to all Parties is not given. Communications solely inquiring as to the process of the

- proceeding that are not seeking any procedural or substantive relief, nor pertaining to any substantive issues, are not included in Ex parte Communication.
- H. File or Filed: Received in the office of the State Engineer and date-stamped by staff as received on that day or, when filed by electronic mail for rulemakings or Adjudications as provided herein:
 - a. Electronic Mail Service by electronic mail shall be complete when the Office of the State Engineer receives an electronic mail containing an attached, signed version of the document to be filed. When any Person, Interested Person or Party files by electronic mail, it shall be considered an agreement to be served by electronic mail. The filer is responsible for furnishing one or more electronic notification addresses at which the electronic filer agrees to accept service and shall immediately provide the State Engineer's office and all Parties with any change to the electronic filer's notification address. Special filing arrangements may be made on a case-by-case basis as needed.
 - b. All filings for rulemakings and Adjudications under these Procedural Rules shall be made by electronic mail.
 - c. Exception to Electronic Filing Any person may request approval by the State Engineer to file documents in paper copy format if they are unable for any reason to comply with the electronic filing requirements. Pro se Parties may file documents via US mail if electronic filing is not available.
- I. "Good Cause" a rational explanation justifying why a requirement in these procedural rules was not met or need not be complied with in the particular circumstance.
- J. "Initial Decision" a decision made by the State Engineer's designated Hearing
 Officer which will become the final action of the Division of Water Resources unless
 appealed to the State Engineer. § 24-4-102(6), C.R.S.
- K. "Interested Person" any Person who may be aggrieved by the Division of Water Resources action. § 24-4-102(6.2), C.R.S.
- L. "License" the whole or any part of any Division of Water Resources permit, certificate, registration, charter, membership, or statutory exemption. § 24-4-102(7), C.R.S.
- M. "Party" any person or agency named or admitted as a Party, or properly seeking and entitled as of right to be admitted as a Party in a proceeding before the state engineer, subject to the provisions of these rules.

- N. "Person" an individual, limited liability company, partnership, corporation, association, county, or a public or private organization other than an agency.
- O. "Rulemaking Proceedings" Rulemaking Proceedings are the notice and hearing activities required by law for the state engineer to adopt rules, as authorized by the Act or other specific authority, that are of general applicability and future effect implementing, interpreting, or declaring law or policy, which are intended to be binding. They include adoption of whole generic rules, or deletion of, or revisions or modifications to, existing rules of the state engineer. Rulemaking Proceedings are governed by the procedures in Rules 6 and 7 of these rules.
- P. "State Engineer" the person appointed by the governor pursuant to section 13 of article XII of the state constitution having the general duties set forth in section 37-80-101, et seq., C.R.S., as well as duties under the Determination Act, the Management Act, and other provisions of Title 35 and 37 of the Colorado Revised Statutes. The state engineer has the duty and authority to "administer, distribute, and regulate the waters of the state in accordance with the constitution of the state of Colorado, the provisions of this article and other applicable laws" pursuant to section 37-92-501, C.R.S. As used in these rules, "state engineer" includes the Hearing Officer or any other person the State Engineer delegates to act on his behalf. The address of the state engineer is:

Colorado Division of Water Resources 1313 Sherman St., Room 821 Denver, CO 80203

Q. "Stipulation" – an agreement or concession as to facts or the law made by the Parties in a proceeding before the State Engineer.

Rule 5 Alternative Means of Dispute Resolution

For the purposes of this rule, the term –

- A. "Alternative means of dispute resolution" means any procedure that is used to resolve issues in controversy, including, but not limited to, conciliation, facilitation, mediation, fact finding, mini trials, arbitration, and use of ombudsman, or any combination thereof;
- B. "Dispute resolution proceeding" means any process in which an alternative means of dispute resolution is used to resolve an issue in controversy in which a neutral is appointed and specified Parties participate;
- C. "In confidence" means, with respect to information, that the information is provided—
 - 1. with the expressed intent of the source that it not be disclosed; or

- under circumstances that would create the reasonable expectation on behalf of the source that the information will not be disclosed;
- D. "Issue in controversy" means an issue which is material to a decision concerning an administrative program of the Division of Water Resources and with which there is disagreement:
 - between an Agency and Persons who would be substantially affected by the decision; or
 - 2. between persons who would be substantially affected by the decision;
 - E. "Neutral" means an individual who, with respect to an issue in controversy, functions specifically to aid the Parties in resolving the controversy;
- F "Roster" means a list of persons qualified to provide services as neutrals.

G. General authority

- 1. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may approve the use of a dispute resolution proceeding for the resolution of an issue in controversy that relates to an administrative program, if the Parties agree to such proceeding.
- 2. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall consider not using a dispute resolution proceeding if:--
 - a. a definitive or authoritative resolution of the matter is required for precedential value, and such a proceeding is not likely to be accepted generally as an authoritative precedent;
 - b. the matter involves or may bear upon significant questions of state
 government policy that require additional procedures before a final
 resolution may be made, and such a proceeding would not likely
 serve to develop a recommended policy for the Division of Water
 Resources:
 - maintaining established policies is of special importance, so that variations among individual decisions are not increased and such a proceeding would not likely reach consistent results among individual decisions;
 - d. the matter significantly affects persons or organizations who are not Parties to the proceeding:

- e. a full public record of the proceeding is important, and a dispute resolution proceeding cannot provide such a record; or
- f. the Division of Water Resources must maintain continuing jurisdiction over the matter with authority to alter the disposition of the matter in the light of changed circumstances, and a dispute resolution proceeding would interfere with the Division of Water Resources' fulfilling that requirement.
- 3. Alternative means of dispute resolution authorized under this subchapter are voluntary procedures which supplement rather than limit other available dispute resolution techniques.

H. Neutrals

- 1. A Neutral may be a permanent or temporary officer or employee of the State of Colorado or any other individual who is acceptable to the Parties to a dispute resolution proceeding. The neutral shall have no official, financial, or personal conflict of interest with respect to the issues in controversy, unless such interest is fully disclosed in writing to all Parties and all Parties agree that the neutral may serve.
- A neutral who serves as a conciliator, facilitator, or mediator serves at the will of the Parties.
- I. Confidentiality Except as provided herein, a neutral in a dispute resolution proceeding shall not voluntarily disclose or through discovery or compulsory process be required to disclose any dispute resolution communication or any communication provided in confidence to the neutral, unless--
 - 1. all Parties to the dispute resolution proceeding and the neutral consent in writing, and, if the dispute resolution communication was provided by a non-Party participant, that participant also consents in writing;
 - 2. the dispute resolution communication has already been made public;
 - 3. the dispute resolution communication is required by statute to be made public, but a neutral should make such communication public only if no other person is reasonably available to disclose the communication; or
 - 4. a court determines that such testimony or disclosure is necessary to-
 - a. prevent a manifest injustice;
 - b. help establish a violation of law; or

- c. prevent harm to the public health or safety, of sufficient magnitude in the particular case to outweigh the integrity of dispute resolution proceedings in general by reducing the confidence of Parties in future cases that their communications will remain confidential.
- A Party to a dispute resolution proceeding shall not voluntarily disclose or through discovery or compulsory process be required to disclose any dispute resolution communication, unless-
 - a. the communication was prepared by the Party seeking disclosure;
 - b. all Parties to the dispute resolution proceeding consent in writing:
 - the dispute resolution communication has already been made public;
 - d. the dispute resolution communication is required by statute to be made public;
 - e. a court determines that such testimony or disclosure is necessary to-
 - i. prevent a manifest injustice;
 - ii. help establish a violation of law; or
 - iii. prevent harm to the public health and safety, of sufficient magnitude in the particular case to outweigh the integrity of dispute resolution proceedings in general by reducing the confidence of Parties in future cases that their communications will remain confidential;
 - f. the dispute resolution communication is relevant to determining the existence or meaning of an agreement or award that resulted from the dispute resolution proceeding or to the enforcement of such an agreement or award; or
 - g. except for dispute resolution communications generated by the neutral, the dispute resolution communication was provided to or was available to all Parties to the dispute resolution proceeding.
- 6. Any dispute resolution communication that is disclosed in violation of these rules shall not be admissible in any proceeding relating to the issues in controversy with respect to which the communication was made.

- 7. The Parties may agree to alternative confidential procedures for disclosures by a neutral. Upon such agreement the Parties shall inform the neutral before the commencement of the dispute resolution proceeding of any modifications that will govern the confidentiality of the dispute resolution proceeding.
- 8. If a demand for disclosure by way of discovery request or other legal process is made upon a neutral regarding a dispute resolution communication, the neutral shall make reasonable efforts to notify the Parties and any affected non-Party participants of the demand. Any Party or affected non-Party participant who receives such notice and within 15 calendar days does not offer to defend a refusal of the neutral to disclose the requested information shall have waived any objection to such disclosure.
- Nothing in this section shall prevent the discovery or admissibility of any evidence that is otherwise discoverable, merely because the evidence was presented in the course of a dispute resolution proceeding.
- 10. A decision by the Division of Water Resources to use or not to use a dispute resolution proceeding under this subchapter shall be committed to the discretion of the Division of Water Resources and shall not be subject to judicial review.

Rule 6 Rulemaking Procedures under Compact and Water Rule Powers

A. Applicability

Whenever the state engineer adopts any rule or regulation, except those required to be promulgated under section 37-92-501, C.R.S. (1973) the provisions of this section shall be applicable.

В.

Under § 37-92-501(1), C.R.S., the State Engineer may adopt rules to assist in the performance of his or her duties to administer, distribute, and regulate the waters of the state ("water rule power"). Under § 37-80-104, C.R.S., the State Engineer shall make and enforce such rules with respect to deliveries of water as will enable the state of Colorado to meet its interstate compact commitments ("compact rule power"). The water rule power and the compact rule power are governed by the procedures of the Determination Act and not by the APA. See Simpson v. Cotton Creek Circles, LLC (In re Rules Governing New Withdrawals of Ground Water), 181 P.3d 252, 264 (Colo. 2008); In re Rules & Regulations Governing Water Rights, 196 Colo. 197, 202 (Colo. 1978). Whenever the state engineer adopts any rule under the water rule power or the compact rule power, the provisions of this rule shall apply. All other rulemaking shall be subject to the rulemaking procedures under Rule 7 below. To the extent any provision of this rule conflicts with any current or future provision of the Determination Act, Management Act or an interstate compact, the procedures of the Determination Act, the Management Act or interstate compact shall apply.

B. Proposals for Rulemaking

1) All

- 1. Any rulemaking proceedings shall be conducted by the state engineer or such person(s) as he may designate. Whenever the state engineer contemplates rulemaking, public announcement may be made at such time and in such manner as he determines, and opportunity may be afforded interested persons to submit views or otherwise participate informally in conferences with the state engineer or his staff on the proposals under consideration. It is within the discretion of the state engineer to determine if and when such informal proceedings should occur, and who may participate.
 2)(a) Any interested person
- 2. The State Engineer may establish a representative group of participants with an interest in the subject of the rulemaking to submit views or otherwise participate informally in any conferences on the proposals under consideration or to participate in the public hearing(s) under this rule. In establishing any representative group, the State Engineer shall make reasonable attempts to solicit input from representatives of each of the various stakeholder interests that may be affected positively or negatively by the proposed rules.
- 3. Prior to the notice required by this rule, the State Engineer shall publicize and hold at least one public meeting in each water division subject to any proposed rules and may publicize and conduct such hearing at such time and in such manner as he determines. The State Engineer shall make the proposed rules available prior to the hearing at such time and in such manner as he determines to be appropriate. At the hearing, Persons will have the opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments and to present the same orally unless the State Engineer deems it unnecessary.

C. Notice

Notice shall be made as required by § 37-92-501(2)(g), C.R.S. and any other applicable provisions of the Determination Act or Management Act, as may be amended from time to time.

D. Protests

Any person desiring to protest a proposed rule may do so in the manner as provided in § 37-92-304, C.R.S. for the protest of a ruling of a referee, and the water judge shall hear and dispose of the same as promptly as possible. See § 37-92-501(3)(a), C.R.S. Any such protest must be filed by the end of the month following the month in which such proposed rules are published. See id.

E. Appellate Review of Water Judge Decisions

<u>Under section 37-92-304(9), C.R.S., appellate review shall be allowed to any judgment and decree of the water judge. Under § 13-4-102, C.R.S., water cases involving Adjudications are appealed to the Colorado Supreme Court.</u>

Rule 7 Other Rulemaking Procedures

A. Applicability. Whenever the state engineer adopts any rule, except those to be promulgated under § 37-92-501 or § 37-80-104, C.R.S., as addressed in Rule 6 above, the provisions of this rule shall apply.

B. Proposals for Rulemaking

- 1. All rulemaking proceedings shall be conducted by the state engineer or designated Hearing Officer. Whenever the state engineer contemplates rulemaking, public announcement may be made at such time and in such manner as he determines. The state engineer shall establish a representative group of participants with an interest in the subject of the rule-making to submit views or otherwise participate informally in conferences on the proposals under consideration or to participate in public rule-making proceedings on the proposed rules. It is within the discretion of the state engineer to determine the extent to which such informal proceedings should occur. § 24-4-103(2), C.R.S.
- 2. Any Person shall have the right to petition the state engineer in writing for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of a rule. Such petition shall be open to public inspection. Action on such petition shall be within the discretion of the state engineer, but when he undertakes rulemaking on any matter, all related petitions for the issuance, amendment or repeal of rules on such matter shall be considered and acted upon in the same proceeding. § 24-4-103(7), C.R.S.

(b)

- 3. Petitions for rulemaking shall be filed by electronic mail or pursuant to the paper format filing exception pursuant to Rule 4(G).
- 4. Petitions for rulemaking shall include the following information:
 - i) identification
 - a. <u>Identification</u> of the person or persons requesting rulemaking and the nature of the requests;

ii) the

b. The language of the proposed rule;

iii) a

c. A statement of the state engineer's engineer's authority to promulgate the rule;

iv) a

d. A concise general statement of the rule'srule's basis and purpose. If the rule involves technological or scientific issues, this statement must include a detailed, analytical statement of the scientific or technological rationale justifying the proposed rule; and

Code of Colorado Regulations

v) a

e. A fiscal analysis of the proposed rule, which shall include an identification of the types of persons who will bear the costs and/or assume the benefits of the proposed rule.

C.

C. Notice

1) In accordance with the requirements of section 24-4-103.5, C.R.S. (1982), not less than 20 days before publication of notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to this section, the state engineer shall submit any proposed rule which will affect small businesses to the Office of Regulatory Reform.

2)

1. Official notice of proposed rulemaking proceedings shall be filed with the secretary of state in sufficient time for publication in the Colorado Register. §§ 24-4-103(3)(a) and (11).

3)

- 2. At the time of filing a notice of proposed rule-making with the secretary of state as the secretary may require, the Division of Water Resources shall submit a draft of the proposed rule or the proposed amendment to an existing rule and a statement, in plain language, concerning the subject matter or purpose of the proposed rule or amendment to the office of the executive director in the Department of Regulatory Agencies. The provisions of 24-4-103(2.5) shall apply.
- 3. Notice of proposed rulemaking shall be published in the Colorado Register and shall state the time, place, and nature of the public rulemaking proceedings, the authority under which the rule is proposed, and either the terms or the substance of the proposed rule or a description of the subjects and issues involved. § 24-4-103(3)(a), C.R.S. Publication of the notice in the Colorado Register shall be by electronic publication pursuant to § 24-4-103(11)(g).

4)

4. With due regard for the number and complexity of the proposed rules, the state engineer shall establish the date for the public rulemaking proceedings. _The date set for the proceedings shall not be less than twenty (20) days after publication of notice as provided in this section. Publication shall be in the Colorado Register, which shall be distributed pursuant to section and as required by § 24-4-103(11)(h3)(a), C.R.S. (1982), and the agency shall mail a copy of the notice to the petitioner, if any.

5)

5. Public notice may contain requirements with respect to special procedures, including partyParty status, prehearing conferences and requirements for written testimony, which the state engineer deems appropriate to any particular rulemaking hearing.

6)

6. An amended notice may be issued by the state engineer at any time prior to the to the hearing without necessitating a continuance of the hearing date, provided the original notice is not substantially altered to the prejudice of any interested person. Party or Person. If an amendment is substantial and prejudicial, the

hearing date shall be continued to an appropriate date as determined by the state engineer, and notice thereof shall be made in the same manner as the original notice.

7)

7. The state engineer may continue a hearing to another date by issuing written notice to that effect at any time prior to the close of the record, or by announcement at the date, time and place of the original hearing.

D. Party Status

1)

- 1. Status as a partyParty will be available to interested persons Interested Persons in rulemaking proceedings before the state engineer, State Engineer unless the state engineer State Engineer specifies otherwise in his notice of proposed rulemaking issued pursuant to section 1.1.3.(Rule 7(C) of these regulations.). Where an opportunity to obtain party statusParty Status is provided, it may be obtained in the manner prescribed in this section 1.1.3.(D). Parties to rulemaking hearings shall have thosethe rights specified in section 1.1.3(J)(2).this section. Party Status is not required for Persons to appear at the public hearing to submit written data, views, or arguments and to present the same orally unless the State Engineer deems it unnecessary.
- 2. If party status Party Status is to be allowed, then any person or agency Agency who is interested may become a party Party to rulemaking proceedings by filing an application for party status. Party Status. Applications for party status Party Status shall be filed not less than 5 days prior to the hearing or such earlier time as stated in the notice of proposed rulemaking. Thereafter, applications to be made a party Party shall only be granted if other parties Parties will not be prejudiced thereby.
- 3. Applications for party status Party Status shall set forth the name of the person, persons or agency, U.S. mail address, telephone number and e-mail address of the Person, Persons or Agency seeking party status. Party Status. The application shall also indicate the interest of the person Person (s) or Agency in the proposed rules and a description of the general nature of the evidence to be presented by the proposed Party in the course of the proceedings.
- 4. For <u>a</u> hearing where <u>party status Party Status</u> is available, it shall be <u>freely</u> granted by the <u>state engineer. State Engineer. Upon Good Cause shown</u>, Party <u>status Status</u> may be granted <u>prior to or</u> at the prehearing conference or other appropriate time prior to the hearing.

 5)
- 5. The staff of the Office of the State Engineer shall automatically be a party to rulemaking proceedings before the state Engineer when party status Party when party status Party engineer party status Party engineer party engineer <a href="mailto:status-engineerStatus-engineer

6. For the purpose of service of any documents upon a Party other than the staff of the Office of the State Engineer, delivery by U.S. mail or by e-mail to the addresses provided in a Party's application for Party Status shall constitute service as of the date mailed or e-mailed. A Party shall promptly serve upon the state engineer and all other Parties notice of any change in the Party's U.S. mail address or e-mail address for the purpose of service.

E. Prehearing Conference Procedures for Rulemaking

1)

- 1. These prehearing procedures provide a process by which the issues related to a proposed rule are raised and discussed, and presented to the state engineer for decision in an efficient manner if they cannot be resolved prior to the prehearing conference. It is the strong desire of the state engineer that the Parties try to resolve as many issues as possible by negotiation prior to the prehearing conference. Any Person may appear at the prehearing conference but only Parties may participate.
- The state engineer may specify in the notice erof proposed rulemaking that a prehearing conference will be held. Any such conference shall be held not less than five (5) days in advance of the hearing, unless the state engineer, for good cause Good Cause, specifies otherwise.
- 3. At any prehearing conference each applicant for party status, or when party status is not permitted, Party or shall present to the state engineer or Hearing Officer and to every interested person who intends to call witnesses at the hearing and offer exhibits into the record of the hearing, shall present to the state engineer and to every other person or party other person or Party in attendance a prehearing statement which that shall contain the following:
 - a) a. A specific statement of the factual and legal claims it asserts;
 - b) copies. Copies of all exhibits it will introduce at the hearing;
 - c) a. A list of witnesses it will call and a brief summary of their testimony;
 - d) the Specific language proposed for the rule, where appropriate, and a proposed statement of the basis and purpose therefor; for the rule; and
 - e) all. All written testimony it will offer into evidence at the hearing.

3)

4. The objectpurpose of the prehearing conference may include the formulation of formulation of stipulations or orders respecting the issues to be raised, and exhibits to be presented by the parties. The parties. The parties/parties/parties/parties/parties/parties/<a href="https:/

conference of any objections to the procedures or evidence that may be raised at the hearing. Stipulations may be made at the prehearing conference to reflect any matters which have been agreed to or admitted by the partiesParties or interested persons. Interested Persons. A prehearing order shall be prepared by the state engineerState Engineer/Hearing Officer or, at his direction, by any person or partyParty, based upon the prehearing conference, which shall reflect any rulings made by the state engineer with respect to procedures to be followed at the hearing, or any other matters.

5. Motions

The state engineer State Engineer or Hearing Officer may require that, as part of the prehearing conference or otherwise, parties or when party status is not allowed, interested persons, submit in advance submittal of the hearing all motions or requests for rulings that such personPerson(s) or partyParty intends to make with respect to the proposed rulemaking. These shall include motions regarding procedures, the scope and nature of the proceedings, or any other matter that requires a determination by the state engineer State Engineer prior to final agencyDivision of Water Resources action based on the record, or any matter that may reasonably be disposed of by the state engineer prior to receiving testimony or other evidence.

G.

6. Discovery

The state engineer State Engineer may, on his own motionaccord or upon the motion of any interested person or party Party for good cause Good Cause shown, take depositions or have depositions taken, and fix the time and place therefor. For them to be held. Other forms of discovery provided for by the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure may be allowed by the state engineer. Discovery may be requested by any interested person Interested Person or party Party as well as by the staff of the Division of Water Resources. State Engineer. Discovery shall be granted where due process, fairness, and the establishment of an adequate record may be served thereby, and when the timely completion of the proceedings will not be unduly delayed. Discovery shall be completed no later than five (5) days prior to the hearing date, except as otherwise ordered by the state engineer.

ш

7. Subpoenas

Subpoenas shall be issued without discrimination between public and private persons or parties Parties by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer. A subpoena shall be served by the person or party Party requesting its issuance in the same manner as a subpoena issued by a district court. Upon

failure of any witness to comply with such subpoena, the state-engineer or Hearing-Officer may petition any district court, setting forth service of the subpoena and stating that due notice was given to the witness of the time and place of attendance. The district court, after hearing evidence in support of or contrary to the petition, may enter an order as in other civil actions compelling the witness to attend and testify or produce books, records, or other evidence under penalty of punishment for contempt in case of contumacious failure to comply with the order of the court. A witness shall be entitled to the fees and mileage provided for a witness in <a href="section-s

I. Hearing Officers

Pursuant to section 37-80-102(2), C.R.S. (1973), the state engineer may delegate to one or more persons the responsibility to convene and conduct hearings under these rules. The state engineer may also appoint one or more persons to act as hearing officer and to make an initial decision and initial decision on any matter.

J.

F. Conduct of **Rulemaking** Hearings

1)

- 1. The state engineer shall hold a public hearing before promulgating any rule—or regulation.. At such hearing, the staff of the Division of Water Resources and interested persons State Engineer, any Parties and Persons shall be afforded the opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments, and to present the same orally unless the state engineer deems it unnecessary.
- 2) Where participation as a party is allowed by the state engineer, parties may make objections, and all witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination by or on behalf of persons who have obtained party status to the proceedings. In all hearings witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination by or on behalf of the state engineer, and the state engineer may allow either his staff or legal counsel for the staff, to conduct cross-examination.
 3)
 - 2. All witnesses called to testify by a Party shall be subject to cross-examination by other Parties.
 - 3. The state engineer in conducting any rulemaking hearingState Engineer shall, in shall, in addition to the authority specified elsewhere, have authority on his own motion or upon the motion of any interested person or party for good cause shown to: administer oaths and affirmations; sign and issue subpoenas; regulate the course of the hearing, set the time and place for continued hearings, and; fix the time for the filing of appropriate documents; take depositions or have depositions taken; issue appropriate orders which shall control the subsequent course of the proceedings and; and, take any other action authorized by statute or agencyDivision of Water Resources rule consistent with the APA. In the event more than one person engages in the conduct of a hearing, such persons shall designate one of their number to perform the functions of this subsection as can best be performed by one person only, and thereafter such person only shall

perform those functions which are assigned by the several persons conducting such hearing.

4)

- 4. The state engineerState Engineer will make efforts to provide for and solicit the greatest possible public participation in rulemaking hearings.

 5)
- 5. The state engineer may allow parties to submit evidence not previously submitted under prehearing conference procedures for good Cause, such as where necessary for purposes of rebuttal testimony.
- The state engineer may permit parties or interested personsallow Parties to submit motions not previously submitted under prehearing conference procedures for good cause Good Cause shown.
- 7. The state engineer, after the receipt of the evidence, may allow or require interested persons or parties Parties to present oral or written summations of the facts and the law, either at the hearing or subsequent thereto.
- K. <u>8. At any time the State Engineer may question any Interested Person, Party</u> or witness participating in the hearing.
- 9. All hearings shall be conducted in the following order unless otherwise directed by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.
 - a. Call to order and introductory remarks;
 - b. Presentation of any Stipulations or agreements between the Parties;
 - c. Opening statements by the Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - d. Opening statements by all other Parties;
 - e. Presentation of case-in-chief by the Party upon whom burden of proof rests:
 - f. Presentations by all other Parties wishing to offer evidence, with the order of presentation to be determined by the State Engineer or presiding Hearing Officer;
 - g. Rebuttal by the Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - h. Closing statement by Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - i. Closing statements by all other Parties.
 - j. At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, or at the conclusion of the Party's entire presentation, all other Parties may then cross-examine each

witness. The order of the cross examination shall be determined by the Person conducting the hearing. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may examine any witness at any time.

- k. All briefs and memoranda of law that parties file shall be served on the
 State Engineer or Hearing Officer and all Parties no later than five (5) days prior to the hearing unless otherwise specified.
- G. Final Agency Action and Posthearing Procedures for Rulemaking

 1) In
 - Within one hundred eighty days after the last public hearing on a proposed rule, the State Engineer shall adopt a rule pursuant to these rulemaking procedures or terminate the proceeding by publication of a notice to that effect in the Colorado Register.
 - 2. After the conclusion of the last public hearing, the State Engineer shall prepare an initial ruling as to any rules proposed for adoption.
 - 3. Any Party to the rulemaking may file written exceptions to the initial ruling within 21 days of the State Engineer's service of the initial ruling on the Party. The State Engineer shall rule on any such exceptions prior to adopting any rule or regulation terminating the state engineer proceeding.
 - 4. The State Engineer shall consider all submissions, entered into the record in adopting any rule. The rules or regulations promulgated shall be based on the record, which. The record shall consist of proposed rules, evidence, exhibits, other matters presented or considered, matters officially noticed, rulingsruling on exceptions, any findings of fact and conclusions of law proposed by any party, and any written briefs filed.

 2)(a) Subject to
 - 5. Material Incorporated by Reference
 - a. As allowed under § 24-4-103(12.5), C.R.S., the provisions of this subsection, the state engineerState Engineer may incorporate by reference in his rules, without publishing the incorporated material in fullrules as finally published-rules, standards, all or any part of a code, standard, guidelines of any or rule that has been adopted by an agency of the United States, the state of Colorado, or another state or adopted or published by a nationally recognized agency, organization or association or organization.
 b)

The state engineer State Engineer shall maintain certified copies of the complete texta copy of the material incorporated, which copies shall be code, standard, guideline, or rule readily available for public inspection at the Division of Water Resources during regular business

hours. Certified copies, as well as a posting of the same on their website. The State Engineer shall provide certified copies of the material incorporated at cost upon request or shall provide the requestor with information on how to obtain a certified copy of the material incorporated shall be provided upon request and upon payment of statutory copying fees.

- <u>b.</u> <u>c) Theby</u> reference through the source agency, association or organization. § 24-4-103(12.5)(b), C.R.S. Electronic versions may be substituted upon request.
- c. References to any incorporated material shall identify the incorporated material by appropriate agency, organization, or association and by the date, title and/or citation.citations. The reference shall also state that the rule does not include later amendments to or editions of the incorporated material.

d)

d. The state engineer State Engineer shall include in any rule which incorporates incorporating material by reference the fact that the state engineer, at the address of the Division of Water Resources, State Engineer will provide information regarding how the incorporated material may be obtained or examined.

3)

6. Statement of Basis and Purpose

After consideration of the relevant matterinformation presented, the state engineerState Engineer shall include as part of the rules or incorporate by reference in the rules adopted, a written and concise general statement of their basis and purpose. The written statement of the basis and purpose of a rule whichthat involves scientific or technological issues shall include a detailed, analytical evaluation of the scientific or technological rationale justifying the rule.

- 4) When the rule or regulation promulgated by the state engineer will have a fiscal impact on the state or any of its political subdivisions, the state engineer 7. All rules adopted by the State Engineer shall include a fiscal statement thereof with the rule or amendment submitted to the Legislative Drafting Office. No rule that has a fiscal impact shall be deemed to be submitted unless it is accompanied by such a fiscal statement. The statement shall include an identification of the types of persons or groups who will bear the costs of the rule and the types of persons or groups who will benefit, directly or indirectly, from the rule.
 - 5) No rule shall be issued by the state engineer unless it is first <u>be</u> submitted to the attorney general for his opinion as to <u>itstheir</u> constitutionality and legality. Any rule issued without being so submitted shall be void. § 24-4-103(8)(b), C.R.S.
 - 6) 8. All rules adopted by the State Engineer, including temporary or emergency rules, shall be submitted to the Office of Legislative Legal Services in the form and manner prescribed by the Committee on Legal Services. Any rule issued that is not submitted to the Office of Legislative Legal Services for review within twenty (20) days after the date of the attorney general's opinion rendered thereon shall be void. The State Engineer shall revise promulgated rules to conform to any action taken by the general assembly. § 24-4-103(8)(d), C.R.S.

Code of Colorado Regulations

- 9.. Each rule adopted by the state engineer State Engineer, together with the attorney general's opinion rendered in connection therewith, shall be filed within 40twenty (20) days after adoption with the secretary of state for publication in the Colorado Register. Rules revised to conform with action taken by the general assembly shall be filed with the secretary of state for publication in the register and in the Codecode of Colorado Regulations. § 24-4-103(11)(d)(II), C.R.S.
- 7) A rule shall become effective 20 days after publication in the Colorado Register of the rule_10. Any rule as finally adopted, by the State Engineer shall become effective twenty (20) days after final publication as required by law or on such later date as is stated in the rule. Once a rule becomes effective, the rulemaking process shall be deemed to have become final agency action for purposes of judicial review-purposes. § 24-4-103(5), C.R.S.
- 8) All rules adopted by the state engineer, including temporary or emergency rules, shall be submitted to the Legislative Drafting Office in the form and manner prescribed by the Committee on Legal Services. Any rule issued without being so submitted to the Legislative Drafting Office within 20 days after the date of the attorney general's opinion rendered thereon shall be void. The state engineer shall revise his rules to conform with the action taken by the general assembly.
 - 9) The state engineer11. Any Person adversely affected or aggrieved by the final agency action may commence an action for judicial review under section 24-4-106(4), C.R.S. within thirty-five (35) days after the final agency action becomes effective.
- 12. The State Engineer shall maintain a copy of his currently effective rules and the current status of an official rule-making record for each published proposal for rules and minutes of all his actions upon-proposed rules, as well as any attorney general's opinion rendered on any adopted or proposed rule, rule for which shall be available for inspection by any person during regular office hours.
 - 10) The state engineer shall make available to the public and shall deliver to anyone requesting it a copy of any rule of the agency then in effect or of any a notice of proposed rulemaking proceeding in which action rule-making has notbeen published in the Colorado Register. Such rule-making record shall be maintained by the State Engineer until all administrative and judicial review procedures have been completed. Upon request, such copy shall be certified. The state engineer shall charge the statutory fees for supplying any such copy. Except for temporary or emergency rules, such copy shall be in the same format as the The rule appears in the Code of Colorado Regulations or the Colorado Register-making record shall be available for public inspection and shall contain those items identified in § 24-4-103(8.1)(b), C.R.S.
- 11) The state engineer shall maintain a docket listing the name, address, and telephone number of every person or party who has participated in a rulemaking proceeding by written statement, or by oral comment at a hearing.
 - L. H. Temporary or Emergency Rules.

A temporary Temporary or emergency rule may be adopted without compliance with the procedures prescribed in this section and with less than twenty (20-days')

days notice (or where circumstances imperatively require, without notice) where the state engineer finds thatthe immediate adoption of the rule is imperatively necessary to comply with a state or federal law or federal regulation for the preservation of public health, safety, or welfare, and compliance with the requirements of this section these rules would be contrary to the public interest, and the state engineer makes such a finding on the record. Such findings and a statement of the reasons for the action shall be published with the rule. A temporary or emergency rule shall become effective on adoption or on such later date as is stated in the rule, shall be published promptly, and shall have effect for not more than 3 months from the one hundred twenty (120) days after its adoption thereof, unless made permanent by compliance with this section and with the APA. § 24-4-103(6)(a), C.R.S.

1.1.4. Adjudicatory Procedures

A. Rule 8 Reconsideration of a Rulemaking Action

Reconsideration of rulemaking action of the state engineer may be sought by petition to the state engineer in accordance with the provisions of Rule 7(B)(2) pertaining to petitions for rulemaking. Any such petition shall be evaluated according to the established rules and policies of the state engineer where applicable, and may be granted for Good Cause. Such a petition is not a prerequisite to the right of judicial review of the rule on which it is based and does not affect the time period for seeking judicial review of the rule. The decision to grant or deny such a petition is not itself final action subject to judicial review.

Rule 9 Hearing Officers

- A. Pursuant to § 37-80-102(2), and § 37-80-109(2), C.R.S., the State Engineer may designate a person or persons to convene and conduct hearings under these rules on his behalf and make Initial Decisions on the matter heard. When appropriate, the Hearing Officer may be an employee of the Division of Water Resources, except that no person engaged in conducting a hearing or participating in a decision or an Initial Decision shall be responsible to or subject to the supervision or direction of any officer, employee or agent engaged in the performance of investigatory or prosecuting functions for the Division of Water Resources. See also § 24-4-105(3) and (6), C.R.S.
- B. Upon a timely, good faith filing by a Party of a sufficient affidavit of personal bias of the Hearing Officer, the Hearing Officer shall forthwith rule upon the allegations in such affidavit as part of the record in the case. A person designated to conduct a hearing may at any time withdraw if he deems himself disqualified or for any other good reason in which case another person or Hearing Officer may be assigned by the state engineer to continue the hearing, and he shall do so in such a manner that no substantial prejudice to any Party results. § 24-4-105(3), C.R.S.
- C. If the Parties are dissatisfied with the Person or Persons appointed by the State

 Engineer to conduct the hearing or act as the Hearing Officer, they may request the

 State Engineer to appoint another Person or Persons. Requests shall be

accompanied by a detailed statement of the reasons justifying the request and shall include the identity of other Parties that support the request. The Parties may request that the matter be referred to the Division of Hearing Officers; however, the Parties must be willing to pay for the full cost of such referral.

Rule 10 Adjudicatory Procedures

A. Scope and Applicability

1)

- 1. In order to assure that all parties Parties to any formal adjudicatory proceeding Adjudicatory Proceeding of the state engineer State Engineer are accorded due process of law, the provisions of this section shall be applicable apply. § 24-4-105(1), C.R.S. Also, and in general, the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply to all adjudicatory hearings. In complex litigation matters the State Engineer or Hearing Officer may apply Rule 11 of the Uniform Local Rules for All State Water Divisions requiring meeting of experts within the scope of disclosure, including applicable deadlines as determined by the Hearing Officer.
- 2. Whenever the state engineer conducts a formal, public adjudicatory hearing, the provisions of this rule shall apply.
- 3. The state engineer shall provide the opportunity for a formal public adjudicatory hearing in the following cases:

i) to

- a. To consider the revocation, suspension, annulment, limitation, or modification of a previously issued License or permit under § 24-4-104, C.R.S.;
- b. To review state engineer action pursuant to section under § 37-92-60287-124(3)(e) C.R.S. (1973), on an application for a permit to construct a well pursuant to section 37-92-602,), C.R.S. (1973 & 1983 Supp.);, ordering the removal of any facilities constructed, enlarged or remodeled in violation of § 37-87-124, C.R.S.;
- ii) pursuant to section
 - <u>c.</u> To review state engineer action concerning small capacity wells under § 37-90-105(6)(a), C.R.S.;
 - d. To determine under § 37-90-137(2)(b)(II), C.R.S. (1973), to determine., whether the circumstances of a particular case warrant the issuance of a permit to construct a well at a distance of six hundred (600) feet or less from an existing well; unless no hearing is required for the one of the reasons listed in §§ 37-90-137(2)(b)(II)(A)-(E); or

IV) to

iii) pursuant to section 37-90-137(3)(c), C.R.S. (1983 Supp.) to determine after hearing whether a well permit should remain in force and effect;

- e. In any case for which an administrative hearing is required by existing or subsequent statutory law or by existing or subsequent rules lawfully promulgated by the state engineer.
- 4. In his sole discretion, the state engineer may provide the opportunity for a formal public adjudicatory hearing in the following cases:
 - a. To review state engineer action pursuant to section 37-90.5-106, under §§ 35-49-106, 35-49-107, and 35-49-108, C.R.S., in approving or disapproving plans and specifications for construction or the completed construction of a livestock watering tank;
 - a.b. For a Division Engineer to address issues regarding loans of agricultural rights to the Colorado Water Conservation Board under § 37-83-105(2)(b)(VI), C.R.S. (1983 Supp.), on an application for a permit to construct a geothermal resource exploration, production or reinjection well;...

 v) to
- To review state engineer State Engineer action pursuant to section under § 37-87-124(3),105, C.R.S. (1984 Supp.), ordering the removal of any facilities constructed, enlarged or remodeled in violation of section 37-87-124, C.R.S. (1984 Supp.), (S.B. 28, 1984 Coloses L. at.
 - b.c. vi) to review state engineer action pursuant to section 37-87-105, C.R.S. (1983 Supp.).. approving or disapproving plans for specifications for construction of a reservoir, or the alteration, modification, repair or enlargement of a reservoir or dam which will affect the safety of the structure; vii) to
 - c.d. To review state engineerState Engineer action pursuant to sectionunder § 37-87-122, C.R.S. (1973),... upon an application for construction of an erosion control dam; and

viii) to

- e. To review state engineerState Engineer action pursuantunder § 37-87-124(3), C.R.S., ordering the removal of any facilities constructed, enlarged or remodeled in violation of § 37-87-124, C.R.S.;
- f. To review State Engineer action under § 37-90-110, C.R.S. regarding the administration and enforcement, conservation of groundwater resources, and the protection of vested rights when acting in the State Engineer's own capacity and not as the executive director of the Ground Water Commission;
- g. To review the State Engineer's action under § 37-90-137(2)(b)(l), C.R.S., regarding well permit applications;

- h. To review the State Engineer's action under § 37-90-137(2)(b)(II),
 C.R.S. regarding wells not more than 600 feet from an existing well when no hearing was required for the one of the reasons listed in § 37-90-137(2)(b)(II)(A)-(E);
- i. To determine whether a well permit should remain in force and effect under § 37-90-137(3)(c), C.R.S.;
- j. To make determinations under § 37-90-137(4), C.R.S., regarding well permits to withdraw nontributary ground water or any groundwater in the Dawson, Denver, Arapahoe, and Laramie-Fox Hills aguifers;
- k. To review State Engineer action concerning determinations under § 37-90-137(4), C.R.S., regarding well permits to sections 35-49withdraw nontributary ground water or any groundwater in the Dawson, Denver, Arapahoe, and Laramie-Fox Hills aquifers;
- I. To make determinations under § 37-90-137(7), C.R.S concerning dewatering of geologic formations by withdrawing nontributary groundwater to facilitate or permit mining of minerals;
- m. To review State Engineer action under § 37-90-137(7), C.R.S concerning dewatering of geologic formations by withdrawing nontributary groundwater to facilitate or permit mining of minerals:
- n. To review State Engineer action under §§ 37-90.5-106 and & 37-90.5-107, C.R.S. (1973), in approving or disapproving., on an application for a permit to construct a geothermal resource exploration, production or reinjection well;
- d.o. To address issues regarding requests for approvals of substitute water supply plans and specifications for construction of a live-stock water tank.under § 37-92-308, C.R.S.;
- p. To address issues regarding requests for approvals of interruptible water supply agreements under § 37-92-309, C.R.S.
- q. To review relevant State Engineer action as required under rules as lawfully promulgated under § 37-92-501, C.R.S.;
- r. To review the validity of any orders issued by the State Engineer or a

 Division Engineer, but in no instance shall a request for such a hearing
 or the setting or holding of such a hearing be the basis for delaying
 compliance with or enforcement of such orders; or

s. As necessary or desirable to make any determination required under the state engineer's statutory duties and responsibilities as they exist now or may exist in the future.

A.B. Requests for Adjudicatory Hearings

1)

 All requests for adjudicatory hearings must be timely filed pursuant to these regulations rules and applicable statutory requirements.

2)

- 2. All adjudicatory hearings before the State Engineer shall be held in the main office of the Colorado Division of Water Resources located in Denver, Colorado, unless the State Engineer, for Good Cause shown, allows for the hearing to be held at a different location. Should a hearing be held at a location other than Denver, Colorado, the Parties may be required to find a suitable location and pay any associated costs.
- 3. Hearing requests shall be filed with the State Engineer within applicable deadlines by electronic mail or pursuant to the paper format filing exception pursuant to Rule 4(G).
- 2.4. All requests for adjudicatory hearings shall contain the following information:
 - a) identification
 - a. <u>Identification</u> of the <u>personPerson(s)</u> requesting the hearing and the subject matter of the request;
 b) the
 - b. The legal, statutory, and regulatory authority that forms the basis and authority for the request;
 c) the
 - c. The basis upon which the applicant believes the state engineerState Engineer or his staff has committed error with respect to the subject matter of the request; and, d) an
 - d. An estimate of the time that will be required for the hearing.

C.

B.C. Notice of Adjudicatory Hearings

4)

 All formal adjudicatory hearings of the state engineerState Engineer shall be preceded by written notice thereof in accordance with the requirements of this section.

2)

2. Any person requesting partyParty status in a hearing, including the petitioner(s), shall be given timely notice of the time, place, nature of the hearing, the legal authority and jurisdiction under which it is to be held, and the matters of fact and law asserted.

- 3) _____Unless otherwise provided by law, such notice shall be served <u>either personally</u> or by mailing by first-class mail to the last address furnished <u>to</u> the <u>state engineerState Engineer</u> by the person to be notified, at least <u>20thirty (30)</u> days prior to the hearing.
 § 24-4) __-105(2)(a), C.R.S.
 - In fixing the time and place for a hearing, due regard shall be had for the convenience and necessity of the parties and their representatives. § 24-4-105(2)(a), C.R.S.
 - 5. Upon receipt of a request for hearing, the State Engineer shall acknowledge such receipt and provide the Parties with a notice of a setting conference within a reasonable time frame. The setting conference will allow for the determination of hearing dates and related prehearing filing requirements as necessary.
 - 5.6. The notice may contain requirements with respect to any special procedures, including requirements for written testimony, which the state engineerState Engineer deems appropriate as to any particular adjudicatory proceeding. Adjudicatory Proceeding.
 - An amended notice may be issued by the state engineerState Engineer at any time prior to the hearing without necessitating a continuance of the hearing date, provided the original notice is not substantially altered to the prejudice of any party.Party. If an amendment is substantial and prejudicial, the hearing date shall be continued to an appropriate date as determined by the state engineerState Engineer.
 - The <u>state engineerState Engineer</u> may continue a hearing to another date by issuing written notice to that effect at any time prior to the close of the record, or by announcement at the date, time, and place of the original hearing.

D. Party Status

- 1) The notice issued pursuant to section 1.1.4.(C)(2) of these regulations shall prescribe a date by which application for party status shall be filed, which will not be less than five (5) days prior to the hearing. Thereafter application to be made a party shall not be granted except upon motion and for good cause shown. In acting upon such a motion the state engineer may impose such conditions upon the movant as are necessary to prevent prejudice to other parties. Any person who requests an adjudicatory hearing shall be granted party status and need not apply therefor.

 2) A person
 - 9. If any written application or other request made in connection with any adjudicatory hearing is not accepted for filing, or is denied in whole or in part, prompt notice shall be given of the decision, with a statement of the grounds for such refusal or denial. § 24-4-105(10), C.R.S.

D. Party Status

3.1. Any Person who may be affected or aggrieved by the agencya Division of Water Resource action shall be admitted as a partyParty to the proceeding upon

filing a timely written request therefor, in accordance with the requirements of this subsection pursuant to § 24-4-105(2)(c), C.R.S. Upon motion by any other Person or Agency, the State Engineer or Hearing Officer may admit such Person or Agency as a full or limited Party.

3) Application

- 2. Any Person who requests an adjudicatory hearing pursuant to Rule 10(B) shall be granted Party Status and need not apply.
- 4.3. A request or motion to be made a partyParty shall set forth a brief and plain statement of the reasons for seeking party statusParty Status, the facts whichthat entitle or may allow such personPerson to be admitted, and the matters whichthat such personPerson claims should be decided. In addition-it, the request or motion should contain a description of the general nature of the evidence to be presented in the course of the proceedings.
- 5.4. Granting or denial of party status denying a motion for Party Status shall be done by the state engineer. State Engineer or Hearing Officer. Party status Status may be granted at the prehearing conference or other appropriate time prior to the hearing.
 - 5) Specific members of the staff of the Office of
- 6.5. Staff for the State Engineer designated by the state engineer shall be automatically be a party in adjudicatory proceedings Party and need not apply for Party Status.

 6)
- 7.6. Nothing in this subsection shall prevent the state engineer State Engineer or Hearing Officer from admitting any personPerson as a partyParty to any proceedings for limited purposes. § 24-4-105(2)(c), C.R.S.
- C.E. Prehearing Conference Procedures
- 1) The state engineer may specify in the notice of hearing that a prehearing conference will be held. If not, the hearing officer or his counterpart may order, or any person who has applied to become a party may request, in writing, a prehearing conference to be held not less than 5 days in advance of the hearing unless otherwise determined by the state engineer. Notice of any such request shall be served upon all other persons who have applied to become parties. The state engineer or hearing officer may preside at any prehearing conference.
- 2) At any prehearing conference each party or applicant for party status shall present to the agency and every other party or applicant for party status a prehearing statement, which shall contain the following:
 - a) a specific statement of the factual and legal claims it asserts;
 - b) copies of all exhibits it will introduce at the hearing including a sufficient number of copies for all parties or persons requesting party status, and for the state engineer;
 - c) a list of witnesses it will call and in the case of lay witnesses a brief summary of the facts to which they will testify, and in the case of an expert witness, the subject matter on which

he is expected to testify, and a summary of the facts and opinions to which he is expected to testify:

- d) proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law if required by the notice of hearing; and
- e) all written testimony it will offer into evidence at the hearing.
- 3) The object of the prehearing conference may include the formulation of stipulations respecting the issues to be raised, and the identification of witnesses and exhibits to be presented by the parties. The parties should make known at the prehearing conference all known objections to the procedures or evidence that may be raised at the hearing. Stipulations may be made at the prehearing conference to reflect any matters which have been agreed to or admitted by the parties. A prehearing order shall be prepared by the state engineer, or at his direction by any party, based upon the prehearing conference, which shall reflect any ruling made by the state engineer with respect to procedures to be followed at the hearing or any other matter.

F. Motions

The state engineer may require that as part of the prehearing conference or otherwise, parties shall submit in advance of the hearing all motions or requests for rulings that a party intends to make with respect to the proceedings. These shall include motions regarding procedures, the scope and nature of the proceedings, or any other matter that requires a determination by the state engineer prior to final agency action based on the record, or any matter that may reasonably be disposed of by the state engineer prior to the receipt of testimony or other evidence.

G. Discovery

- 1) The staff of the Office of the State Engineer or any other party to an adjudicatory hearing or their legal counsel may take depositions and fix the time and place therefor. Such persons may also engage in the other forms of discovery provided by the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent that time is available for such discovery prior to the hearing.
- 2) The state engineer may dispose of motions relating to discovery.
- 3) Discovery shall terminate no later than five (5) days prior to the hearing date, except as otherwise ordered by the state engineer in any notice of hearing issued in accordance with section 1.1.4(C).

H. Subpoenas

1. In general, the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply to all adjudicatory hearings before the State Engineer. Prehearing procedures may be modified as required or approved by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.

2. Disclosure and discovery

- a. Any deadlines for the Parties' initial disclosures and expert disclosures shall be established by an order of the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.
- b. Parties shall first attempt to utilize discovery through informal methods.
 When informal attempts fail, further discovery shall be conducted

- <u>pursuant to the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.</u> <u>Discovery shall be completed as ordered by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.</u>
- c. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may, on his own accord or upon the motion of any Party for Good Cause shown, take depositions or have depositions taken, and fix the time and place for them to be held.
- d. Discovery may be requested by any Party as well as by the staff of the State Engineer. Discovery shall be granted where due process, fairness, and the establishment of an adequate record may be served thereby, and when the timely completion of the proceedings will not be unduly delayed. Discovery timelines shall be set by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.

3. Subpoenas

- a. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall issue subpoenas in accordance with § 24-4-105(5), C.R.S., on forms provided to the State Engineer or Hearing Officer by the Party requesting the subpoena.
- Subpoenas shall be issued without discrimination between public and private parties Parties by the state engineer. State Engineer or Hearing Officer. A subpoena shall be served by the party requesting its issuance in the same manner as a subpoena issued by a district court. Upon failure of any witness to comply with such subpoena, the state engineerState Engineer may petition any district court, setting forth the service of the subpoena and stating that due notice has been given to the witness of the time and place of attendance. The of the witness and the service of the subpoena; in which event, the district court, after hearing evidence in support of or contrary to the petition, may enter an order as in other civil actions compelling the witness to attend and testify or produce books, records, or other evidence, under penalty of punishment for contempt in case of contumacious failure to comply with the order of the court- and may award attorney fees under the Colorado rules of civil procedure. A witness shall be entitled to the fees and mileage provided for a witness in a court of record and such fees and mileage shall be paid by the party requesting issuance of the subpoena.. § 24-4-105(5), C.R.S.

I. Hearing Officers

1) The state engineer may designate a person or persons pursuant to section 37-80-102(2), C.R.S. (1973), to convene and conduct hearings under these rules on his behalf. Pursuant to section 37-80-109(2), C.R.S. (1973), the state engineer may designate a hearing efficer to convene and conduct hearings under these rules and to make an initial decision on the matter heard. When appropriate, the hearing efficer may be an employee of the Division of Water Resources, except that no person engaged in conducting a hearing or participating in a decision or an initial decision shall be responsible to or subject to the supervision or direction of any efficer, employee or agent engaged in the performance of investigatory or prosecuting functions for the agency.

- 2) Upon the filing in good faith by a party of a timely and sufficient affidavit of personal bias of a decisionmaker, the state engineer or hearing officer shall forthwith rule upon the allegations in such affidavit as part of the record in the case. A person designated to conduct a hearing may at any time withdraw if he deems himself disqualified or for any other good reason in which case another person or hearing officer may be assigned by the state engineer to continue the hearing, and he shall do so in such manner that no substantial prejudice to any party results therefrom.
- 3) If the parties are dissatisfied with the person or persons appointed by the state engineer to conduct the hearing or act as hearing officer, they may request the state engineer to appoint another person or person or request that the matter be referred to the Division of Hearing Officers of the Department of Administration for appointment of a hearing officer. Any such request shall be accompanied by a detailed statement of the reasons justifying granting the request including the identity of other parties which support the request and, when referral to the Division of Hearing Officers is requested, the estimated length of the hearing and whether the parties are willing to pay the costs of the hearing.
- 4) If all parties, except members of the staff of the Office of the State Engineer, consent to the referral of a matter to the Division of Hearing Officers and the unallocated funds appropriated to the state engineer for the payment for such hearings are sufficient to pay the costs of the hearing, then the state engineer shall refer the matter to the Division of Hearing Officers. When the state engineer lacks the funds to pay the cost of a hearing, he need not refer the matter to the Division of Hearing Officers unless all parties, except the staff of the Office of the State Engineer, consent in writing to pay all the costs of the hearing. The costs to be paid shall include the expense of the Division of Hearing Officers, travel and per diem for the hearing officer and the cost of recording the hearing.
- 5) When a matter is referred to the Division of Hearing Officers then the costs of the hearing shall first be paid from the unallocated funds appropriated to the state engineer for that purpose. Any remaining costs shall be shared equally by the remaining parties. Said parties may agree, in writing, to another method of allocating such costs between themselves. In no event shall the state engineer be obligated to pay more than the amount of unallocated and unexpended funds appropriated to him for this purpose.
- 6) Any person who seeks to be admitted as a party in a proceeding after its referral to the Division of Hearing Officers where the parties are responsible for paying all or part of the costs of the hearing, may agree, if admitted, to the payment of its equal share of the costs of said hearing. If that party refuses to pay its equal share of the costs then, unless otherwise agreed by all parties other than members of the staff of the Office of the State Engineer, the matter shall be referred back to the State Engineer's Office for hearing.
- 7) All costs of the Division of Hearing Officers to be paid by the parties other than the staff of the Office of the State Engineer, shall be paid to the Division of Hearing Officers in the manner prescribed by it.

J. Conduct of Hearings

1) The state engineer shall have authority to administer oaths and affirmations; sign and issue subpoenas; rule upon offers of proof and receive evidence; dispose of motions relating to the discovery and production of relevant documents and things for inspection, copying, or photographing; regulate the course of the hearing, set the time and place for continued hearings; and fix the time for the filing of briefs and other documents; direct the parties to appear and confer to consider simplification of the issues, admissions of fact or of documents to avoid unnecessary proof, and limitation of the number of expert witnesses; issue appropriate orders which shall control the subsequent course of the proceedings; dispose of motions to dismiss for lack of agency jurisdiction over the subject matter or parties or for any ground; dispose of motions to

amend or to dismiss without prejudice applications and other pleadings; dispose of requests for party status, procedural requests, or similar matters; reprimand or exclude from the hearing any person for any improper or indecorous conduct in his presence; and take any other action authorized by agency rule consistent with the APA or in accordance, to the extent practicable, with the procedure in the district courts. In the event more than one person engages in the conduct of a hearing, such persons shall designate one of their members to perform such of the above functions as can best be performed by one person only, and thereafter such person only shall perform those functions which are assigned to him by the several persons conducting such hearing.

- 2) All parties to the proceeding shall have the right to cross-examine witnesses who testify at the proceeding to the extent necessary for a full and true disclosure of the facts, and to make objections at the proceedings.
- 3) Except as otherwise provided by statute, in adjudicatory hearings before the state engineer the proponent of the order shall have the burden of proof.
 - 4)(a) In hearings before the state engineer with respect to any alleged violation of a license, if the proceedings are commenced on the motion of the state engineer, then the proponent of the order shall be the staff of the Division of Water Resources. If the proceedings are commenced by the filing with the state engineer of a written complaint signed and sworn by the complainant, the proponent of the order shall be the complainant;
 - (b) In hearings on the granting or denial of applications for permits to construct a well pursuant to section 37-92-602, C.R.S. (1973 & 1983 Supp.), proponent of the order shall be the applicant for the permit.
 - (c) In hearings pursuant to section 37-90-137(2), C.R.S. (1973), to determine whether the circumstances of a particular case warrant the issuance of a permit to construct a well at a distance of six hundred (600) feet or less from an existing well, the proponent of the order shall be the person making application for the permit to construct a well.
 - (d) In hearings pursuant to section 37-90-137(3)(c), C.R.S. (1983 Supp.) to determine whether a well permit shall remain in force and effect, the proponent of the order shall be the applicant for the well permit or his successor in interest
 - (e) In hearings to review state engineer approval or disapproval of plans and specifications for reservoirs or erosion control dams pursuant to section 37-87-105 or 122, C.R.S. (1973 & 1983 Supp.), the proponent of the order shall be the person seeking state engineer approval of plans and specifications;
 - (f) In hearings to review state engineer action on applications to construct a geothermal resource exploration, production or reinjection well pursuant to section 37-90.5-106, C.R.S. (1983 Supp.), the proponent of the order shall be the applicant for the permit; and
 - (g) In hearings to review state engineer action pursuant to sections 35-49-106 and 107, C.R.S. (1973), on plans and specifications for the construction of livestock water tanks, the proponent of the order shall be the person seeking approval of the plans and specifications.
- 5) Every party to the proceeding shall have the right to present its case or defense by oral and documentary evidence and to submit rebuttal evidence and to conduct such cross examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Subject to these rights, where a hearing will be expedited and the interests of the parties will not be substantially prejudiced thereby, a person conducting a hearing may receive all or part of the evidence in written form.

6) 4. Motions

The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may require in advance submittal of all motions or requests for rulings that any Party intends to request at any hearing. These shall include but are not limited to all motions regarding procedures, the scope and nature of the proceedings, motions for summary judgment or determinations of questions of law, motions in limine, or any other matter that requires a determination prior to final action based on the record.

5. Prehearing Conference

- a. A prehearing conference may be held if deemed useful by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer. Parties may also request a prehearing conference at least twenty (20) days before a scheduled hearing in writing. The scope of issues to be raised at the prehearing conference shall be determined by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer. Prehearing conferences shall be held in the office of the Division of Water Resources in Denver, Colorado, unless the State Engineer or Hearing Officer determines the conference should be held at some other location. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may hold the prehearing conference by telephone (or other conferencing means) at their discretion for cost-saving purposes or for the convenience of the Parties.
- b. The prehearing conference shall be for the purpose of facilitating the Adjudication of issues to be determined at the hearing. The purpose of the prehearing conference may include the formulation of Stipulations or orders respecting relevant issues to be raised as well as witnesses and exhibits expected to be presented by the Parties.
- c. The Parties shall make known at the prehearing conference any objections to the procedures or evidence that may be raised at the hearing. Stipulations are encouraged and may be made at the prehearing conference to reflect any matters that have been agreed to or admitted by the Parties. A prehearing order may be prepared by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer and shall reflect any rulings made with respect to procedures or any other matters to be followed at the hearing. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may also direct a Party to prepare a draft of any order necessary.

6. Prehearing Statement

a. Prior to any prehearing conference or hearing all Parties shall file a prehearing statement by the date ordered by the State Engineer or

Hearing Officer. Failure to file a prehearing statement or other such documents by any Party as ordered may result in dismissal or that Party's claim if the State Engineer or Hearing Officer determines such failure results in undue prejudice to the other Parties in the case.

- b. At a minimum, the prehearing statement shall include the following:
 - i. Specific statements of all factual and legal claims asserted by the Party.
 - <u>ii.</u> A list of facts the party believes to be undisputed between the <u>Parties.</u>
 - iii. A list of all exhibits the Party plans to introduce at the hearing.
 - iv. A list of witnesses the Party plans to call and a brief summary of their testimony.

F. Conduct of Hearings

- All adjudicatory hearings shall be held with reasonable dispatch after the filing of a request for such a hearing unless all Parties to the hearing agree otherwise or, unless otherwise ordered by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer due to extenuating circumstances.
- 2. In conducting adjudicatory hearings the State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall, in addition to the authority specified elsewhere, have authority to: administer oaths and affirmations; sign and issue subpoenas; regulate the course of the hearing; set the time and place for continued hearings; fix the time for the filing of appropriate documentation; take depositions or have depositions taken; issue appropriate orders that shall control the subsequent course of the proceedings; and take any other action authorized by statute or Division of Water Resources rule. § 24-4-105(4), C.R.S.

3. Burden of Proof

a. Except as otherwise provided by statute, in Adjudicatory Proceedings before the State Engineer, the proponent of the order shall have the burden of proof. § 24-4-105(7), C.R.S. "Order" means the whole or any part of the final disposition (whether affirmative, negative, injunctive, or declaratory in form) by the Division of Water Resources in any matter other than rule-making. § 24-4-102(10), C.R.S. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall determine the proponent or proponents of orders as appropriate on a case-by-case basis based on the relief requested by the Parties. In making this determination and in holding the proponents of

orders to their burdens of proof, the State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall consider the following general principles:

- i. When a Party is requesting that the State Engineer or

 Hearing Officer review to either reverse or modify an initial Division
 of Water Resource action, the Party seeking reversal or
 modification of the initial Division of Water Resource action is the
 proponent of the order as to the requested relief.
- ii. When a Party is requesting any determination by the State

 Engineer or Hearing Officer not previously made by the Division of

 Water Resources, the Party seeking the determination is the

 proponent of the order as to the requested determination.
- iii. If a proponent of an order presents initial evidence sufficient on its face to satisfy the burden of proof based on the preponderance of the evidence, the burden of proof may be shifted to the opposing Party or Parties to present sufficient evidence to the contrary, but the ultimate burden of proof rests with the proponent of the order to prove its claims based on the preponderance of all of the evidence.
- iv. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer should give effect to any rebuttable presumptions established by statute or other law.
- 4. All hearings shall be conducted in the following order unless otherwise directed by the State Engineer or hearing officer or as provided for under Rule 5:
 - a. Call to order and introductory remarks;
 - b. Presentation of any Stipulations or agreements between the Parties;
 - c. Opening statements by the Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - d. Opening statements by all other Parties;
 - e. Presentation of case-in-chief by the Party upon whom burden of proof rests:
 - f. Presentations by all other Parties wishing to offer evidence, with the order of presentation to be determined by the State Engineer or presiding Hearing Officer;
 - g. Rebuttal by the Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;

- h. Closing statement by Party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
- i. Closing statements by all other Parties.
- j. At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, or at the conclusion of the Party's entire presentation, all other Parties may then cross-examine each witness. The order of the cross examination shall be determined by the person conducting the hearing. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may examine any witness at any time.
- k. All briefs and memoranda of law that Parties file shall be served on the State Engineer or Hearing Officer and all Parties no later than five (5) days prior to the hearing unless otherwise specified.
- 5. No Ex parte Communications with or by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer may occur during the pendency of an Adjudicatory Proceeding. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may, through formal communication with all Parties, request that certain information be provided to him or that certain tasks be performed.

6. Evidence

- The rules of evidence and requirements of proof before the State Engineer shall conform, to the extent practicable, with those in civil nonjury non-jury cases in the district courts. However, when necessary to do so in order to ascertain facts affecting the substantial rights of the parties Parties to the proceedings, the person so conducting the hearingState Engineer or Hearing Officer may receive and consider evidence not admissible under such rules if such evidence possesses probative value commonly accepted by reasonable and prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs. Objections to evidentiary offers may be made and shall be noted in the record. The state engineer The State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall give effect to the rules of privilege recognized by law. He may exclude incompetent Incompetent and unduly repetitious evidence, may be excluded. Documentary evidence may be received in the form of a copy or excerpt if the original is not readily available, but upon request, the partyParty shall be given an opportunity to compare the copy with the original. § 24-4-105(7), C.R.S.
- 7) The state engineer may utilize his
 - b. Parties may make objections and all witnesses shall be subject to crossexamination. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may question any witness that testifies at the hearing and all witnesses shall also be subject to cross-examination by the State Engineer or Hearing Officer.

- The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may allow Parties to submit evidence not previously submitted or disclosed under prehearing procedures for Good Cause shown.
- 8. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may permit Parties to submit motions not previously submitted under prehearing procedures for Good Cause shown.
- 9. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer, after the receipt of the evidence, may allow or require Parties to present oral or written summations of the facts and the law, either at the hearing or subsequent thereto.
- 10. Every Party shall have the right to present their case or defense by oral and documentary evidence. They shall also have the right to submit rebuttal evidence and conduct cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Subject to these rights, where a hearing will be expedited and the interests of the Parties will not be substantially prejudiced, the State Engineer or Hearing Officer may receive all or part of the evidence in written form. § 24-4-105(7), C.R.S.
- 2.11. The State Engineer or Hearing Officer may utilize their experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge in the evaluation of the evidence presented to it. § 24-4-105(7), C.R.S.
- 8)
 3.12. The state engineerState Engineer or Hearing Officer may take notice of general, technical, or scientific facts within histheir knowledge, but only if the relevant fact so noticed is specified in the record or is brought to the attention of the partiesParties before a final decision (or Initial Decision of the hearing officer) and every party is all Parties are afforded an opportunity to controvert the fact so noticed. § 24-4-105(8), C.R.S.
 - 4.13. Any partyParty, or the agent, servant, or employee of any partyParty, permitted or compelled to testify or to-submit data or evidence, shall be entitled to the benefit of legal counsel of his own choosing and at his own expense, but a personPerson may appear for himself. An attorney who is a witness may not act as counsel for the partyParty calling him as a witness. Any person permitted or compelled to testify or to submit data or evidence shall be entitled to the benefit of legal counsel of his or her own choosing and, upon payment of a reasonable charge therefor, to procure § 24-4-105(9)(a copy of the transcript of his or her testimony if it is recorded. Any party, upon payment of the statutory copying fees therefor, shall be entitled to procure a copy of the transcript of the record or any part thereof. If a transcription of the record has not previously been prepared, then upon payment of reasonable preparation charges it shall be furnished to the party requesting it), C.R.S.
- 10) Prompt notice shall be given of the refusal to accept for filing or the denial in whole or in part of any written applications or other request made in connection with any agency proceeding or action, with a statement of the grounds therefor.

11)

- 5.14. The state engineer State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall cause the proceedings to be recorded by a reporter or by an electronic recording device. When required, the state engineer requested, the State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall cause the proceedings, or any portion thereof, to be transcribed, the cost thereof to be paid by the Division of Water Resources when it ordersParty requesting the transcription. If the divisionState Engineer or Hearing Officer acquires a copy of the transcription, itssaid copy of the transcription shall be made available to any partyParty at a reasonable timestime for inspection and study. § 24-4-105(13), C.R.S.
- 12) The person conducting the hearing may allow the parties to submit evidence not previously submitted under prehearing conference procedures, but only for good cause shown such as where necessary for purposes of rebuttal.
- 13) All hearings shall be conducted in the following order unless otherwise directed by the state engineer or unless as otherwise provided in rule 1.1.4(J)(4):
 - a) call to order, introductory remarks, and action on applications for party status;
 - b) presentation of any stipulations or agreements of the parties, prehearing statements, proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, the prehearing order, and any other matter which was addressed at the prehearing conference;
 - c) opening statement by the party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - d) opening statements by all other parties;
 - e) presentation of case-in-chief by party upon whom burden of proof rests;
 - f) presentation by all other parties wishing to offer evidence, in the order to be determined by the presiding officer at the hearing;
 - g) rebuttal by the party upon whom the burden of proof exists;
 - h) closing statement by party upon whom the burden of proof rests;
 - i) closing statements of all other parties.
 - j) At the conclusion of any witness's testimony, or at the conclusion of the party's entire presentation, as may be determined by the state engineer, all other parties may then cross-examine such witness or witnesses. The order of cross examination shall be determined by the person conducting the hearing. The state engineer may examine any witness.
 - k) At the discretion of the state engineer, any person, not a party to the proceedings who wishes to present testimony may do so by indicating his or her desire in writing. A sign-up form will be available prior to and during the hearing. Voluntary testimony not specifically requested per the written form may still be allowed. The parties shall be given an opportunity to respond to nonparty evidence in a manner deemed appropriate by the state engineer to avoid prejudice.

- I) All briefs and memoranda of law which parties file shall be served on the state engineer and all parties and persons who have applied for party status five (5) days prior to the hearing, unless otherwise specified.
- 13) No ex parte communications with or by the state engineer may occur during the pendency of an adjudicatory proceeding, but the state engineer by letter, copy to all parties, may request that certain information be provided to him or that certain tasks shall be performed.
- 14) The state engineer, after receipt of the evidence, may require parties to present oral or written summations of the facts and the law, or proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, or both, either at the hearing or subsequent thereto, as deemed appropriate.

 K
- D.G. Final Agency Action
 - 1) The state engineer
 - The State Engineer or Hearing Officer shall proceed with reasonable dispatch to conclude any matter presented to him with due regard for the convenience of the parties Parties or their representatives, giving precedence to rehearing proceedings after remand by court order. § 24-4-105(10), C.R.S.
 - 2. The decision by the state engineer State Engineer or initial decision Initial Decision by a hearing officer Hearing Officer shall be based on the record. The record shall include: All pleadings, applications, evidence, exhibits and other papers presented or considered, matters officially noticed, rulings upon exceptions, any findings of fact and conclusions of law proposed by any party Party, and any written brief filedbriefs Filed. § 24-4-105(14)(a), C.R.S.
 - 3. In any case in which the state engineerState Engineer has conducted the hearing, he shall prepare, file, File and serveservice upon each party its Party his decision. In any case in which a hearing officer Hearing Officer has conducted the hearing, the hearing officer Hearing Officer shall prepare and file an initial decision Initial Decision which the state engineer he/she shall serve upon on each partyParty, except where all partiesParties, with consent of the state engineerState Engineer, have expressly waived their right to have an initial decisionInitial Decision rendered by suchthe hearing officer. Each decision and initial decision Initial Decision shall include a statement of findings and conclusions upon all the material issues of fact, law, or discretion presented by the record and the appropriate order, sanction, relief, or denial thereof. In the absence of an appeal to the state engineer by filing exceptions within 30 days after service of the initial decision of the hearing officer upon the parties, unless extended by the state engineer, or a review upon motion of the state engineer within 30 days after service of the initial decision of the hearing officer, every such initial decision of a hearing officer shall thereupon become the decision of the state engineer. In such case the evidence taken by the hearing officer need not be transcribed § 24-4-105(14)(a), C.R.S.
 - 4. An appeal of an Initial Decision by a Hearing Officer to the State Engineer may be made pursuant to § 24-4) -105(14)(a)(II), C.R.S., by filing an exception within thirty (30) days after service of the Initial Decision upon the Parties, unless

extended by the State Engineer or unless review has been initiated upon motion of the State Engineer, every such Initial Decision of the Hearing Officer shall thereupon become the decision of the State Engineer. In such case the evidence taken by the Hearing Officer need not be transcribed. § 24-4-105(14)(a)(II), (14)(a)(III), C.R.S.

- Any partyParty who seeks to reverse or modify the initial decisionInitial Decision of the hearing officer Hearing Officer shall promptly file File with the state engineerState Engineer, within twenty (20) days following such decision, a designation of the relevant parts of the record and of the parts of the transcript of the proceedings which shall be prepared and advance the cost therefor. A copy of this designation shall be served on all parties. Parties. Within ten (10) days thereafter, any other partyParty or the state engineerState Engineer may also fileFile a designation of additional parts of the transcript of the proceedings which are to be included and advance the cost therefor. The transcript or the parts thereof which may be designated by the parties or the hearing officer Hearing Officer shall be prepared by the reporter or, in the case of an electronic recording device, the agency conducting the hearing and shall thereafter be filed Filed with the state engineer. Division of Water Resources. No transcription is required if the state engineer's State Engineer's review is limited to a pure question of law. The state engineerState Engineer may permit oral argument. The grounds of the decision shall be within the scope of the issues presented on the record. The record shall include all matters constituting the record upon which the decision of the hearing officer was based, the rulings upon the proposed findings and conclusions, the initial decision Initial Decision of the hearing officer Hearing Officer, and any other exceptions and brief filed briefs Filed. § 24-4-105(15)(a), C.R.S. 5)
- The findings of evidentiary fact, as distinguished from ultimate conclusions of fact, made by the hearing officer, shall not be set aside by the state engineerState Engineer on review of the hearing officer's initial decision Hearing Officer's Initial Decision unless such findings of evidentiary fact are contrary to the weight of the evidence. The state engineerState Engineer may remand the case to the hearing officerHearing Officer for such further proceedings as he may direct, or he may affirm, set aside, or modify the order or any sanction or relief entered therein, in conformity with the facts and the law. § 24-4-105(15)(b), C.R.S.
- Each decision and initial decision Initial Decision shall be served on each party by personal service or by mailing Party by first-class mail toor in person, or via electronic means (upon agreement between the last address furnished the state engineer by such party Parties) and shall be effective as to such party on the date mailed served or such later date as is stated in the decision. § 24-4-105(16)(a), C.R.S.

7)

A partyParty seeking judicial review of final agency action may apply to the state engineerState Engineer to postpone the implementation date of the agency action. The state engineerState Engineer, upon a finding that irreparable injury would otherwise result, shall postpone the implementation date of the agency action pending judicial review. Postponement of the implementation date of an agency action does not stay the time for seeking judicial review and does not constitute agency agreement to grant a hearing, rehearing, or reconsideration as provided for in rule 1.1.6these rules. § 24-4-106(5), C.R.S.

1.1.5.

Rule 11 Requests for Declaratory Orders

- A. Any person may petition the state engineerState Engineer for a declaratory order to terminate controversies or to remove uncertainties as to the applicability to the petitioner of any statutory provision or of any rule or order of the state engineer. Such petitions shall not relate to the manner in which a statutory provision or rule is being applied. State Engineer.
- B. The state engineerState Engineer will, after consideration of the materials submitted, determine in his discretion whether to rule upon the merits of any such petition.
- C. In determining whether to rule upon a petition filed pursuant to this rule, the following matters will be considered among others:
 - 1). Whether a ruling on the petition will terminate a controversy or remove uncertainties as to the applicability to petitioner of any rule or order of the state engineer State Engineer or any statutory provision.
 - 2) Whether the petition involves any subject, question or issue which is the subject of a formal or informal matter or investigation currently pending before the state engineer.
 - 2. Whether the petition involves any subject, question or issue which is the subject of a formal or informal matter or investigation currently pending before the state engineer or a court but not involving any petitioner State Engineer.
 - 3. Whether the petition involves any subject, question or issue which is the subject of a formal or informal matter or investigation currently pending before the State Engineer or a court but not involving any petitioner.
 - 4). Whether the petition seeks a ruling on a moot or hypothetical question or will result in an advisory ruling or opinion.
 - 5). Whether the petitioner has some other adequate legal remedy, other than an action for declaratory relief pursuant to rule C.R.C.P. 57, Colo. R. Civ. P., or section§ 13-51-101, et seq., C.R.S. (1973 & 1983 Supp.), which will terminate the controversy or remove any uncertainty as to the applicability to the petitioner of the statute, rule or order in question.

- D. Any petition filedFiled pursuant to this rule shall set forth the following:
 - 1) the
 - 1. The name and address of the petitioners;
- 2) the
 - 2. The statute, rule or order to which the petition relates; and,
- 3) a
 - 3. A concise statement of all of the facts necessary to show the nature of the controversy or uncertainty and the manner in which the statute, rule or order in question applies applied or potentially applies to the petitioner.
- E. If the <u>state engineerState Engineer</u> determines that he will rule on the petition, the following procedures shall apply:

1)

The state engineer State Engineer may dispose of the petition without conducting an evidentiary or other hearing. In such a case:

- 1. a) Any If the State Engineer does so, any ruling of the state engineer will apply only to the extent of the facts presented in the petition and any amendment to the petition. The State Engineer may request the petitioner to submit additional facts in writing and they will be considered as an amendment to the petition. If the State Engineer rules upon the petition without a hearing, he shall promptly notify the petitioner of his decision.
- b)
- 2. The <u>state engineerState Engineer</u> may order the petitioner to <u>fileFile</u> a written brief, memorandum or <u>position</u> statement<u>-of position</u>.
- 2)
- 3. The state engineer State Engineer may set the petition, upon due notice to the petitioner, for a nonevidentiary hearing.
 - 3) The state engineer may request the petitioner to submit additional facts, in writing. In such event, such additional facts will be considered as an amendment to the petition.
- 4) The state engineer
 - 4. <u>The State Engineer</u> may take administrative notice of facts pursuant to the APA and may utilize his experience, technical competence and specialized knowledge in the disposition of the petition.
 - 5) If the state engineer rules upon the petition without a hearing, he shall promptly notify the petitioner of his decision.

6)

5. The state engineer State Engineer may, inat his discretion, set the petition for hearing, upon due notice to petitioner, for the purpose of obtaining additional facts or information or to determine the truth of any facts set forth in the petition or to hear oral argument on the petition. The notice to the petitioner setting such hearing shall set forth, to the extent known, the factual or other matters into which the state engineer State Engineer intends to inquire. For the purpose of such a hearing, to the extent necessary, the petitioner shall have the burden of proving all of the facts stated in the petition, all of the facts necessary to show the

nature of the controversy or uncertainty and the manner in whichas to the applicability to the petitioner of the statute, rule or order in question—applies or potentially applies to the petitioner—and any other facts the petitioner desires the state engineer State Engineer to consider.

E

6. The state engineer State Engineer may consolidate for determination petitions raising similar issues of fact or law.

G.

7. The parties to any proceedings pursuant to this rule shall be the petitioner and, where appropriate, the Division_staff of Water Resources staff the <a href="mailto:State Engineer and any other Person the State Engineer determines is necessary or desirable for the proper or full disposition of the petition.

H.

F. Any declaratory order or other order disposing of a petition pursuant to this rule shall constitute agency action subject to judicial review pursuant to section§ 24-4-106, C.R.S. (1973 & 1982 Supp.). § 24-4-105(11), C.R.S.

1.1.6 Reconsideration

Α.

G. The State Engineer may appoint a Hearing Officer to make an Initial Decision on any request for a declaratory order. Such Initial Decision shall be subject to appeal directly to the State Engineer for final agency action.

Reconsideration of Agency Adjudicatory Action Upon Motion of a Partyfor Orders Issued Under Rules 10 or 11

11

A. During the time permitted for seeking judicial review of any final order of the state engineerState Engineer rendered in any agency adjudicatoryDivision of Water Resources proceeding under Rules 10 or 11, any partyParty directly affected by such order or determination may apply to the state engineerState Engineer, as appropriate, for a hearing or rehearing with respect to, or reconsideration of, such order or determination. The determination by the state engineerState Engineer whether to grant or deny the application for a rehearing, or reconsideration shall be made within ten (10) days after receipt by the state engineerState Engineer of such application.

2)

<u>B.</u> If the application for a hearing, rehearing, or reconsideration is granted, the order or determination to which such application pertains shall not be considered final for purposes of judicial review, and the <u>state engineerState Engineer</u> may affirm, reverse, or modify, in whole or in part, the pertinent order or determination; thereafter. Thereafter, such order <u>erof</u> determination shall be final and not subject to reconsideration under this section.

3)

C. If the application for a-rehearing, or reconsideration is denied, the order erof determination to which such application pertains shall be considered final agency action as of the date specified in section 1.1.4(K)(6).Rule 10(G)(7). An application

under this section extends the time period for seeking judicial review of the original order or determination only for the number of days that it is pending, since such an application merely stays the time period for seeking judicial review.

4) The decision to grant or deny a hearing, rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to this section is not subject to judicial review.

B. Reconsideration of Rulemaking Action

Reconsideration of rulemaking action of the state engineer may be sought in accordance with the provisions of section 1.1.3(B)(2) pertaining to petitions for rulemaking. Any such request shall be evaluated according to the established regulations and policies of the state engineer where applicable, and may be granted for good cause. Such a request is not a prerequisite to the right of judicial review of the rule on which it is based and does not affect the time period for seeking judicial review of the rule. The decision to grant or deny such request is not itself final agency action subject to judicial review.

1.1.7 Definitions

- A. The definitions of terms used in IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that these regulations shall be in accordance with the APA, and other applicable regulations of the Division of Water Resources unless the context requires otherwise.
- B. <u>Application for a permit to construct a well</u>: Application for a permit to construct a well under section 37-92-602, C.R.S. (1973 & 1983 Supp.), shall include applications to relocate a well.
- C. <u>State Engineer</u>: Unless the context otherwise requires, as used herein this term shall refer to the state engineer, any hearing officer or acting on his behalf, or any person acting on his behalf pursuant to section 37-80-102(2), C.R.S. (1973).

1.1.16 Effective Date

These regulations amended rules shall become effective on May 31, 1984. They shall apply to any rulemaking or other proceedings, except adjudicatory hearings, as to which public notice is issued after the effective date. With respect to all adjudicatory hearings, the ______ day of _____ 2015, and shall remain in effect until amended as provided by law. Any person desiring to protest these regulations shall apply to any matter on which a hearing is requested or which is initiated by the state engineer after the effective daterules may do so in the manner provided in section 24-4-106, C.R.S.

Editor's Notes		
History Dated this _	day of	. 2015.